



#### **Adobe Acrobat Reader**

### **Finding Words**

You can use the Find command to find a complete word or part of a word in the current PDF document. Acrobat Reader looks for the word by reading every word on every page in the file, including text in form fields.

# To find a word using the Find command:

- 1. Click the Find button (**Binoculars**), or choose Edit > Find.
- 2. Enter the text to find in the text box.
- 3. Select search options if necessary: Match Whole Word Only finds only occurrences of the complete word you enter in the box. For example, if you search for the word *stick*, the words *tick* and *sticky* will not be highlighted.

Match Case finds only words that contain exactly the same capitalization you enter in the box.

Find Backwards starts the search from the current page and goes backwards through the document.

4. Click Find. Acrobat Reader finds the next occurrence of the word.

#### To find the next occurrence of the word, Do one of the following:

Choose Edit > Find Again Reopen the find dialog box, and click Find Again. (The word must already be in the Find text box.)

# Copying and pasting text and graphics to another application

You can select text or a graphic in a PDF document, copy it to the Clipboard, and paste it into another application such as a word processor. You can also paste text into a PDF document note or into a bookmark. Once the selected text or graphic is on the Clipboard, you can switch to another application and paste it into another document.

Note: If a font copied from a PDF document is not available on the system displaying the copied text, the font cannot be preserved. A default font is substituted.



# To select and copy it to the clipboard:

1. Select the text tool T, and do one of the following: To select a line of text, select the first letter of the sentence or phrase and drag to the last letter.

To select multiple columns of text (horizontally), hold down Ctrl+Alt (Windows) or Option (Mac OS) as you drag across the width of the document.

To select a column of text (vertically), Hold down Ctrl+Alt (Windows) or Option+Command (Mac OS) as you drag the length of the document.

To select all the text on the page, choose Edit > Select All. In single page mode, all the text on the current page is selected. In Continuous or Continuous – facing mode, most of the text in the document is selected. When you release the mouse button, the selected text is highlighted. To deselect the text and start over, click anywhere outside the selected text. The Select All command will not select all the text in the document. A workaround for this (Windows) is to use the Edit > Copy command. Choose Edit > Copy to copy the selected text to the clipboard.

2. To view the text, choose Window > Show Clipboard

In Windows 95, the Clipboard Viewer is not installed by default and you cannot use the Show Clipboard command until it is installed. To install the Clipboard Viewer, Choose Start > Settings > Control Panel > Add/Remove Programs, and then click the Windows Setup tab. Double-click Accessories, check Clipboard Viewer, and click OK.



1	[REPORT OF ACTION TAKEN IN CLOSED SESSION
2	ON FEBRUARY 19, 2008, BEGINS ON PAGE 142.]
3	
4	SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WE'D LIKE TO CALL THE MEETING TO ORDER. THE
5	INVOCATION WILL BE BY REVEREND RACHEL NYBACK OF ST. CROSS BY
6	THE SEA EPISCOPAL CHURCH IN HERMOSA BEACH. AND THE PLEDGE,
7	GEORGE DIXON, SENIOR VETERAN COUNSELOR, MILITARY AND VETERANS
8	AFFAIRS. WOULD YOU PLEASE STAND?
9	
10	REV. RACHEL NYBACK: THE FOLLOWING IS A QUOTE FROM THE
11	RELIGIOUS DIRECTOR JOAN CHITTISTER ABOUT THOUGHTS, FEELINGS
12	AND OUR SOULS. "WHEN POETS TALK ABOUT THE HUMAN SOUL, THEY DO
13	NOT TALK ABOUT REASON. THEY TALK ABOUT FEELING. THE TOTAL
14	HUMAN, HUMAN BEING POETS ENABLE US TO SEE IS THE ONE WHO WEEPS
15	OVER EVIL, REVELS IN GOODNESS, LOVES OUTRAGEOUSLY AND CARRIES
16	THE PAIN OF THE WORLD IN HEALING HANDS. FEELING WE KNOW DEEP
17	WITHIN US SIGNIFIES THE REAL MEASURE OF A SOUL." SO LET US
18	PRAY. GOD, WE THANK YOU FOR BRINGING US SAFELY TOGETHER THIS
19	DAY. MAY THE THOUGHTS AND FEELINGS OF OUR SOULS BE OUR GUIDE.
20	WE ASK THAT ALL GATHERED HERE, ESPECIALLY OUR SUPERVISORS,
21	THEIR SUPPORT STAFF, THE MEMBERS OF OUR COMMUNITY WILL ALL BE
22	FILLED WITH THE SPIRIT OF WISDOM, CHARITY AND JUSTICE. THAT
23	WITH STEADFAST PURPOSE, THEY MAY FAITHFULLY SERVE IN THEIR
24	OFFICE TO PROMOTE THE WELLBEING OF ALL PEOPLE, AMEN.



- 1 GEORGE DIXON: AMEN. OKAY. PLACE YOUR RIGHT HAND OVER YOUR
- 2 HEART AND FACE THE FLAG AND JOIN ME IN THE PLEDGE OF
- 3 ALLEGIANCE. [PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE RECITED.] THANK YOU.

4

5 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: SUPERVISOR KNABE?

- 7 SUP. KNABE: MADAME CHAIR, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, IT IS MY
- 8 PLEASURE TO SAY THANK YOU AND PRESENT A CERTIFICATE OF
- 9 APPRECIATION AS YOU MENTIONED RACHEL NYBACK, WHO IS WITH ST.
- 10 CROSS BY THE SEA EPISCOPAL CHURCH IN HERMOSA BEACH. REVEREND
- 11 NYBACK IS A FOURTH GENERATION SOUTHERN CALIFORNIAN WHO WAS
- 12 BORN IN COMPTON, GREW UP POMONA. SHE WAS A PUBLIC SCHOOL
- 13 TEACHER BEFORE BECOMING AN EPISCOPAL PRIEST AND SHE CONTINUES
- 14 TO WORK CLOSELY WITH YOUTH AND FAMILY PROGRAMS AT ST. CROSS,
- 15 INCLUDING TRAVELING ABROAD WITH HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS DURING
- 16 THE SUMMER. SHE ALSO VOLUNTEERS AT THE UNION STATION FAMILY
- 17 CENTER IN PASADENA AND IS A VOLUNTEER CHAPLAIN FOR THE HERMOSA
- 18 BEACH POLICE DEPARTMENT. ST. CROSS BY THE SEA IS PART OF THE
- 19 LARGER EPISCOPAL DIOCESE OF LOS ANGELES BUT IT'S ALSO UNIOUELY
- 20 ROOTED IN ITS LOCATION IN HERMOSA BEACH. IF YOU COULDN'T GUESS
- 21 BY REVEREND NYBACK'S HAWAIIAN SHIRT, AND PRIESTLY COLLAR, ST.
- 22 CROSS IS LOCATED JUST TWO SHORT BLOCKS FROM THE BEACH. I HAD
- 23 THE DISTINCT HONOR AND PLEASURE OF JOINING THEM IN THEIR
- 24 CELEBRATION OF 100 YEARS IN MINISTRY DOWN THERE. AND I WILL
- 25 TELL YOU THAT ST. CROSS KNOWS HOW TO THROW A 100-YEAR PARTY, I



- 1 MIGHT ADD, AS WELL, TOO. IN FACT, WHEN NOT PERFORMING THEIR
- 2 TRADITIONAL MINISTRIES AND HELP FOR THE NEEDY, SEVERAL MEMBERS
- 3 OF THE ST. CROSS CLERGY CAN BE FOUND SURFING ALONG THE BEACH
- 4 WITH THEIR PARISHIONERS. SO ON BEHALF OF MYSELF AND MY
- 5 COLLEAGUES, WE WANT TO THANK YOU, REVEREND NYBACK, FOR TAKING
- 6 THE TIME TO JOIN US AND LEAD US IN THE INVOCATION AND MORE
- 7 THAN THAT THANK YOU FOR THE ONGOING WORK THAT YOU AND MEMBERS
- 8 OF YOUR CONGREGATION DO EACH AND EVERY DAY FOR THE CITIZENS.
- 9 [APPLAUSE.]

10

- 11 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. WE WILL NOW GO ON WITH THE
- 12 AGENDA.

13

- 14 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: GOOD AFTERNOON, MADAME CHAIR. MEMBERS OF
- 15 THE BOARD. WE WILL BEGIN TODAY'S AGENDA ON PAGE 2,
- 16 PRESENTATIONS AND SET MATTERS. ON ITEM S-1, AS INDICATED ON
- 17 THE POSTED AGENDA, THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER REQUESTS THAT
- 18 THIS ITEM BE CONTINUED TO MARCH 4TH, 2008 AT 11 A.M.

19

20 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WITHOUT OBJECTION.

21

- 22 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: I'M SORRY. WE JUST RECEIVED-- THERE IS A
- 23 REQUEST FROM A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC TO HOLD THIS ITEM.

24

25 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WE'LL HOLD IT.



1

- 2 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON PAGE 4, AGENDA FOR THE MEETING OF THE
- 3 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION, ITEMS 1-D THROUGH 3-D.

4

- 5 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MOVED BY ANTONOVICH, SECONDED BY
- 6 YAROSLAVSKY. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

7

- 8 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: AGENDA FOR THE MEETING OF THE REGIONAL PARK
- 9 AND OPEN SPACE DISTRICTS, ITEM 1-P THROUGH 3-P. ON ITEM 2-P,
- 10 THERE WAS A MINOR REVISION TO THIS ITEM SO THAT IT READS
- 11 "ALLOCATE THIRD SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT COUNTY EXCESS FUNDS"
- 12 INSTEAD OF "CITY EXCESS FUNDS."

13

- 14 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WITH THE AMENDMENT, MOVED BY YAROSLAVSKY,
- 15 SECONDED BY MOLINA; WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

16

- 17 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, ITEMS 1 THROUGH 12.
- 18 ON ITEM NUMBER. 1, SUPERVISOR KNABE WOULD LIKE TO REFER BACK
- 19 HIS APPOINTMENT OF MIGUEL SANTANA TO THE L.A. CARE HEALTH
- 20 PLAN. AND ALSO THERE IS A REQUEST FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC
- 21 TO HOLD SUPERVISOR BURKE'S APPOINTMENT OF ALBERT DEBLANC, JR.
- 22 TO THE SMALL CRAFT HARBOR COMMISSION.

- 24 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WE WILL HOLD MY APPOINTEE AND THEN REFER
- 25 BACK, SUPERVISOR KNABE, WITHOUT OBJECTION. ON THE REMAINDER,



- 1 MOVED BY KNABE, SECONDED BY MOLINA; WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO
- 2 ORDERED.

3

- 4 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON ITEM NUMBER 3, SUPERVISOR BURKE WOULD
- 5 LIKE TO MAKE A MINOR REVISION TO HER RECOMMENDATION TO ENTER
- 6 INTO AN AGREEMENT WITH PLAINS EXPLORATION AND PRODUCTION
- 7 SUBSTANTIALLY IN THE FORM ATTACHED TO THE MOTION. ON ITEM NO.
- 8 10, SUPERVISOR MOLINA AND A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC REQUEST THAT
- 9 THIS ITEM BE HELD. THE REMAINING ITEMS 2 THROUGH 9 ARE BEFORE
- 10 YOU.

11

- 12 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WE'LL HOLD ITEM 10. MOVED BY MOLINA,
- 13 SECONDED BY YAROSLAVSKY; WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

14

- 15 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: EXCUSE ME. AND THAT ALSO INCLUDED 11 AND
- 16 12.

17

18 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: AS AMENDED.

- 20 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: AND THAT ITEM AS AMENDED. ON PAGE 11,
- 21 CONSENT CALENDAR ITEMS 13 THROUGH 46. ON ITEM NUMBER 13, THERE
- 22 IS A REQUEST FROM A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC TO HOLD THIS ITEM. ON
- 23 ITEM NO. 14 AS INDICATED ON THE SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA, THE CHIEF
- 24 EXECUTIVE OFFICER IS WITHDRAWING HIS REQUEST TO CONTINUE THIS
- 25 ITEM AND INSTEAD-- 14-- AND INSTEAD WOULD LIKE THIS ITEM



- 1 CONSIDERED TODAY. ON ITEM NUMBER 15, THERE'S A REQUEST FROM
- 2 SUPERVISOR KNABE TO HOLD THIS ITEM. ON ITEM NUMBER 16, AS
- 3 INDICATED ON THE POSTED AGENDA, THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
- 4 REOUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE REFERRED BACK TO HIS OFFICE. ON
- 5 ITEM NUMBER 17, THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER REQUESTS THAT THIS
- 6 ITEM BE CONTINUED TWO WEEKS TO MARCH 4TH, 2008, INSTEAD OF THE
- 7 ONE WEEK AS INDICATED ON THE SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA.

8

9 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: SO ORDERED.

10

- 11 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON ITEM NUMBER 18 THERE IS A REQUEST FROM A
- 12 MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC TO HOLD THIS ITEM. ON ITEM NUMBER. 21,
- 13 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE HELD. ON
- 14 ITEM NUMBER. 22, THERE IS A REQUEST FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC
- 15 TO HOLD THIS ITEM. ON ITEM NUMBER 41-- OR EXCUSE ME. ON ITEM
- 16 NUMBER 33, THERE'S A REQUEST FROM A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC TO
- 17 HOLD THIS ITEM. ON ITEM NUMBER 41, AS INDICATED ON THE
- 18 SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA, THE DIRECTOR OF HEALTH SERVICES REQUESTS
- 19 THAT THIS ITEM BE CONTINUED ONE WEEK TO FEBRUARY 26TH, 2008.
- 20 YES.

21

22 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WITHOUT OBJECTION.



- 1 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON ITEM 42, AS INDICATED ON THE
- 2 SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA, COUNTY COUNSEL REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE
- 3 CONTINUED ONE WEEK TO FEBRUARY 26TH, 2008.

4

5 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

6

- 7 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON ITEM NUMBER 46, AS INDICATED ON THE
- 8 POSTED AGENDA, SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM
- 9 BE CONTINUED WITHOUT DISCUSSION TO FEBRUARY 26TH, 2008.

10

11 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

- 13 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SEPARATE MATTERS. ON ITEM NUMBER. 47, I'LL
- 14 READ THE SHORT TITLE IN FOR THE RECORD. THIS IS THE TREASURER
- 15 AND TAX COLLECTOR'S RECOMMENDATION TO ADOPT RESOLUTION
- 16 AUTHORIZING THE LEASING OF CERTAIN EQUIPMENT FROM THE LOS
- 17 ANGELES COUNTY CAPITAL ASSET LEASING CORPORATION, ALSO KNOWN
- 18 AS THE CORPORATION, AND TO EXECUTE A LEASING AGREEMENT AND
- 19 CONTINUING DISCLOSURE CERTIFICATE IN CONNECTION WITH THE
- 20 ISSUANCE OF THE CORPORATION LEASE REVENUE BONDS 2008 SERIES A
- 21 IN AGGREGATE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED 30 MILLION AND
- 22 APPROVE THE PUBLICATION OF THE NOTICE OF INTENTION TO SELL
- 23 BONDS IN THE NEWSPAPER OF GENERAL CIRCULATION WITHIN THE
- 24 COUNTY. THERE IS ALSO A REQUEST FROM A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC TO
- 25 HOLD THIS ITEM. MISCELLANEOUS ADDITIONS TO THE AGENDA WHICH



- 1 WERE POSTED MORE THAN 72 HOURS IN ADVANCE OF THE MEETING AS
- 2 INDICATED ON THE SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA, ITEM 48 A.

3

- 4 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MOVED BY MOLINA, SECONDED BY KNABE; WITHOUT
- 5 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

6

- 7 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON ITEM 48 B, THERE IS A REQUEST FROM
- 8 MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC TO HOLD THIS ITEM. 48 C?

9

- 10 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MOVED BY YAROSLAVSKY, SECONDED BY
- 11 ANTONOVICH; WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED-- I'M SORRY. MOVED
- 12 BY KNABE, SECONDED BY YAROSLAVSKY, WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO
- 13 ORDERED.

14

15 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: 48 D.

16

- 17 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MOVED BY ANTONOVICH, SECONDED BY MOLINA.
- 18 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

19

20 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: 48 E?

21

- 22 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MOVED BY ANTONOVICH, SECONDED BY KNABE.
- 23 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.



- 1 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: AND THAT COMPLETES THE READING OF THE
- 2 AGENDA. BOARD OF SUPERVISORS SPECIAL ITEMS BEGIN WITH
- 3 SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT NUMBER 1.

4

- 5 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: SUPERVISOR MOLINA, DO YOU HAVE ANY
- 6 PRESENTATIONS? I'LL START MINE. I WOULD LIKE TO CALL FORWARD
- 7 DORA GILL, ADVOCACY DIRECTOR FOR THE AMERICAN HEART
- 8 ASSOCIATION. THANK YOU FOR BEING HERE. MANY PEOPLE ARE NOT
- 9 AWARE THAT HEART DISEASE AND STROKE ARE THE NUMBER 1 AND
- 10 NUMBER 3 KILLERS AMONG WOMEN. TOGETHER, THESE DISEASES CLAIM
- 11 THE LIVES OF NEARLY 500,000 WOMEN EACH YEAR. THE AMERICAN
- 12 HEART ASSOCIATION LAUNCHED "GO RED FOR WOMEN" AS A RESPONSE TO
- 13 THIS PUBLIC HEALTH CHALLENGE. THIS CAMPAIGN ENCOURAGES WOMEN
- 14 OF ALL AGES AND BACKGROUNDS TO LEARN ABOUT HEART DISEASE AND
- 15 STROKE BY TAKING POSITIVE ACTIONS TO REDUCE THEIR RISK OF BOTH
- 16 DISEASES. IT'S WITH GREAT PLEASURE THAT I PRESENT THIS SCROLL
- 17 TO DORA GILL, ADVOCACY DIRECTOR FOR THE AMERICAN HEART
- 18 ASSOCIATION, AS WE SUPPORT THEIR "GO RED FOR WOMEN" CAMPAIGN,
- 19 WHICH WAS PREVIOUSLY PROCLAIMED FEBRUARY 1ST, 2008 AS "GO RED
- 20 FOR WOMEN" DAY. WOULD YOU LIKE TO SPEAK FIRST?

- 22 DORA GILL: YES, THANK YOU. THANK YOU, SUPERVISOR BURKE, FOR
- 23 ONCE AGAIN SPEARHEADING OUR EFFORTS HERE AT THE BOARD OF
- 24 SUPERVISORS. YOU AND THE ENTIRE BOARD HAVE BEEN GREAT
- 25 SUPPORTERS OF THE AMERICAN HEART ASSOCIATION'S MISSION, AND



- 1 YOU WILL BE MISSED BY ALL OF US WHEN YOU RETIRE LATER THIS
- 2 YEAR. AS YOU KNOW, "GO RED FOR WOMEN" IS THE AMERICAN HEART
- 3 ASSOCIATION'S NATIONWIDE MOVEMENT CELEBRATING THE ENERGY,
- 4 PASSION AND POWER WE ALL HAVE TO BAND TOGETHER AND WIPE OUT
- 5 HEART DISEASE. TOO FEW PEOPLE REALIZE THAT HEART DISEASE IS
- 6 THE NUMBER ONE KILLER OF WOMEN. THAT'S WOMEN OF ALL AGES. THIS
- 7 IS FROM INFANCY TO OLD AGE. AND OF MEN, AS WELL. BUT THE GOOD
- 8 NEWS IS THAT HEART DISEASE CAN LARGELY BE PREVENTED. SPREADING
- 9 THE "GO RED FOR WOMEN" MESSAGE, "LOVE YOUR HEART" RAISES
- 10 AWARENESS OF HEART DISEASE AND EMPOWERS WOMEN TO REDUCE THEIR
- 11 RISK. AND AS YOU ENCOURAGE EVERYONE IN L.A. COUNTY TO WEAR RED
- 12 DURING AMERICAN HEART MONTH, YOU'LL BE SHARING WITH THEM THE
- 13 TOOLS AND INFORMATION THEY NEED TO PROTECT THEMSELVES AND
- 14 THEIR LOVED ONES. ON BEHALF OF THE AMERICAN HEART ASSOCIATION,
- 15 I WANT TO THANK, AGAIN, THE L.A. COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
- 16 FOR YOUR CONTINUED SUPPORT ON THIS IMPORTANT ISSUE. THANKS
- 17 VERY MUCH.

- 19 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. AND THANK YOU FOR THIS BEAUTIFUL
- 20 PIN. THANK YOU. MAY I CALL FORWARD TORY WILSON, PEACE CORPS
- 21 REGIONAL RECRUITER. THE PEACE CORPS HAS BECOME AN ENDURING
- 22 SYMBOL OF OUR NATION'S COMMITMENT TO ENCOURAGE PROGRESS,
- 23 CREATE OPPORTUNITY AND EXPAND DEVELOPMENT IN THE DEVELOPING
- 24 WORLD. WITH MORE THAN 190,000 AMERICAN VOLUNTEERS, THE PEACE
- 25 CORPS HAS SERVED IN 139 COUNTRIES SINCE 1961. OVER THE PAST 47



- 1 YEARS, 25,832 MEN AND WOMEN FROM CALIFORNIA HAVE MADE
- 2 SIGNIFICANT AND LASTING CONTRIBUTIONS AROUND THE WORLD IN
- 3 AGRICULTURE, BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT, INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY,
- 4 EDUCATION, HEALTH AND H.I.V./A.I.D.S. AND THE ENVIRONMENT. IT
- 5 IS WITH GREAT HONOR THAT TODAY WE PROCLAIM FEBRUARY 25TH
- 6 THROUGH MARCH 3RD AS PEACE CORPS WEEK, AS THESE VOLUNTEERS
- 7 CONTINUE TO SERVE COMMUNITIES THROUGHOUT THE UNITED STATES BY
- 8 REAFFIRMING OUR COUNTRY'S COMMITMENT TO HELPING PEOPLE HELP
- 9 THEMSELVES.

10

- 11 TORY WILSON: WELL THANK YOU, SUPERVISOR BURKE, FOR HONORING
- 12 ALL THE THOUSANDS OF LOS ANGELES RESIDENTS WHO HAVE SERVED IN
- 13 THE PEACE CORPS THROUGHOUT THE YEARS, SINCE THE '60S. WE
- 14 CURRENTLY HAVE MORE PEACE CORPS VOLUNTEERS SERVING NOW THAN WE
- 15 HAVE SINCE THE PEACE CORPS BEGAN. AND WE'RE EXCITED FOR THAT
- 16 TO EXPAND, AS WELL. SO THANK YOU.

- 18 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. [APPLAUSE.] FINALLY, I'D LIKE TO
- 19 CALL FORWARD DAVID MILLER. C.E.O. OF "OUR WEEKLY" NEWSPAPER.
- 20 "OUR WEEKLY" NEWSPAPER IS A WEEKLY NEWSPAPER PUBLICATION
- 21 DEDICATED TO THE AFRICAN-AMERICAN COMMUNITIES OF LOS ANGELES.
- 22 THE NEWSPAPER, HEADED BY MR. MILLER, AND VETERAN JOURNALIST
- 23 NATALIE COLE, IS A FREE PUBLICATION THAT IS DISTRIBUTED TO
- 24 LOCAL RETAIL OUTLETS AND BUSINESSES AND DOOR-TO-DOOR IN
- 25 LADERA, BALDWIN HILLS, WINDSOR HILLS, VIEW PARK, LA MERCK



- 1 PARK, LAFAYETTE PARK SQUARE, NORTH INGLEWOOD AND MID CITY.
- 2 "OUR WEEKLY" IS DEDICATED TO DELIVERING ACCURATE, RELEVANT,
- 3 TRUSTWORTHY NEWS STORIES FROM AN ETHNIC COMMUNITY PERSPECTIVE
- 4 IN ADDITION TO LOCAL, STATE AND NATIONAL NEWS. IT IS WITH
- 5 GREAT PLEASURE THAT I PRESENT THIS SCROLL TO DAVID MILLER,
- 6 C.E.O. OF "OUR WEEKLY" NEWSPAPER AS WE COMMEND THEM FOR THEIR
- 7 DEDICATED COVERAGE THROUGHOUT OUR AFRICAN-AMERICAN
- 8 COMMUNITIES, IMPACTING MORE THAN 60,000 READERS.
- 9 CONGRATULATIONS.

10

12

16

22

11 **DAVID WILSON:** THANK YOU.

- 13 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: AND I KNOW MOST PEOPLE DON'T THINK OF
- 14 NATALIE COLE AS A WRITER OR JOURNALIST, BUT SHE HAS DONE A
- 15 GREAT JOB AS A JOURNALIST.
- 17 DAVID WILSON: THANK YOU, SUPERVISOR BURKE, FOR ACKNOWLEDGING
- 18 "OUR WEEKLY." WE ARE A PUBLICATION THAT IS TRULY ON THE MOVE.
- 19 WE PUBLISH EVERY THURSDAY. WE HAVE A STRONG DISTRIBUTION WITH
- 20 A READERSHIP OF 150,000. AND WE ARE PROUD OF THE RELATIONSHIPS
- 21 THAT WE HAVE BUILT OVER TIME. SO AGAIN THANK YOU.
- 23 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. [APPLAUSE.] THAT
- 24 CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATIONS. SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, DO YOU
- 25 HAVE PRESENTATIONS? SUPERVISOR KNABE, DO YOU HAVE



- 1 PRESENTATIONS? SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH? WAS I THE ONLY ONE
- 2 MAKING PRESENTATIONS TODAY?

3

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH: I HAVE A LITTLE POINTER MIX FEMALE. SHE'S 12
- 5 WEEKS OLD. HER NAME IS JULIE. SHE JUST HAD A PRESS CONFERENCE
- 6 IN THE BACK. JULIE IS LOOKING FOR A HOME. ANYBODY WOULD LIKE
- 7 TO ADOPT HER, YOU CAN CALL THE 562-728-4644 NUMBER. ANYBODY IN
- 8 THE AUDIENCE, AND LITTLE JULIE WILL BE YOURS. SHE'S GOING TO
- 9 BE A LITTLE BIG DOG, A BIG, BIG DOG. . HOW ABOUT YOU, STEVE?
- 10 LIKE VENTURA COUNTY?

11

- 12 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: SUPERVISOR MOLINA, DO YOU HAVE
- 13 ADJOURNMENTS? I DON'T HAVE ADJOURNMENTS BUT I'LL START THE
- 14 AGENDA. I KNOW THAT THERE WAS A REQUEST TO CALL S-3 FIRST, BUT
- 15 S-3 HAS A SET TIME AT 2:30. AND SO I NEED TO REALLY FIND OUT
- 16 IF WE CAN CALL THAT EARLY. I'LL JUST CALL UP ITEM 1, MY
- 17 APPOINTMENTS. AND I UNDERSTAND SOME PEOPLE HAVE ASKED TO BE
- 18 HEARD ON THAT. NINA BARISH, JOHN NAHHAS AND LYNNE SHAPIRO,
- 19 WOULD YOU PLEASE COME FORWARD? I'LL CALL UP, JUST BEFORE I
- 20 CALL ON YOU. ON S-1 THERE WAS A REQUEST TO SPEAK ON THE HOLD
- 21 ON THAT, SO WE NEED TO CONTINUE THAT. WITHOUT OBJECTION, IT
- 22 WILL BE CONTINUED TO-- S-1 WILL BE CONTINUED TO WHAT WAS THE
- 23 DATE ON THAT?

24

25 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: MARCH 4TH AT 11 A.M.

25

### The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 2 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MARCH 4TH AT 11 A.M., WITHOUT OBJECTION. 3 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ALSO ON ITEM S-1-- OH, I'M SORRY. THAT WAS 4 5 S-1. 6 7 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ON ITEM 10, SUPERVISOR MOLINA IS RELEASING 8 HER HOLD. AND WE'D LIKE TO CONTINUE THAT TO THE WEEK OF MARCH 9 4TH, 2008. 10 11 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ACTUALLY FEBRUARY 26TH. 12 13 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: OKAY. THIS ONE WILL BE FEBRUARY 26TH. 14 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: CORRECT. 15 16 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. THAT ITEM IS 17 18 CONTINUED TO FEBRUARY 26TH. YES, THANK YOU. 19 20 INA BARISH: GOOD AFTERNOON. 21 22 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME. 23 INA BARISH: MY NAME IS INA BARISH, AND I'M A RESIDENT OF 24

MARINA DEL REY. ON JANUARY 9TH, 2008 THERE WAS STANDING ROOM



- 1 ONLY IN THE MARINA DEL REY HOTEL BALLROOM. AFTER A LONG DAY OF
- 2 TESTIMONY, THE TAKE AWAY FOR THE CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION
- 3 WAS A STRONG SENSE OF COMMUNITY AND PLACE. THE COMMISSIONERS
- 4 FELT THE FRUSTRATION OF THE COMMUNITY AND SAW THE LARGE
- 5 DISCONNECT BETWEEN THE COUNTY AND THE PUBLIC. IN THE WORDS OF
- 6 ONE COMMISSIONER, "I WOULD NEVER HAVE DREAMED THAT THE COUNTY
- 7 WOULD PROCEED WITH THESE KINDS OF PLANS WITHOUT COMMUNITY
- 8 INPUT. THE COMMISSION SHOWED THAT THEY UNDERSTOOD THAT MARINA
- 9 DEL REY IS MORE THAN A REVENUE STREAM FOR THE COUNTY, THAT
- 10 THERE IS A LONG-STANDING LOW TO MIDDLE INCOME RESIDENTIAL AND
- 11 BOATING COMMUNITY THAT IS ON THE VERGE OF BEING DISPLACED,
- 12 THAT THERE ARE THOUSANDS OF RECREATIONAL BOATERS--
- 13 RECREATIONAL USERS THAT ARE SLOWLY BEING SQUEEZED OUT FOR
- 14 CORPORATE HOUSING AND LUXURY APARTMENTS. WHAT DOES THIS HAVE
- 15 TO DO WITH THE APPOINTMENT OF MR. ALBERT DEBLANC, JR. TO THE
- 16 SMALL CRAFT HARBOR COMMISSION? FIRST, A LOT. I HAVEN'T SEEN
- 17 MUCH INFORMATION ON MR. DEBLANC'S QUALIFICATIONS, BUT I DO
- 18 KNOW THAT HE IS A CIVIL RIGHTS ATTORNEY. IT IS MY HOPE THAT AS
- 19 A CIVIL RIGHTS ATTORNEY, HE WILL HAVE HIS EAR TO THE PUBLIC.
- 20 THAT MR. DEBLANC'S SENSE OF JUSTICE AND MORALITY AND HIS
- 21 BELIEF THAT THE RIGHTS OF THE PEOPLE CANNOT BE SET ASIDE,
- 22 IGNORED OR TRAMPLED WILL INFLUENCE THE COURSE OF OPERATIONS OF
- 23 THE SMALL CRAFT HARBOR COMMISSION AND MAYBE EVEN TRICKLE UP TO
- 24 THE DEPARTMENT OF BEACHES AND HARBORS. AND IF THE INPUT
- 25 BROUGHT TO THE SMALL CRAFT HARBOR COMMISSION BY THE COMMUNITY



- 1 WILL BE MORE THAN JUST TOLERATED, THAT IT WILL BE WELCOMED,
- 2 ENTERTAINED AND CONSIDERED. SECOND, I HOPE THAT AS AN
- 3 ATTORNEY, MR. DEBLANC WILL HELP THE COMMISSION UNDERSTAND ITS
- 4 RIGHTS, DUTIES AND POWERS. CURRENTLY THE COMMISSION IS VERY
- 5 MUCH DEPENDENT ON THE COUNTY FOR INSTRUCTION. THE COUNTY
- 6 ALREADY WEARS THE HAT OF LANDLORD AND DEVELOPER, SO IT MAY NOT
- 7 BE IN THE BEST POSITION TO PUT ON ANOTHER. THIRD, I HOPE THAT
- 8 AS AN ATTORNEY, MR. DEBLANC WILL SEE THE FUNDAMENTAL PROBLEM
- 9 WITH APPROVING PROJECTS THAT ARE IN CLEAR VIOLATION OF THE
- 10 CURRENT CERTIFIED L.C.P. UNTIL ONE MONTH AGO THE COUNTY DIDN'T
- 11 ALWAYS INFORM THE COMMISSION THAT AN L.C.P. AMENDMENT WOULD BE
- 12 NEEDED FOR A PROJECT. AND WHEN IT WAS RAISED, THE COUNTY WOULD
- 13 SAY THAT THE ISSUE WOULD BE ADDRESSED LATER IN THE REGULATORY
- 14 REVIEW. DON'T WORRY ABOUT UPHOLDING THE LAW IN YOUR
- 15 PROPRIETARY REVIEW. THIS SEEMS LIKE A FUNDAMENTAL WASTE OF
- 16 TIME, RESOURCES AND TAXPAYER MONEY. FINALLY, I HOPE THAT THE
- 17 FULL BOARD, IN LISTENING TODAY, WILL RECOGNIZE THE NEED TO PUT
- 18 THE FUTURE OF MARINA DEL REY ON YOUR AGENDA NOW. I MADE THIS
- 19 REOUEST TO MR. VELASOUEZ ON FEBRUARY 6TH AND WAS TOLD BY STEVE
- 20 NEPALATANO THAT HE EXPECTS THIS TO BE SOON BUT DOESN'T HAVE AN
- 21 EXACT DATE AT THE MOMENT. I HOPE THAT WITH THE FULL SUPPORT
- 22 AND INTEREST OF THE BOARD, THAT DAY WILL BE SOON. VERY, VERY
- 23 SOON. THANK YOU.

24

25 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU.



- 2 JOHN NAHHAS: GOOD MORNING, SUPERVISORS, MY NAME IS JOHN
- 3 NAHHAS. I AM CONCERNED AT THE APPOINTMENT OF ANY NEW
- 4 COMMISSIONER TO THIS SMALL CRAFT HARBOR COMMISSION IN MARINA
- 5 DEL REY. THE COMMUNITY OF MARINA DEL REY HAS BEEN AT A
- 6 DISCONNECT WITH THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. THIS IS NOT JUST MY
- 7 STATEMENT; THIS IS A STATEMENT BY THREE SEPARATE COMMISSIONERS
- 8 IN THE CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION. MARINA DEL REY IS AT A
- 9 DISCONNECT. THE COMMUNITY OF MARINA DEL REY IS AT A DISCONNECT
- 10 WITH THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. THE VERY STATEMENT HAS BEEN
- 11 UTTERED TIME AND TIME AGAIN AND WE NEED THIS NEW COMMISSIONER
- 12 THAT YOU HAVE DESIGNATED FOR APPOINTMENT TO UNDERSTAND THIS
- 13 DISCONNECT. MOST OF THE COMMISSIONERS OF THE SMALL CRAFT
- 14 HARBOR COMMISSION HAVE SHOWED AN INTEREST IN THE POSITION AND
- 15 ARE REGULARLY ATTENDING THESE SMALL CRAFT HARBOR MEETINGS.
- 16 OTHERS, THAT'S NOT THE CASE. WE WOULD LIKE MR. DEBLANC TO
- 17 ASSURE US OF HIS TIME COMMITMENT TO THE COMMISSION, THAT HE
- 18 WILL REGULARLY ATTEND AND THAT A OUORUM COULD BE UPHELD. THE
- 19 FAILURE IN ATTENDANCE AND LACK OF A QUORUM HAS PLAGUED THE
- 20 SMALL CRAFT HARBOR COMMISSION FOR TOO LONG. WE CANNOT WAIT
- 21 FOUR YEARS FOR THE SUNSET REVIEW BOARD TO FIND, HOWEVER
- 22 DISAPPOINTING THE ATTENDANCE OF THE COMMISSIONERS, THE TASKS
- 23 WERE COMPLETED. WE ALSO WOULD LIKE OUR NEW APPOINTED
- 24 COMMISSIONER TO HAVE KEEN INQUIRY SKILLS, LIKE MR.
- 25 YAROSLAVSKY. SOME OF THE INDIVIDUALS ON THE SMALL CRAFT HARBOR



- 1 COMMISSION HAVE VERY LITTLE INPUT AND DO NOT DEMONSTRATE A
- 2 THIRST OF INFORMATION ON BEHALF OF THE CITIZENS OF LOS ANGELES
- 3 COUNTY. DEVELOPERS CONTINUE TO MISSPEAK. COUNTY OFFICIALS
- 4 CONTINUE TO MISSPEAK OR GIVE FAULTY DATA THAT IS LEFT
- 5 UNQUESTIONED. IF WE HAD A PERSON LIKE MR. YAROSLAVSKY THAT WAS
- 6 A STICKLER TO DETAILS, OUR HARBOR COMMISSION WOULD BE MORE
- 7 SUCCESSFUL. WE HOPE, MR. DEBLANC, THAT YOU ARE THAT
- 8 COMMISSIONER THAT WOULD STICK TO THE DETAILS, WOULD ENQUIRE
- 9 WHEN CERTAIN DATA OR INFORMATION IS INCORRECT. FROM THE
- 10 INFORMATION WE JUST RECEIVED FROM THE COUNTY IN THE AUDIENCE,
- 11 IT SOUNDS LIKE MR. DEBLANC WAS A BOATER AT ONE TIME. WE DON'T
- 12 KNOW IF HE IS STILL A BOATER. BUT WE'RE HOPING THAT THE LOSS
- 13 OF BOAT SLIPS IN MARINA DEL REY WILL DISCONTINUE. WE WILL
- 14 DISCONTINUE WITH THE PRACTICE. WE CANNOT HAVE A CONTINUAL LOSS
- 15 OF BOAT SLIPS AND A CONTINUAL LOSS OF OUR RECREATIONAL
- 16 OPPORTUNITIES IN MARINA DEL REY. MR. DEBLANC, IF YOU WOULD
- 17 PLEASE FOLLOW WHAT THE CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSIONERS HAVE
- 18 SET FORTH IN THEIR FINDINGS, THAT WE HAVE NO LONGER A LOSS OF
- 19 THESE BOAT SLIPS AND THAT EVERYONE, THE CITIZENS OF LOS
- 20 ANGELES, ARE ALLOWED AFFORDABLE RECREATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES IN
- 21 MARINA DEL REY.

- 23 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT, THANK YOU. PROFESSOR DAN
- 24 GOTTLIEB AND DR. DAVID DELANGE, WOULD YOU PLEASE COME FORWARD?
- 25 LYNNE SHAPIRO, PLEASE?



- 2 LYNNE SHAPIRO: MY NAME IS LYNNE SHAPIRO AND I RESIDE IN MARINA
- 3 DEL REY. I WOULD LIKE TO ADDRESS MY COMMENTS TO SUPERVISOR
- 4 KNABE. WITH THE APPOINTMENT OF A NEW COMMISSIONER AND THE
- 5 ELECTION OF A NEW CHAIRMAN, WE WOULD LIKE TO SEE YOU BECOME
- 6 MORE INVOLVED WITH THE PUBLIC. A FEW OF THE COMMUNITY MEMBERS
- 7 WHO HAVE COME HERE TODAY HAVE BEEN INVOLVED IN COASTAL
- 8 DEVELOPMENT ISSUES IN THE MARINA FOR SEVEN OR EIGHT YEARS, BUT
- 9 YOU HAVE NEVER MET WITH THEM. WE ARE MOST EAGER TO MEET WITH
- 10 YOU AND TO DISCUSS OUR CONCERNS REGARDING SEVERAL OF THE
- 11 PROJECTS THAT HAVE BEEN APPROVED OR AWAIT APPROVAL. WE NOT
- 12 ONLY WANT TO DISCUSS DENSITY, TRAFFIC, SAFETY, BOATER RIGHTS,
- 13 PARKING, VIEW OBSTRUCTION, BUT WE WOULD ALSO LIKE THE NEW
- 14 COMMISSIONER TO KNOW THAT WE HAVE THE RIGHT TO DO SO UNDER
- 15 SECTION 1, 3006 OF THE COASTAL ACT, WHICH FINDS AND DECLARES
- 16 THAT THE PUBLIC HAS A RIGHT TO PARTICIPATE FULLY IN DECISIONS
- 17 AFFECTING COASTAL PLANNING, CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT. THAT
- 18 THE ACHIEVEMENT OF SOUND CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT IS
- 19 DEPENDENT UPON PUBLIC UNDERSTANDING AND SUPPORT AND THAT THE
- 20 CONTINUING PLANNING AND IMPLEMENTATION OF PROGRAMS FOR COASTAL
- 21 CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT SHOULD INCLUDE THE WIDEST
- 22 OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC PARTICIPATION. " SUPERVISOR KNABE,
- 23 REPRESENTATIVES OF OUR COMMUNITY, FROM COALITION TO SAVE THE
- 24 MARINA AND "WE ARE MARINA DEL REY" WANT TO MEET WITH YOU
- 25 PUBLICLY, SIR. WE ARE ASKING FOR A MEETING.



1

- 2 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. WOULD DAVID BARISH AND NANCY
- 3 MARINO COME FORWARD? PROFESSOR GOTTLIEB, PLEASE.

- 5 DANIEL GOTTLIEB: I'M DAN GOTTLIEB, PROFESSOR OF MATHEMATICS.
- 6 I'D LIKE TO SPEAK ON ONE LITTLE DETAIL THAT THE BOARD-- THAT
- 7 THE SMALL CRAFTS HARBOR IS INVOLVED WITH, AND THAT'S LEASE
- 8 OPTIONS. AND FOR THE FIRST TIME I SAW THE LEASE OPTION BEING
- 9 DONE A FEW DAYS AGO, AND I WAS INTERESTED IN THE LEASE OPTION
- 10 BECAUSE I WAS CURIOUS ABOUT WHERE THE ACTUAL WELL-DEFINED
- 11 INFORMATION IS WITH RESPECT TO WHO OWNS WHAT PROPERTY OR WHAT
- 12 THE PROPERTY IS, WHICH IS IN THE COUNTY ASSESSOR'S BOOK. AND I
- 13 NOTICED THAT THE PARCELS IN THE MARINA DEL REY SEEM TO BE
- 14 SHUFFLED AROUND IN THESE LEASE OPTIONS. SO I LOOKED AT THE
- 15 LEASE OPTION AND I LOOKED IMMEDIATELY TO THE EXHIBITS THAT
- 16 GAVE THE LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY. AND THE LEASE
- 17 OPTION FOR LEASE 21 AND ALSO FOR LEASE 20 DIDN'T HAVE ANY
- 18 LEGAL DESCRIPTION AT THAT TIME OF WHAT THE PROPERTY WAS EXCEPT
- 19 FOR ONE. AND I WENT AND LOOKED MORE CLOSELY AT THAT. AND I
- 20 FOUND THAT THE BOUNDARY LINES, THERE ARE DOCKS BUILT OUTSIDE
- 21 OF THE BOUNDARY LINE. THAT THERE'S ACTUALLY AN ERROR, THIS
- 22 SORT OF CAVALIER WAY OF PROCEEDING SEEMS TO HAVE LED TO SOME
- 23 KIND OF ERROR. AND SO THERE'S DOCKS THAT ARE BUILT FOR PARCEL
- 24 21 THAT DON'T BELONG TO PARCEL 21. AND THE UPSHOT IS THAT WHEN
- 25 THEY REDO THE THING THEY'RE GOING TO THROW AWAY A COUPLE OF



- 1 DOCKS, WHICH WILL CUT DOWN ON THE SLIPS. AND I'M CONCERNED
- 2 THAT THIS LEGAL MIND SHOULD BE ABLE TO LOOK AT SOMETHING AS
- 3 COMPLICATED AS THESE LEASES, WHICH INVOLVE SOMETHING THAT
- 4 REMINDS ME OF READING A CHESS GAME. YOU MOVE HERE, THEN THE
- 5 OTHER GUY MOVES THERE. YOU MOVE HERE. IT'S VERY DIFFICULT TO
- 6 FIGURE OUT WHAT'S GOING TO HAPPEN IN THE FUTURE IF YOU WRITE
- 7 LEGAL DOCUMENTS LIKE THAT. IF THE COUNTY IS BOUND TO
- 8 SOMETHING, IF THIS YACHT CLUB DECIDES TO TAKE THEIR LEASE UP
- 9 AFTER SEVEN YEARS, THEN THIS HAPPENS; OTHERWISE IT DOESN'T,
- 10 THEN THERE'S A COMBINATION HERE. HOW ARE YOU GOING TO HAVE A
- 11 GOOD DESCRIPTION OF HOW THE TRAFFIC IS GOING, OF HOW THE
- 12 PEOPLE ARE GOING AND THINGS LIKE THAT? I FIND THAT SYSTEM VERY
- 13 POOR AND I THINK THAT A GOOD LAWYER SHOULD BE ABLE TO HELP US
- 14 THERE. THANK YOU.

15

16 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. DOCTOR DELANGE

17

- 18 DANIEL GOTTLIEB: OH. I'D LIKE YOU TO GIVE THIS EXERCISE TO ZEV
- 19 YAROSLAVSKY.

- 21 DAVID DELANGE: I'M DAVID DELANGE, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TO SAVE
- 22 THE MARINA AND ROAD WARRIOR. I HAVE JUST DRIVEN DOWN FROM THE
- 23 BAY AREA WHERE I LEFT MY HEART ALL OVER AGAIN IN SAN FRANCISCO
- 24 AND CAME TO BE HERE. SO I'M JUST FRESH FROM THE ROAD. THAT'S



- 1 WHY I LOOK THE WAY I LOOK. I'D LIKE TO ASK A QUESTION. IS MR.
- 2 DEBLANC HERE?

3

4 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: I DON'T THINK SO.

- 6 DAVID DELANGE: OH, I WANTED TO SAY SOME THINGS. I HOPE HE
- 7 REVIEWS THE TAPE SINCE HE'S UP FOR APPOINTMENT. IT'S
- 8 INTERESTING AND CURIOUS TO ME THAT HE'S NOT HERE. BUT I HOPE
- 9 HE GETS A CHANCE TO REVIEW OUR REMARKS. THE QUALITIES THAT I
- 10 WOULD LIKE TO SEE HIM EXHIBIT MAINLY BEGIN WITH INDEPENDENCE.
- 11 THIS WOULD BE A PERSON, WHO ON THIS ADVISORY BOARD ACTS AS
- 12 SOMEONE WHO GIVES ADVICE, NOT MERELY REFLECTING OR MIRRORING
- 13 THE KNOWN OR DISCERNED ON HIS PART VIEWS OF HIS BOARD OF
- 14 SUPERVISOR MAJORITY BUT TRULY GIVES INDEPENDENT ADVICE TO YOU.
- 15 SOMEONE WHO FURTHERMORE IS INDEPENDENT IN THE SENSE OF ACTING
- 16 AS A LIAISON; THAT IS TO SAY, COMMUNICATES AFTER INTERPRETING
- 17 THE VIEWS OF THE PUBLIC TO THE BOARD SO THAT THAT ADDED INPUT,
- 18 SO LONG AS IT'S MERELY AN ADVISORY CAPACITY, IS ONE THAT HAS
- 19 SOME REAL FUNCTIONAL VALUE RATHER THAN A MIRRORING OF THE
- 20 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. I'D LIKE THIS TO BE A PERSON WHO WOULD
- 21 BE WILLING, ON CERTAIN OCCASIONS, TO VOTE AGAINST THE
- 22 PREDOMINANT WILL OF THE SUPERVISORS, INCLUDING HIS OR HER OWN
- 23 SUPERVISOR. SOMEONE WHO, IN FACT, WOULD BE WILLING, THEREFORE,
- 24 TO REALLY RISK THE POSSIBILITY OF BEING FIRED, LET GO FOR
- 25 STANDING FOR THE THINGS THAT HE BELIEVES IN AND DISCERNS AND



- 1 SEES. AS TO THE ROLE OF THE COMMISSION, ALONG WITH SEVERAL OF
- 2 MY FELLOW CITIZENS, THERE IS A WISH THAT THIS COMMISSION HAVE
- 3 IMPROVED OR INCREASED DISCRETIONARY POWER. IT'S A LOCAL BODY
- 4 JUST AT A TIME WHEN YOU'RE CONTEMPLATING TAKING AWAY SOME OF
- 5 THE AUTHORITY AND DISCRETION OF THE DESIGN CONTROL BOARD, WE
- 6 WOULD WISH YOU WOULD DO THE OPPOSITE, NOT ONLY WITH THAT
- 7 OUTFIT BUT AS WELL WITH THE SMALL CRAFT HARBOR COMMISSION.
- 8 GIVE IT SOME DISCRETION, SOME DECISION MAKING POWER. I'D LIKE
- 9 TO SEE A COMMISSIONER WILLING TO FIGHT FOR THAT, TAKE A STAND
- 10 WITH HIS OWN APPOINTING AUTHORITY, YOU SUPERVISORS OR HIS OWN
- 11 SUPERVISOR, AND SAY "GIVE US SOME DISCRETION. WE ARE ON THE
- 12 GROUND HERE. WE SEE AND KNOW MORE WHAT'S ON THE GROUND. " IT
- 13 MIGHT BE AN INTERESTING THING TO WATCH THE EVOLUTION OF AN
- 14 ORGANIZATION, WHICH STARTS, WELL, LIKE THE QUEEN OF ENGLAND,
- 15 ONLY IT WOULD BE A REVERSE PROCESS. SHE STARTED WITH A LOT OF
- 16 POWER AND HAS A LOT LESS NOW DUE TO A PROCESS. OTHERS FOUGHT
- 17 FOR IT AND GOT IT. WE'D ASK THIS OF MR. DEBLANC. THANK YOU
- 18 VERY MUCH.

19

20 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MR. BARISH AND THEN NANCY MARINO.

- 22 DAVID BARISH: GOOD AFTERNOON SUPERVISORS. MY NAME IS DAVID
- 23 BARISH AND I'M A RESIDENT OF MARINA DEL REY. I'M HERE TO SPEAK
- 24 ABOUT THE APPOINTMENT OF ALBERT DEBLANC, JR. TO THE SMALL
- 25 CRAFT HARBOR COMMISSION. I HAVE A FEW WORDS OF ADVICE FOR HIM



- 1 AS HE JOINS OUR COMMUNITY. THE SMALL CRAFT HARBOR COMMISSION
- 2 CAN AND SHOULD PLAY A SIGNIFICANT ROLE IN SHAPING MARINA DEL
- 3 REY DEVELOPMENT AND IN LOOKING AFTER ITS VIBRANT BOATING AND
- 4 RESIDENTIAL COMMUNITY. BUT FROM MY EXPERIENCE ATTENDING
- 5 MEETINGS OVER THE PAST YEAR I CANNOT SAY THIS HAS. THE
- 6 COMMISSION SHOULD NOT BE A RUBBER STAMP FOR DEVELOPERS AND I'M
- 7 PLEASED THAT OUR NEWEST CHAIR, MR. LESSER, HAS CHANGED THE
- 8 MEETING FORMAT FOR THE BETTER. I URGE MR. DEBLANC TO ENSURE
- 9 THAT HE UNDERSTANDS THE COMMISSION'S DUTIES AND OBLIGATIONS
- 10 AND, MOST IMPORTANTLY, ITS RIGHTS IN REVIEWING DEVELOPMENT
- 11 PROJECTS AND OTHER ISSUES THAT COME BEFORE IT. I ASK MR.
- 12 DEBLANC TO BE INDEPENDENT, NOT ONLY IN FACT BUT IN APPEARANCE,
- 13 AND TO SHOW RESPECT FOR AND TRANSPARENCY IN THE GOVERNMENT
- 14 PROCESS. UNFORTUNATELY, AS MR. DEBLANC WILL SEE, COUNTY STAFF
- 15 HAS SHOWN NEITHER AT COMMISSION MEETINGS. THEY OPENLY FLAUNT
- 16 THEIR CLOSE RELATIONSHIPS WITH DEVELOPERS AS WE SPEAK AT THE
- 17 PODIUM DEFENDING THE COMMUNITY AND SPIRIT OF MARINA DEL REY. I
- 18 ASKED MR. DEBLANC TO BE DILIGENT WHEN REVIEWING MATERIALS,
- 19 BOARD LETTERS, LEASE AGREEMENTS, VERBAL INFORMATION PUT BEFORE
- 20 THE COMMISSION BY BEACHES AND HARBORS AND COUNTY STAFF. WHY?
- 21 BECAUSE AT EACH COMMISSION MEETING, WE CATCH COUNTY STAFF
- 22 MAKING STATEMENTS THAT ARE INCORRECT, INCONSISTENT,
- 23 EXAGGERATED, CHANGED AND/OR DECEPTIVE. OFTEN THEY FEIGN
- 24 IGNORANCE WHEN THEY KNOW EXACTLY WHAT IS BEING ASKED OF THEM.
- 25 AND WHEN DEFENDING DEVELOPMENT AND LESSEES, COUNTY STAFF IS



- 1 ABLE TO RECITE THE MINUTEST OF DETAILS. WHEN ASKED OUESTIONS
- 2 FROM THE PUBLIC, THEY CAN CANNOT RECALL AND WILL GET BACK TO
- 3 US AT THE NEXT MEETING. IN THEIR ADVISORY LETTERS TO THE
- 4 BOARD, BEACHES AND HARBORS OFTEN OMITS CERTAIN DETAILS OF THE
- 5 SURROUNDING TRANSACTIONS WHICH WERE BURIED IN THE LEASE OR
- 6 OPTION AGREEMENTS. FINALLY LEASE AGREEMENTS FOR THE PUBLIC
- 7 LANDS OF MARINA DEL REY ARE POORLY WRITTEN. THEY ARE RIFE WITH
- 8 CLAUSES THAT ON THE ONE HAND CLEARLY STATE COUNTY'S POSITION
- 9 AND EXERT ITS FORCE ON DEADLINES, BUT THEN, AND
- 10 NOTWITHSTANDING THE FOREGOING CLAUSES TACKED ON AT THE END,
- 11 THAT CREATES A LARGE LOOPHOLE FOR THE DEVELOPERS. PLEASE
- 12 REMEMBER THAT THE COUNTY AND LESSEE ARE NOT THE ONLY PARTIES
- 13 TO THESE DEALS. THE PUBLIC HAS AN INTEREST IN THESE LEASES,
- 14 TOO. FINALLY MR. DEBLANC, I'M SURE YOU WILL SHOW THE UTMOST
- 15 RESPECT FOR THE GOVERNMENT PROCESS, NOT JUST COUNTY PROCESS
- 16 BUT ALL GOVERNMENT AGENCIES. UNFORTUNATELY, YOU WILL SEE AT
- 17 THE COMMISSION MEETINGS THE COUNTY STAFF HAS SHOWN LITTLE
- 18 RESPECT FOR THE PROCESS AND HAVE PUBLICLY DERIDED THE COASTAL
- 19 COMMISSION AND THEIR MAJOR FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS THAT
- 20 CARRY A SIGNIFICANT IMPACT ON THE FUTURE OF MARINA DEL REY.
- 21 THANK YOU.

22

23 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. MISS MARINO?



- 1 NANCY MARINO: GOOD AFTERNOON, SUPERVISORS, MY NAME IS NANCY
- 2 VERNON MARINO AND I, TOO, AM A RESIDENT OF MARINA DEL REY.
- 3 FIRST OF ALL, I WOULD LIKE TO SECOND THE CALL BY DR. DELANGE
- 4 AND MR. NEHHAS FOR AN INDEPENDENT COMMISSIONER AND ALSO FOR AN
- 5 INDEPENDENT SMALL CRAFT HARBOR COMMISSION. WHILE MR. DEBLANC
- 6 APPEARS TO BE IMMINENTLY WELL QUALIFIED TECHNICALLY, IN ORDER
- 7 TO ASCERTAIN HIS OBJECTIVITY AS A POTENTIAL SMALL CRAFT HARBOR
- 8 COMMISSION MEMBER, WE REQUEST THE FOLLOWING DISCLOSURES BY MR.
- 9 DEBLANC PRIOR TO THIS BOARD'S VOTE FOR OR AGAINST HIS
- 10 CONFIRMATION. FIRST, HIS FINANCIAL RELATIONSHIPS, IF ANY, WITH
- 11 ANY MARINA DEL REY LESSEE OR COMMERCIAL TENANT OR THEIR
- 12 AGENTS. SECONDLY, HIS FINANCIAL RELATIONSHIPS WITH OTHER L.A.
- 13 COUNTY DEPARTMENTS OR COUNTY-RELATED AGENCIES. WE WOULD LIKE
- 14 TO KNOW MR. DEBLANC 'S POSITION ON A MASTER PLAN, INCLUDING A
- 15 COMPREHENSIVE E.I.R. FOR MARINA DEL REY, VERSUS THE PRESENT
- 16 PIECEMEAL APPROACH TO REDEVELOPMENT. WHAT ARE HIS VIEWS ON
- 17 PROPOSED PRIVATE DEVELOPMENT THAT DOES NOT CONFORM TO OUR
- 18 CERTIFIED LOCAL COASTAL PROGRAM, AND ON THE LACK OF A PROPOSED
- 19 DRAFT AMENDMENT, EVEN, IN SUPPORT OF THESE PROJECTS? WE WOULD
- 20 ALSO LIKE TO KNOW IF MR. DEBLANC HAS BEEN FULLY APPRISED OF
- 21 THE PUBLIC RECREATION INTENT OF MARINA DEL REY, INCLUDING
- 22 HOUSE DOCUMENT 389, WHICH ESTABLISHED THE PURPOSE AND THE
- 23 FUNDING SUPPORT FOR THE MARINA. IS HE AWARE OF THE COUNTY'S
- 24 GOAL FOR PARKS AND OPEN SPACE IN UNINCORPORATED AREAS OF 4
- 25 ACRES PER 1,000 RESIDENTS? IS HE AWARE THAT THE COUNTY HAS



- 1 ONLY MET 12 PERCENT OF THAT TARGET? IS HE FAMILIAR WITH THE
- 2 RESEARCH OF MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY'S DR. ED MAHONEY THAT
- 3 THERE IS NO "FREE MARKET" IN MARINAS AND RECREATIONAL BOATING
- 4 DUE TO RESTRICTED SUPPLY. THESE ARE SOME OF OUR CONCERNS
- 5 REGARDING YOUR DESIGNEE. IN SUMMARY, WE ARE CONCERNED THAT MR.
- 6 DEBLANC BRING TO THE SMALL CRAFT HARBOR COMMISSION WHAT THE
- 7 OFFICIAL MARINA DEL REY STUDY BY U.S.C. SEA GRANT PROJECT
- 8 PROMISED MANY YEARS AGO, TO WIT, INCREASES IN PUBLIC SPACE CAN
- 9 BE EXPECTED ONLY WHEN THE MARINA'S DEBTS ARE PAID AND THE
- 10 DEMANDS OF THE GENERAL PUBLIC CAN BE ACCORDED EQUAL IMPORTANCE
- 11 WITH THOSE OF PRIVATE INVESTORS. PLEASE INSTRUCT MR. DEBLANC
- 12 TO HELP THE SMALL CRAFT HARBOR COMMISSION FULFILL THAT
- 13 PROMISE. THE MARINA'S DEBT WAS PAID OFF IN 1993, AND WE ARE
- 14 STILL WAITING FOR THAT PROMISE TO BE FULFILLED. THANK YOU FOR
- 15 YOUR CONSIDERATION.

16

- 17 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. THAT CONCLUDES THE REQUESTS TO
- 18 SPEAK. I MOVE ITEM 1, APPOINTMENT OF MR. DEBLANC. SECONDED BY
- 19 YAROSLAVSKY, WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. AT THIS TIME WHY
- 20 DON'T WE TAKE UP S-2? CAN WE GET THE DEPARTMENT? IS DR.
- 21 CHERNOF HERE? IF HE'S NOT READY, I'LL GO ON WITH SOME OF THE
- 22 OTHER ITEMS. HERE'S DR. CHERNOF. THANK YOU. DR. CHERNOF?

- 24 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: SUPERVISORS, I AM VERY PLEASED TO SHARE
- 25 WITH ALL OF YOU THAT BECAUSE OF THE INTERVENTIONS DESCRIBED



- 1 LAST WEEK IN FRONT OF YOUR BOARD, THAT HARBOR, DURING AN
- 2 UNANNOUNCED VISIT LATE LAST WEEK, WAS ABLE TO HAVE ITS
- 3 IMMEDIATE JEOPARDY LIFTED BASED UPON THE INTERVENTIONS TAKEN.
- 4 WE HAVE PROVIDED YOUR BOARD WITH A REPORT THAT ANSWERS, WE
- 5 HOPE, THE MAJORITY, IF NOT ALL OF THE QUESTIONS THAT WERE
- 6 RAISED FOR DATA AND WHERE WE ARE. DOCTOR SPLANO, MY COMMITMENT
- 7 TO YOUR BOARD IS TO CONTINUE THE WORK AT HARBOR. THIS IS NOT
- 8 ABOUT SIMPLY SOLVING THE IMMEDIATE JEOPARDY ISSUE, NOR IS THIS
- 9 FRANKLY A CHALLENGE ABOUT ONE HOSPITAL. BUT THIS IS ABOUT
- 10 DEALING WITH ALL THE IMPACTED HOSPITALS, BOTH PUBLIC AND
- 11 PRIVATE, THAT HAVE BEEN AFFECTED BOTH BY THE KING CLOSURE AS
- 12 WELL AS THE OTHER PRIVATE CLOSURES IN THE SOUTH LOS ANGELES
- 13 AND SOUTH BAY AREAS. SO THIS IS GOOD NEWS FOR HARBOR. THE
- 14 STAFF THAT DID A SUPERB JOB AND SHOULD BE RECOGNIZED FOR THAT.
- 15 AND I AM VERY PROUD OF MY TEAM WHO HELPED THEM GET OVER THE
- 16 LINE. AND WITH THAT, WE WILL BE BACK TO YOUR BOARD ON A
- 17 REGULAR BASIS TO UPDATE YOU WITH INFORMATION.

- 19 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WELL I WOULD LIKE TO SAY WE HAVE SOME
- 20 PEOPLE WHO WISH TO SPEAK, BUT I'D LIKE TO SAY TO THE HARBOR
- 21 STAFF, WE APPRECIATE SO MUCH THEIR GETTING UP TO THE PLATE.
- 22 [APPLAUSE.] AND DOING WHAT THEY'VE BEEN DOING SO WELL. THIS IS
- 23 OUR PREMIER HOSPITAL. IT HAS BEEN NATIONALLY RECOGNIZED. THEY
- 24 HAVE BEEN UNDER TREMENDOUS STRAIN. AND I WOULD LIKE TO SAY TO
- 25 THE STAFF, STAY IN THERE. WE DON'T WANT TO KEEP LOSING STAFF



- 1 BECAUSE PEOPLE THINK IN ANY WAY THAT THIS BOARD HAS LOST
- 2 CONFIDENCE IN YOUR ABILITY TO MOVE FORWARD. SO I WANT TO THANK
- 3 YOU FOR TAKING THE NECESSARY STEPS. BUT IF THEY CONTINUE TO
- 4 GET MORE PATIENTS WITHOUT THE SPACE, I DON'T KNOW WHAT
- 5 HAPPENS.

6

- 7 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: EVERY HOSPITAL, BOTH PUBLIC AND PRIVATE,
- 8 FACES THIS CHALLENGE.

9

- 10 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: YES, BUT HARBOR A LITTLE BIT MORE THAN
- 11 EVERYONE ELSE.

12

13 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: I'M WITH YOU THERE.

14

15 **SUP. KNABE: MADAME CHAIR?** 

16

17 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: YES, SUPERVISOR KNABE.

- 19 SUP. KNABE: I ADD TO THAT. EVERY HOSPITAL IS FACING THAT. BUT
- 20 THE THING THAT CONCERNED ME EARLY ON WAS WHETHER OR NOT WE
- 21 WERE SHORING UP HARBOR U.C.L.A., BECAUSE THE IMPACT, WITH THE
- 22 CLOSURE OF M.L.K., WAS IMMEDIATE ON THEM. I THINK THEY HAVE
- 23 JUST DONE AN ABSOLUTELY PHENOMENAL JOB DOWN THERE. WE HAD THAT
- 24 ONE INCIDENT DOWN THERE WHERE IT CREATED A SITUATION FOR THEM,
- 25 BUT THE CULTURE THERE WAS TO FIX THE PROBLEM AND FIX IT AS



- 1 FAST AS POSSIBLE. I JUST WANT TO COMMEND, AS SUPERVISOR BURKE
- 2 SAID, EVERYONE, . STAFF MEMBERS, YOUR TEAM THAT WAS DOWN THERE.
- 3 BUT I ALSO WANT TO REITERATE, I WANT TO MAKE SURE WE'RE NOT
- 4 SHORTCHANGING RESOURCES TO MAKE SURE THAT THEY-- WHERE THEY
- 5 ARE, WHETHER IT BE THE HOSPITAL, WHETHER IT BE THE CORE
- 6 PROGRAM, WHATEVER IT MAY BE. THEY NEED TO BE SHORED UP BECAUSE
- 7 THAT IMPACT-- AND MY FRUSTRATION, YOU GAVE ME THE REPORT THAT
- 8 EVERYTHING WAS MOVING ALONG OKAY AND NO REAL IMPACT, BUT IT
- 9 WAS BUILDING AND IT GOT TO A CRESCENDO. SO I THINK EVERYBODY
- 10 IS AWARE OF THAT. WE'RE ALL IN TUNE ON THAT. BUT WE NEED TO
- 11 MAKE SURE THAT THAT HOSPITAL AND THAT GREAT TEAM DOWN THERE
- 12 HAS THE RESOURCES NECESSARY TO DEAL WITH WHAT THEY'RE DEALING
- 13 WITH IN THIS PARTICULAR SITUATION.

14

15 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: ABSOLUTELY, SUPERVISOR.

16

- 17 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WELL THERE ARE THREE PEOPLE WHO HAVE ASKED
- 18 TO SPEAK. DR. CLAVREUL, KAREN MORRIS AND ARNOLD SACHS. WOULD
- 19 YOU PLEASE COME FORWARD? I'LL CALL THE FIRST PERSON WHO GETS
- 20 HERE FIRST. DR. CLAVREUL, YOU'RE THE FIRST PERSON UP HERE, SO
- 21 YOU SPEAK FIRST.

- 23 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: GOOD AFTERNOON, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS,
- 24 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL. I'M GLAD TO SEE THE CORRECTIONS WERE
- 25 MADE. BUT AS WE ALL KNOW, THE CITY HOSPITAL WHO DOES NOT HAVE



- 1 THE SPACE, ESPECIALLY THE SURGERY SUITES, SOMETHING NEEDS TO
- 2 BE DONE. I THINK THE EMPLOYEES AT HARBOR U.C.L.A. ARE DOING A
- 3 GREAT JOB BUT YOU CAN ONLY DO SO MUCH WHEN YOU DON'T HAVE THE
- 4 PHYSICAL CAPABILITY TO DO THE WORK. I THINK AT HARBOR, THIS IS
- 5 REALLY WHAT THE SITUATION IS. THE FACILITY IS NOT ON THE SIZE
- 6 TO ACCOMMODATE ALL THE PATIENTS. AND I THINK WE NEED TO REALLY
- 7 LOOK AT THAT AND DO SOMETHING AS SOON AS POSSIBLE. THANK YOU.

8

- 9 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WELL WE DO HAVE A SURGERY CENTER THAT IS
- 10 COMING ALONG. NOT FAST ENOUGH. NOT FAST ENOUGH.

- 12 KAREN MORRIS: KAREN MORRIS, RESEARCH AND POLICY, S.E.I.U.
- 13 7201. WE ARE VERY PROUD OF THE WORK OF OUR MEMBERS AT HARBOR
- 14 U.C.L.A. WE HAVE BEEN PART OF THE EFFORT TO BRING THE HOSPITAL
- 15 OUT OF C.M.S. IMMEDIATE JEOPARDY AND INTO FULL COMPLIANCE. WE
- 16 WILL BE WORKING CLOSELY WITH THE HOSPITAL ADMINISTRATION TO
- 17 ENSURE THAT THE PLAN OF CORRECTION IS SUSTAINED AND PATIENT
- 18 SAFETY IS PROTECTED. WE BELIEVE THAT THROUGH PARTNERSHIP WE
- 19 CAN ACHIEVE THIS, INCLUDING PASSING THE CRITICAL UPCOMING
- 20 JOINT COMMISSION SURVEY. THE CHALLENGES RELATED TO HARBOR
- 21 U.C.L.A. EMERGENCY ROOM ARE DIRECTLY LINKED TO OUR SYSTEM'S
- 22 CAPACITY TO PROVIDE OUTPATIENT SERVICES. AS WE WORK TO ADDRESS
- 23 ISSUES RELATED TO OVERCROWDING AND LONG WAIT TIMES IN OUR
- 24 E.R.S IT WOULD BE A FATAL ERROR TO REDUCE OUR CLINIC'S
- 25 SERVICES. FEWER OUTPATIENT VISITS THROUGH EITHER CLOSURES OR

12

14

### The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- 1 AS A FALLOUT OF PRIVATE INVASION MEAN MORE E.R. VISITS.
- 2 PATIENTS WITH LIMITED OPTIONS TO SEEK PREVENTATIVE CARE IN OUR
- 3 COUNTY CLINICS ARE MORE LIKELY TO END UP SEEKING CARE IN OUR
- 4 EMERGENCY ROOMS. TO TREAT THE DISCUSSIONS OF CLINIC CAPACITY
- 5 AND E.R. OVERCROWDING AS TWO SEPARATE ISSUES MISSES THE VERY
- 6 REAL LINK BETWEEN THE TWO. INSTEAD OF A RUSH TO CLOSURE OR
- 7 PRIVATIZATION, WE NEED A THOUGHTFUL STRATEGY THAT LOOKS AT THE
- 8 ENTIRE SYSTEM AND THE NEEDS OF OUR PATIENTS WITHIN IT. ANY
- 9 MOVE TO REDUCE OUTPATIENT SERVICES WILL HAVE A DESTABILIZING
- 10 EFFECT ON THE EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT AT HARBOR U.C.L.A. AND ON
- 11 THE LARGER SYSTEM OF WHICH IT IS A PART. THANK YOU.

13 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. MR. SACHS?

15 ARNOLD SACHS: THANK YOU, GOOD AFTERNOON. CONGRATULATIONS ON

- 16 SUCCESSFULLY PASSING THE MANDATE THAT WAS ISSUED. BUT I REALLY
- 17 WOULD HAVE LIKED TO HAVE HEARD SOME OF THE REPORTS FROM DR.
- 18 CHERNOF REGARDING SOME OF THE OUESTIONS THAT WERE ASKED LAST
- 19 WEEK IN DEALING WITH THE TIME OF VISIT THAT SOMEBODY COMES
- 20 INTO A HOSPITAL AND WHEN THEY'RE SEEN BY A PHYSICIAN AND THE
- 21 DIFFERENT QUESTIONS THAT WERE ASKED BY THE SUPERVISORS.
- 22 ALTHOUGH HE SAID THAT THE HOSPITAL PASSED ITS INSPECTION, NONE
- 23 OF THAT INFORMATION WAS GIVEN OUT AT THIS MEETING. AND WE WERE
- 24 TOLD AT THE LAST MEETING THAT THAT INFORMATION WAS REQUESTED
- 25 BY THE SUPERVISORS AND WOULD BE GIVEN TO US AT THIS MEETING.



- 1 SO BASICALLY BY PASSING THIS INSPECTION, IT SEEMS TO ME THAT
- 2 THE INFORMATION THAT WAS REQUESTED HAS BEEN PUT ON THE BACK
- 3 BURNER AND MAY NOT BE AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC. AND I'D JUST
- 4 LIKE TO KNOW THAT IT IS AVAILABLE. THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME.

5

- 6 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: DON'T LEAVE. WE'LL CALL UP ITEM 13. ARE YOU
- 7 HOLDING 13?

8

9 ARNOLD SACHS: I THINK I'M HOLDING 13.

10

11 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: AND I THINK YOU'RE HOLDING 18.

12

- 13 SUP. MOLINA: THE QUESTIONS THAT I HAD, THAT IS FOR MY
- 14 INFORMATION. IS THAT WHAT YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT?

15

- 16 ARNOLD SACHS: I WAS TALKING ABOUT THE-- I KNOW THAT SEVERAL OF
- 17 THE SUPERVISORS WERE CONCERNED ABOUT THE EXPLANATION HE WAS
- 18 GIVING FOR THE TIME OF WHEN A PERSON SHOWS UP BETWEEN-- FOR A
- 19 VISIT-- WHEN HE'S SEEN BY AN INTERN OR WHEN HE'S SEEN BY A
- 20 DOCTOR AND WHEN HE'S GOTTEN CARE. IT WAS A DIFFERENT RATIO OR
- 21 STATISTIC FOR THAT. THAT WAS INFORMATION THAT WAS PROMISED TO
- 22 BE GIVEN TO THE PUBLIC.

23

24 SUP. MOLINA: NO. YOU'RE MISINFORMING THE PUBLIC, OKAY?



1 ARNOLD SACHS: I'M SORRY.

2

3 SUP. MOLINA: DON'T DO THAT. I ASKED THE QUESTIONS FOR ME.

4

5 ARNOLD SACHS: YOU ASKED THE QUESTIONS FOR YOU.

6

7 SUP. MOLINA: THAT'S CORRECT.

8

- 9 ARNOLD SACHS: BUT WEREN'T YOU ASKING THE QUESTIONS WITH REGARD
- 10 TO PUBLIC SAFETY?

11

- 12 SUP. MOLINA: I ASKED THE QUESTIONS FOR MYSELF. I JUST WANT TO
- 13 CLARIFY.

14

- 15 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: STAY RIGHT WHERE YOU ARE. ITEM 13, 18, 33,
- 16 47.

17

- 18 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: MADAME CHAIR, ON ITEM S-2, DO YOU WANT TO
- 19 RECEIVE AND FILE?

20

21 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: RECEIVE AND FILE, WITHOUT OBJECTION.

- 23 ARNOLD SACHS: ITEM 13 DEALS WITH THE GRANT, \$19 MILLION
- 24 HOMELAND SECURITY GRANT PROGRAM. I SEE ALL THIS AUTHORIZATION
- 25 GOING OUT, BUT I'D LIKE TO SEE THAT THERE'S SOME KIND OF AUDIT



- 1 DONE ON A TIMELY MANNER TO SEE WHERE THE MONEY IS GOING TO AND
- 2 WHAT THE MONEY IS SPENT ON AND THAT IT'S BEING SPENT IN A
- 3 TIMELY MANNER AND THERE'S NOTHING IN THIS ITEM ON YOUR AGENDA
- 4 THAT SPECIFIES OR ASKS FOR AN AUDIT TO BE INCLUDED IN THE
- 5 DISTRIBUTION OF THE FUNDING. AND I THINK THAT'S IMPORTANT TO
- 6 HAVE, ESPECIALLY \$19 MILLION WORTH.

7

- 8 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT MOVED BY ANTONOVICH, SECONDED BY
- 9 YAROSLAVSKY.

10

11 ARNOLD SACHS: THANK YOU FOR YOUR ANSWERS.

12

- 13 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. THE NEXT
- 14 ITEM IS 18.

- 16 ARNOLD SACHS: EIGHTEEN DEALS WITH CANCELLATION OF A SHUTTLE, A
- 17 METRO SHUTTLE. AND ACCORDING TO WHAT THEY SAY, BECAUSE PUBLIC
- 18 TRANSPORTATION RUNS REGULARLY FROM THE METRO STATION TO THE
- 19 DEPARTMENT'S OFFICE. IT DOESN'T NECESSARILY MENTION WHICH
- 20 METRO STATION WE'RE TALKING ABOUT. AND HAVING BEEN TO MANY
- 21 DIFFERENT COUNCIL MEETINGS FOR METRO, METRO DISTRICT MEETINGS,
- 22 THEY SEEM TO IMPLY THAT WHEN THEY'RE CUTTING SERVICE, THEY'RE
- 23 CUTTING EAST/WEST SERVICE, IT'S NOT NECESSARILY LOSING SERVICE
- 24 BECAUSE THE STOPS THAT MAY BE AFFECTED HAVE NORTH/SOUTH
- 25 SERVICE. AND THEY'RE REALLY NOT THE SAME. SO I WAS JUST



- 1 CURIOUS TO KNOW THAT -- WHAT KIND OF SERVICES ARE AVAILABLE AT
- 2 THIS METRO STOP? AND WHERE IS THIS METRO STATION? THANK YOU
- 3 FOR YOUR ANSWERS. THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME.

4

- 5 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MOVED BY KNABE, SECONDED BY YAROSLAVSKY.
- 6 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

7

- 8 SUP. KNABE: MADAME CHAIR? YOUR QUESTION ABOUT THE GRANTS. IN
- 9 THE GRANT, THERE IS AUDIT PROVISIONS BY THE DEPARTMENT OF
- 10 HOMELAND SECURITY. OBVIOUSLY WE HAVE TO CONTROL IT INTERNALLY
- 11 BUT WE HAVE TO BE PREPARED FOR ON THE SPOT AUDITS FROM THE
- 12 DEPARTMENT. SO THAT MONEY IS AUDITED. BUT THE AUDIT'S AT THE
- 13 OTHER END.

14

15 ARNOLD SACHS: THAT'S ALL I'M ASKING FOR. THANK YOU, SIR.

16

17 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ITEM 47?

18

19 ARNOLD SACHS: YOU SKIPPED 22, MA'AM.

20

- 21 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WE'RE GOING TO COME BACK TO ITEM 22 BECAUSE
- 22 DR. CLAVREUL WANTS TO SPEAK ON THAT, TOO. 33 MOVED BY KNABE,
- 23 SECONDED BY YAROSLAVSKY; WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

24

25 **ARNOLD SACHS:** I HAVEN'T DONE 33, MA'AM.



2 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: OKAY.

3

1

4 ARNOLD SACHS: SO SHOULD I DO 33 NOW?

5

6 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: YES, PLEASE DO IT NOW.

7

- 8 ARNOLD SACHS: (LAUGHING) THIRTY-THREE IS FUNDING FOR SOME BUS
- 9 SHELTER BENCHES OR BUS SHELTER FACILITIES IN THE
- 10 UNINCORPORATED COMMUNITIES OF HACIENDA HEIGHTS, SOUTH SAN JOSE
- 11 HILLS AND WEST ARCADIA. WILL ANY FUNDING BE AVAILABLE FOR THE
- 12 FOURTH DISTRICT FOR BUS FACILITIES, BUS SHELTER FACILITIES IN
- 13 THE UNINCORPORATED AREA THERE? THANK YOU FOR YOUR ANSWERS AND
- 14 THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME.

15

- 16 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: I THINK IT SAYS 1, 4 AND 5. MOVED BY KNABE,
- 17 SECONDED BY ANTONOVICH; WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED ON 33.
- 18 AND THE NEXT ITEM IS 47, I'M SORRY.

19

- 20 ARNOLD SACHS: FORTY-SEVEN. THIS MATTER, THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY
- 21 CAPITAL ASSET LEASING CORPORATION, THAT'S SEPARATE AND APART
- 22 FROM ANYTHING INVOLVED LOS ANGELES COUNTY, IS THAT CORRECT? OR
- 23 IS THAT A FOR PROFIT CORPORATION? D CORPORATION? OR IS THAT
- 24 ANY DUE PART OF THE COUNTY? I'M JUST ASKING FOR CLARIFICATION.



- 1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: IT'S PART OF THE COUNTY. THANK YOU FOR YOUR
- 2 ANSWERS AND THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME.

3

- 4 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: AND WE'LL CALL UP 22 NEXT. SUPERVISOR
- 5 ANTONOVICH MOVES 47. SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY SECONDS. WITHOUT
- 6 OBJECTION, ITEM 47 IS APPROVED. NOW, ITEM 22, DR. CLAVREUL,
- 7 YOU ASKED TO SPEAK ON 22. WOULD YOU PLEASE COME FORWARD? AND
- 8 MR. SACHS, YOU CAN START ON 22.

9

- 10 ARNOLD SACHS: ITEM 22, JUST GENERALLY SPEAKING, GOING THROUGH
- 11 THIS, YOU ACTUALLY HAVE 93 DIFFERENT SERVICE AGREEMENTS WITH
- 12 DIFFERENT HUMAN H.I.V./A.I.D.S. ORGANIZATIONS? THERE'S 54 IN
- 13 THE FIRST TWO PARTS OF THE ITEM OF YOUR AGENDA THEN THERE'S
- 14 14, 17 AND 8. ISN'T THERE SOME-- DOES ANYBODY REALIZE OR
- 15 ANYBODY THINK ABOUT THE FACT THAT THERE COULD BE DUPLICATION
- 16 WITH ALL THESE DIFFERENT ORGANIZATIONS? OR ARE SOME OF THESE
- 17 SERVICE CONTRACTS, SERVICE AGREEMENTS THAT ARE WITHIN THIS
- 18 ITEM ON YOUR AGENDA WITH THE SAME CONTRACTING COMPANY? THAT'S
- 19 AN AWFUL LOT OF A.I.D.S. ORGANIZATIONS.

20

21 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. YES.

- 23 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: GOOD AFTERNOON. DR. GENEVIEVE
- 24 CLAVREUL. I HAVE ASKED THE DOCUMENT VIS-A-VIS OF THIS ITEM,
- 25 22. I HAVE NOT RECEIVED THE DOCUMENT. YOU KNOW, EVERY YEAR WE



- 1 GO THROUGH THIS ROUTINE. WE REAPPROVE EVERY H.I.V./A.I.D.S.
- 2 CONTRACT, NOT PAYING ATTENTION OF THE PERFORMANCE OF THE YEARS
- 3 BEFORE. EVERY YEAR I'M PROMISED, ESPECIALLY BY YOU, SUPERVISOR
- 4 BURKE, THAT WILL NOT HAPPEN NEXT YEAR. THIS HAS BEEN GOING ON
- 5 FOR AT LEAST FIVE YEARS THAT I AM COGNIZANT OF. AND GIVEN THAT
- 6 YOUR COMMISSION OF THE H.I.V. IS REALLY A ROGUE COMMISSION, IT
- 7 PROBABLY DOES NOT MEET THE CRITERIA OF H.R.S.A.. I HAVE SEEN A
- 8 LOT OF THOSE GRANTS COULD BE CHALLENGED. AND I THINK THIS YEAR
- 9 I'D BE GLAD TO CHALLENGE IT MYSELF AND DEMAND A FULL AUDIT
- 10 FROM H.R.S.A.. ON HOW THAT MONEY WAS SPENT, HOW IT WAS
- 11 DISBURSED AND ACTUALLY HOW MUCH MONEY ARE TRULY GOING TO
- 12 PATIENTS WHO HAVE H.I.V. AND A.I.D.S. THANK YOU.

13

- 14 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: STAY RIGHT THERE DR. CLAVREUL. MOVED BY
- 15 SUPERVISOR MOLINA, SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, WITHOUT
- 16 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. WE'LL CALL UP 48-B. YOU ASKED TO SPEAK
- 17 ON THAT.

- 19 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: IT'S NOT A REPORT THAT COMINGS WITH
- 20 THAT MOTION FROM SUPERVISOR KNABE? WELL, I GUESS I LIKE THE
- 21 MOTION FROM SUPERVISOR KNABE. AND I'M CERTAINLY IN SUPPORT OF
- 22 IT. I WILL BE VERY PLEASED AND SURPRISED IF YOU'D GET A REALLY
- 23 DETAILED PROJECT BUDGET. AND IF YOU ACTUALLY GET A TRUE
- 24 ANALYSIS OF PROPOSITION A AND SO ON. BECAUSE THE ONLY REPORTS
- 25 WE ARE GETTING ARE CERTAINLY NOT PRECISE AND DETAILED. I'M



- 1 LOOKING FORWARD TO SEE THAT AND I HOPE THAT YOU DEMAND AND YOU
- 2 ARE GIVEN WHAT YOU ASK.

3

4 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT, THANK YOU.

5

6 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: I WOULDN'T HOLD MY BREATH ON THIS ONE.

7

- 8 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. A NUMBER OF PEOPLE HAVE ASKED TO
- 9 SPEAK ON 48 B WHO HAVE ALSO ASKED TO SPEAK ON S-3. WE HAVE TO
- 10 WAIT UNTIL 2:30 FOR S-3 BUT WE CAN START WITH 48. I'D LIKE TO
- 11 ASK GLORIA RODRIGUEZ AND HECTOR FLORES TO COME FORWARD. AND
- 12 KATHY OCHOA. OKAY.

13

14 SUP. MOLINA: WHY DOES IT HAVE TO BE EXACTLY AT 2:30?

15

- 16 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THAT'S WHAT I WAS ASKED. ALL RIGHT. WOULD
- 17 YOU LIKE TO WAIT UNTIL WE-- WELL, WE CAN HOLD --

18

- 19 SUP. MOLINA: I'M JUST SAYING, WOULDN'T IT BE MORE RELEVANT TO
- 20 HAVE YOUR TESTIMONY AFTER THE REPORT? IT JUST DOESN'T MAKE
- 21 SENSE. I JUST THINK THESE PEOPLE COM --

22

23 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WE'LL COME BACK TO IT.

24

25 SUP. MOLINA: WE CAN'T DO IT BEFOREHAND?



1

2 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: NO.

3

- 4 SUP. MOLINA: I APOLOGIZE. IF SOME OF YOU HAVE TO LEAVE, I
- 5 GUESS THEY SHOULD TESTIFY. BUT I THINK IT WOULD BE WORTH
- 6 WORTHWHILE TO HEAR THE REPORT.

7

- 8 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: REALLY WHAT I THOUGHT IS THAT WE COULD
- 9 START AND TAKE SOME OF THE TESTIMONY OF THOSE PEOPLE WHO
- 10 CERTAINLY HAD SOME IDEA OF WHAT THEY WERE GOING TO TESTIFY ON,
- 11 AND THEN BY 2:30 WE WOULD GET THE REPORT AND HAVE THE
- 12 REMAINDER OF THE PEOPLE WHO ARE HERE.

13

14 SUP. MOLINA: WE HAVE NO OTHER ITEMS?

15

- 16 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: YES, WE HAVE.. I'LL MOVE ON TO SUPERVISOR
- 17 YAROSLAVSKY.

18

- 19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MADAME CHAIR, THE OTHER THING YOU COULD DO
- 20 IS WHAT YOU COULD DO IS TESTIFY IN PUBLIC COMMENT. WE'LL TAKE
- 21 THEIR PUBLIC COMMENT NOW. BUT TECHNICALLY WE'RE NOT SUPPOSED
- 22 TO HEAR THE ITEM.

- 24 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WELL WHY DON'T YOU GO ON, AND THEN WE'LL
- 25 COME BACK.



1

- 2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I HAVE ONE ADJOURNING MOTION AND THAT IS FOR
- 3 HERBERT WELLS. HERBERT WELLS, A LONG TIME RESIDENT OF THE
- 4 COUNTY AND FOUNDER OF THE INTERNATIONAL COATINGS COMPANY, A
- 5 HIGHLY SUCCESSFUL PLASTICS COATINGS AND ADHESIVES COMPANY, WHO
- 6 DIED AT THE AGE OF 90. HE IS SURVIVED BY THREE DAUGHTERS,
- 7 CAROL, SUSAN AND JANET AND FAMILY AND RELATIVES AND MANY
- 8 FRIENDS. THAT'S MY ONLY ADJOURNMENT.

9

10 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: SO ORDERED.

11

- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I WAS HOLDING ITEM 21. I DON'T KNOW WHO FROM
- 13 THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT IS HERE ON THAT ONE. ITEM 21?

14

- 15 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: SOMEONE FROM THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT ON 21,
- 16 PLEASE COME FORWARD?

17

18 SPEAKER: GOOD MORNING.

19

- 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: GOOD MORNING. COULD YOU JUST, IN A COUPLE OF
- 21 SENTENCES, EXPLAIN WHAT THIS ISSUE-- WHAT BRINGS US TO THIS--
- 22 WHAT BRINGS THIS ISSUE TO THE AGENDA? IS THERE AN INCREASE IN
- 23 FUNDING THAT WAS MADE AVAILABLE?



- 1 SPEAKER: IT'S AN INVESTMENT MADE BY OUR FEDERAL PARTNERS FOR
- 2 MINORITY A.I.D.S. INITIATIVE SERVICES. IT'S NOT A NEW SET OF
- 3 RESOURCES. IT IS A NEWLY-- NEW SET OF RESOURCES THAT WE'VE
- 4 COMPETED FOR, FOR THE FIRST TIME. IN THE LAST \_\_\_\_\_\_
- 5 AUTHORIZATION, H.R.S.A. WAS ASKED BY CONGRESS TO TEASE OUT THE
- 6 M.A.I. PORTION OF THE PART A AWARD AND TO SEPARATELY COMPETE
- 7 THIS ACROSS THE COUNTRY. SO L.A. COUNTY HAS COMPETED FOR
- 8 M.A.I. RESOURCES. THOSE RESOURCES WERE DELAYED FIVE MONTHS TO
- 9 OUR COUNTY. THEY HAVE BEEN AVAILABLE TO US SINCE AUGUST. BUT
- 10 IT COMES WITH A COUPLE OF CAVEATS. ONE OF THE MOST CRITICAL
- 11 CAVEATS IS THAT WE USE OR LOSE THE RESOURCES. H.R.S.A. ASKED
- 12 TO MAKE SURE THAT WE OBLIGATE AND SPEND THE RESOURCES TO MAKE
- 13 SURE THAT YEAR 18 AND YEAR 19, AS OPPOSED TO YOUR 17, M.A.I.
- 14 RESOURCES ARE GUARANTEED. SO WE'VE ADOPTED A STRATEGY, AN
- 15 INTERIM INVESTMENT PLAN TO INVEST M.A.I. RESOURCES IN THREE
- 16 AREAS CONSISTENT WITH THE COMMISSION ON H.I.V.
- 17 RECOMMENDATIONS. AND THAT INVESTMENT PLAN WILL BE IN PLACE
- 18 UNTIL WE SOLICIT THE ENTIRE SERVICE CATEGORIES THAT M.A.I.
- 19 CURRENTLY SUPPORTS.

20

22

21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT YEAR ARE WE IN CURRENTLY?

- 23 SPEAKER: WE ARE IN YEAR 17 AS OF AUGUST 1, 2007, FOR M.A.I. WE
- 24 ARE IN YEAR 18 FOR PART A, STARTING MARCH 1, 2008. SO



- 1 DEPENDING UPON THE STREAM OF THE CARE ACT, IT MIGHT BE A
- 2 DIFFERENT CARE ACT YEAR.

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: HOW MUCH ADDITIONAL MONEY WAS APPROPRIATED
- 5 BY THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT FOR THIS, OR PROVIDED BY THE FEDERAL
- 6 GOVERNMENT FOR THIS?

7

- 8 SPEAKER: HISTORICALLY L.A. COUNTY RECEIVED \$2.2 MILLION IN
- 9 M.A.I. FUNDING. STARTING AUGUST 12007 WE RECEIVED \$2.5
- 10 MILLION. HISTORICALLY WE HAVE INVESTED THE M.A.I. AWARD IN
- 11 THREE AREAS: PSYCHOSOCIAL CASE MANAGEMENT, MEDICAL OUTPATIENT
- 12 SERVICES AND ORAL HEALTHCARE. BASED UPON SOME SHIFTS IN
- 13 PRIORITIES AT THE COMMISSION ON H.I.V. LEVEL, WE WILL NOW
- 14 INVEST RESOURCES IN TWO NEW AREAS: MEDICAL CASE MANAGEMENT AND
- 15 E.I.P. IN ADDITION TO KEEPING ORAL HEALTHCARE IN PLACE. WHAT
- 16 WE WILL NO LONGER SUPPORT IN L.A. WITH M.A.I. RESOURCES ARE
- 17 MEDICAL OUTPATIENT CARE AND PSYCHOSOCIAL CASE MANAGEMENT. SO
- 18 IT'S REALLY A SHIFT IN HOW WE SPEND THE MONEY.

19

20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IT'S AN ADDITIONAL \$400,000.

21

- 22 **SPEAKER:** IT'S CLOSE TO \$200,000. IT MIGHT BE 2.3 TO 2.5. IT
- 23 WAS ABOUT A 200,000 INCREASE.

24

25 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IS THAT IT? FOR THE WHOLE--



1
2 SPEAKER: THAT'S THE ENTIRE M.A.I. AWARD.

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I WAS UNDER THE IMPRESSION IT WAS OVER A
- 5 MILLION, MILLION AND A HALF DOLLARS.

6

- 7 SPEAKER: NO. THE ENTIRE M.A.I.. AWARD IS JUST SLIGHTLY OVER
- 8 \$2.5 MILLION. THE EXACT NUMBER IS . IT'S 2,528,561.

9

- 10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHY DOES THE AGENDA ITEM SAY WE'RE GOING
- 11 FROM 1.3 TO 2.4 MILLION?

12

- 13 SPEAKER: WHAT THE BOARD LETTER REFLECTS IS THE SUBSET OF THE
- 14 ENTIRE 2.5 THAT REQUIRES BOARD APPROVAL. IN FACT, WHAT WE'VE
- 15 DONE IS WE'VE IDENTIFIED 8 DIFFERENT PROVIDERS AND 13
- 16 DIFFERENT CONTRACTS TO AUGMENT THEIR EXISTING SERVICE DELIVERY
- 17 SYSTEM WITH M.A.I. RESOURCES. WE HAVE FORWARDED A MEMO TO THE
- 18 HEALTH DEPUTIES ON FRIDAY THAT OUTLINES THE ENTIRE INVESTMENT
- 19 PLAN, THE ENTIRE INTERIM INVESTMENT PLAN, THAT REFLECTS THE
- 20 ROUGHLY \$2.3 MILLION THAT WE ARE GOING TO SPEND ON M.A.I.
- 21 STARTING ON MARCH 1 IF APPROVED BY YOUR BOARD.

22

- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO THE ADDED \$1,100,000 THAT'S REPRESENTED
- 24 IN YOUR BOARD LETTER DOES NOT REPRESENT ADDITIONAL FUNDS?



1 SPEAKER: NO, IT DOES NOT.

2

3 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO WHAT DOES IT REPRESENT?

4

- 5 SPEAKER: WHEN WE WERE ASKED TO SHIFT THE PRIORITY OF THE
- 6 M.A.I. FUNDING FROM THE OLD MODEL TO THE NEW MODEL, WE
- 7 IDENTIFIED EXISTING PROVIDERS WHO COULD IMMEDIATELY RAMP UP
- 8 AND PROVIDE ADDITIONAL SERVICES. WE IDENTIFIED EXISTING
- 9 CONTRACTS TO AMEND OR AUGMENT THOSE. NOW, UNFORTUNATELY IN THE
- 10 BOARD LETTER, WE'VE ONLY PAINTED A PARTIAL PICTURE. WE'VE ONLY
- 11 PAINTED THE PICTURE FOR THOSE CONTRACTS THAT EXCEED THE
- 12 DEPARTMENT'S AUTHORITY TO INCREASE THE AMOUNT BY MORE THAN 15
- 13 PERCENT. SO IN THE BOARD LETTER, THERE'S REFERENCE TO A
- 14 MILLION 065 ROUGHLY, THAT'S ONLY A FRACTION OF THE TOTAL
- 15 INVESTMENT. ALL THE OTHER INCREASES WE WILL BE MAKING, WE'RE
- 16 MAKING THROUGH DELEGATED AUTHORITY TO THE DEPARTMENT, WHICH
- 17 ADD UP TO ANOTHER \$1.3, \$1.4 MILLION ROUGHLY. SO WHAT WE'VE
- 18 DONE IS TO MAKE IT EASIER TO FOLLOW THIS PROCESS IN A LETTER,
- 19 IN A MEMO FROM ME TO THE HEALTH DEPUTIES ON FRIDAY. WE'VE
- 20 SUMMARIZED IN THESE THREE AREAS EXACTLY WHICH PROVIDER WILL
- 21 GET WHICH DOLLAR AMOUNT. AND THOSE TOTAL APPROXIMATELY \$2.3
- 22 MILLION.

- 24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO THE USE IT OR LOSE IT IS FOR-- I'M
- 25 MISSING SOMETHING. I'M SORRY.



1 SPEAKER: PERHAPS I'M NOT EXPLAINING MYSELF VERY WELL. 2 3 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I'M GOING TO ASK YOU A OUESTION. I WANT YOU 4 5 TO ANSWER MY QUESTION, NOT GIVE ME THE WHOLE BACKGROUND TO IT. IT IS NOT BECAUSE OF YOU, IT'S BECAUSE OF MY LIMITATIONS; 6 7 OKAY? THE NET NEW MONEY BEING PROVIDED BY THE FEDERAL 8 GOVERNMENT FOR THE M.A.I. INITIATIVES IS \$200,000, IS THAT WHAT YOU'RE SAYING? 10 11 SPEAKER: ROUGHLY. 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT IS THE \$1.1 MILLION INCREASE THAT'S 13 REFLECTED IN THE BOARD LETTER. IS THAT A SHIFT OF FUNDS? 14

16 **SPEAKER:** YES, A SHIFT OF FUNDS.

15

17

19

22

18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: FROM WHAT TO WHAT?

20 **SPEAKER:** IT'S A SHIFT IN FUNDS FROM THREE HISTORIC SERVICE

21 CATEGORIES TO ONE ONGOING CATEGORY, ORAL HEALTH.

23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT PROMPTED THOSE CHANGES? WAS THAT

24 PROMPTED BY THE FEDS OR WAS THAT A DECISION THAT WAS MADE BY

25 THE H.I.V. COMMISSION?



1

- 2 SPEAKER: THE IMPETUS BEHIND THE CHANGE WAS A SHIFT IN
- 3 PRIORITIES AT THE COMMISSION ON H.I.V. LEVEL. AND THEY
- 4 ESSENTIALLY SAID, CONSISTENT WITH THE INTENT OF THE MINORITY
- 5 A.I.D.S. INITIATIVE, WE ARE BEST SUITED IN L.A. COUNTY TO
- 6 INVEST IN MEDICAL CASE MANAGEMENT, EARLY INTERVENTION PROGRAM
- 7 AND AN INCREASED INVESTMENT IN ORAL HEALTH, CONSISTENT WITH
- 8 THE EXISTING H.R.S.A. GUIDELINES.

9

10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: GO AHEAD.

11

- 12 SPEAKER: IT'S VERY CONFUSING, I UNDERSTAND. AND IT'S A
- 13 COMBINATION OF A NUMBER OF FACTORS. BUT IT'S A SHIFT IN LOCAL
- 14 PRIORITY IN HOW WE USE M.A.I. RESOURCES, AND IT'S ALSO TAKING
- 15 EXISTING CONTRACTORS WHO CAN MEET THESE NEW PRIORITIES, AND
- 16 WE'VE AMENDED THOSE CONTRACTS. AT THE BOARD LEVEL, A LITTLE
- 17 OVER A MILLION DOLLARS. AT THE DEPARTMENT DISCRETIONARY LEVEL
- 18 ABOUT \$1.3 MILLION.

19

- 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND THIS IS CONSISTENT WITH THE FEDERAL
- 21 GUIDELINES TO MAKE THESE KIND OF ADJUSTMENTS?

22

23 **SPEAKER:** YES, ABSOLUTELY.

24

25

## The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I WAS TOLD BY MY STAFF, AND PLEASE CONFIRM 1 THIS FOR ME, THAT YOU ARE GOING UP FOR A NEW R.F.P. THIS YEAR? 2 3 SPEAKER: THAT'S EXACTLY CORRECT. 4 5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: FOR WHAT, FOR THE WHOLE PROGRAM? 6 7 8 SPEAKER: WHAT WE PLAN ON DOING THIS SUMMER, A VERY AMBITIOUS UNDERTAKING, IS TO RE-SOLICIT H.I.V./A.I.D.S. MEDICAL SERVICES 9 10 PORTFOLIO. WHAT THIS WILL INCLUDE IS, WE'RE GOING TO TAKE TAKE AVAILABLE RESOURCES FROM \_\_\_\_\_ CARE ACT PART A, SOME FROM 11 PART B, THE M.A.I. RESOURCES AND THE DIRECT STATE INVESTMENT 12 FOR E.I.P. PROGRAMS IN L.A. COUNTY. WE'RE GOING TO TAKE THOSE 13 14 FUNDING STREAMS AND WE'LL RE-SOLICIT MEDICAL OUTPATIENT, 15 MEDICAL SPECIALTY, A.D.A.P. ENROLLMENT, NUTRITIONAL 16 COUNSELING, MEDICAL CASE MANAGEMENT, EARLY INTERVENTION 17 PROGRAM SERVICES, ORAL HEALTHCARE SERVICES, AND IN ABOUT A 18 MONTH WE'LL DECIDE WHETHER OR NOT WE INCLUDE PSYCHIATRY AND 19 PSYCHOTHERAPY AS PART OF THAT MIX. 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHEN ARE YOU GOING OUT WITH THIS R.F.P.? 21 22 23 SPEAKER: WE'RE GOING TO DO IT AS SOON AS WE'RE COMPLETE WITH--

AS SOON AS WE FINISH THE MEDICAL OUTPATIENT RATE STUDY THAT'S

BEEN GOING ON FOR A FEW YEARS.



SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHEN IS THAT GOING TO BE? WHEN DO YOU EXPECT 2 3 TO BE DONE WITH THE R.F.P.? JUNE, JULY, AUGUST, WHEN? 4 5 SPEAKER: WE EXPECT THE R.F.P. TO BE OUT THIS SUMMER, AUGUST. 6 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: HOW MUCH IS THE WHOLE-- WHAT IS THE TOTAL 8 AMOUNT OF MONEY THAT WILL BE AVAILABLE IN THIS R.F.P.? 9 SPEAKER: APPROXIMATELY \$30 MILLION, BETWEEN 26 AND \$30 10 11 MILLION. 12 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OF WHICH THIS PIECE IS A PART OF? 14 SPEAKER: OF WHICH M.A. I, 2.5 MILLION WOULD BE PART OF THAT 15 16 PACKAGE.

17

1

- 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO FOR HOW LONG IS THIS ACTION THAT WE'RE
- 19 BEING ASKED TO TAKE TODAY?

20

- 21 SPEAKER: THIS ACTION IS IN EFFECT FOR MARCH 1 THROUGH
- 22 FEBRUARY, ALTHOUGH IF WE RECOMPETE --

23

24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: FEBRUARY 2009?



- 1 SPEAKER: YES, FEBRUARY 2009. BUT IF WE RE-COMPETE THE ENTIRE
- 2 SERVICE CATEGORY AND PORTFOLIO, WE RESERVE THE RIGHT TO PULL
- 3 BACK ANY EXISTING CONTRACTS. SO AT THAT POINT WILL DETERMINE
- 4 WHAT THE BEST PLAN IS AND NOT DISRUPT THE H.I.V./A.I.D.S.
- 5 SYSTEM OF CARE.

6

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND YOU BELIEVE YOU WILL HAVE-- WHEN YOU SAY
- 8 THIS SUMMER, ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT JUNE, JULY, AUGUST, OR
- 9 SEPTEMBER.

10

- 11 SPEAKER: AUGUST OR SEPTEMBER IS PROBABLY MORE LIKELY THAN
- 12 JUNE.

13

14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: HOW DID I GUESS?

15

- 16 SPEAKER: PART OF THE TREPIDATION TO MAKING A FIRM COMMITMENT,
- 17 IT HAS BEEN A LOT OF THINGS THAT HAS AFFECTED THE TIMELINE FOR
- 18 THE RATE STUDY. THAT'S SOMETHING THAT WE CAN'T CONTINUE TO
- 19 IGNORE. WE'RE TRYING TO GET THAT RATE STUDY WRAPPED UP AS SOON
- 20 AS WE CAN.

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ALL RIGHT. CAN WE GET IN 45 DAYS, LET'S SAY
- 23 THE FIRST MEETING IN APRIL, AND I'D LIKE TO JUST DO A VERBAL
- 24 AMENDMENT THAT YOU GIVE US A STATUS REPORT ON THE PROGRESS
- 25 TOWARDS THE NEW R.F.P.?

24

25

HERE WE GO.

## The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 2 SPEAKER: ABSOLUTELY. 3 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AT OUR FIRST MEETING IN APRIL. HAVE IT NOT A 4 5 WRITTEN REPORT BUT HAVE IT AGENDIZED SO THAT IT DOESN'T SLIP THROUGH THE CRACKS? 6 7 8 SPEAKER: I LOOK FORWARD TO IT. 9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THANK YOU. 10 11 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MOVED BY YAROSLAVSKY, SECONDED BY MOLINA; 12 13 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I DON'T KNOW IF I WAS HOLDING ANYTHING ELSE. 15 16 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MR. KNABE IS HOLDING. 17 18 19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ALL RIGHT, I'M DONE. 20 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MR. KNABE, YOUR ADJOURNMENTS AND THEN 21 22 YOU'RE HOLDING AN ITEM? 23

SUP. KNABE: MADAME CHAIR, I'LL LEARN HOW TO PUSH THE BUTTON.



1

2 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: FIFTEEN

- 4 SUP. KNABE: I HAVE A NUMBER OF ADJOURNMENTS, MADAME CHAIR.
- 5 FIRST THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF IMA DANNECKER, WHO PASSED
- 6 AWAY FEBRUARY 12TH. SHE WAS THE MOTHER OF MY LONG TIME FRIEND
- 7 AND NEXT DOOR NEIGHBOR DUANE. SHE WILL BE SORELY MISSED BY HER
- 8 FAMILY AND FRIENDS. SHE IS SURVIVED BY HER SON DUANE AND
- 9 GRANDDAUGHTER NATALIE. ALSO THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF RON
- 10 CAMPBELL, ANOTHER LONG TIME FRIEND WHO PASSED AWAY AFTER A
- 11 LONG BATTLE WITH CANCER. HE WILL BE SORELY MISSED BY HIS
- 12 FAMILY AND FRIENDS. HE'S SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE, EMMA. ALSO
- 13 ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF MYRL NIXON MORRIS, A LONG TIME CRUSADER
- 14 FOR EQUAL RIGHTS IN LONG BEACH WHO PASSED AWAY RECENTLY AT THE
- 15 AGE OF 85. MYRL WAS WANTED TO MAKE LONG BEACH A BETTER PLACE
- 16 THAN WHEN SHE FOUND IT IN 1957 WHEN SHE CERTAINLY DID HER
- 17 PART. SHE WAS A COMMUNITY ACTIVIST AND MEMBER OF THE
- 18 N.A.A.C.P. FOR MORE THAN 50 YEARS AND JOINED THE LONG BEACH
- 19 BOARD OF REALTORS IN 1969. SHE PREFERRED TO WORK BEHIND THE
- 20 SCENES AND DO WHAT SHE HAD TO DO TO HELP. SHE WAS CERTAINLY A
- 21 PHENOMENAL WOMAN. SHE IS SURVIVED BY HER DAUGHTER GWEN,
- 22 GRANDDAUGHTERS KRIS AND GREAT GRANDDAUGHTERS KANDACE AND KYLE.
- 23 YES, SUPERVISOR BURKE WILL JOIN AS WELL. ALSO ADJOURN IN
- 24 MEMORY OF JIM SILKNITTER WHO PASSED AWAY ON FEBRUARY 12TH. HE
- 25 WAS A MEMBER OF THE INTERNATIONAL CITY THEATER BOARD IN LONG



- 1 BEACH SINCE 1994. WORKED MANY YEARS WITH GREAT DEDICATION AND
- 2 HAD A GREAT SENSE OF HUMOR. HE WILL BE MISSED BY MANY. HE IS
- 3 SURVIVED BY HIS LONG TIME PARTNER, PAUL DIEGO. ALSO THAT WE
- 4 ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF ANN WALLACE, A LONG BEACH RESIDENT WHO
- 5 PASSED AWAY RECENTLY AT THE VERY YOUNG AGE OF 55. SHE WAS A
- 6 WRITER, TEACHER, AVID GOLFER. SHE SUED THE F.I.P. TO JOIN POLY
- 7 HIGH ALL-MALE GOLF TEAM AND AIDED THE PASSAGE OF TITLE 9. HER
- 8 LOVE, COURAGE AND WIT INSPIRED HER BELOVED FAMILY AND FRIENDS.
- 9 SHE IS SURVIVED BY HER CHILDREN, JENNY AND DAVID, PARENTS, DON
- 10 AND ELIZABETH, SISTER NANCY, BROTHERS ALEX AND DON, AND HER
- 11 LOVING COMPANION CARLOS, NIECES AND NEPHEWS AND COUNTLESS
- 12 FRIENDS. SHE WAS AN INCREDIBLE LADY. MADAME CHAIR, THOSE ARE
- 13 MY ADJOURNMENTS.

14

15 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: SO ORDERED.

- 17 SUP. KNABE: THANK YOU. I HELD ITEM NUMBER 15. IN REGARDS TO
- 18 THE STEP PROGRAM. WHETHER SOMEBODY FROM STAFF MAY WANT TO COME
- 19 FORWARD, BUT I GUESS MORE OF A COMMENT THAN ANYTHING. AS YOU
- 20 KNOW, THIS BOARD KICKED THIS PROGRAM OFF BACK IN JULY OF LAST
- 21 YEAR AND THE RESPONSE HAS BEEN TREMENDOUS, PARTICULARLY IN
- 22 LONG BEACH. IN THE FIRST THREE MONTHS OF OUTREACH, WE'VE HAD
- OVER 148 CHILDCARE PROVIDERS ACROSS THE COUNTY, SIGN UP TO BE
- 24 EVALUATED UNDER THE STEP PROGRAM. I'M VERY PROUD TO SAY THAT
- 25 56 OF THESE 148 PROVIDERS ARE FROM THE LONG BEACH AREA ALONE.



- 1 I THINK THE UNIOUE THING IS WE ALL KNOW ABOUT THE STEP PROGRAM
- 2 IS THAT WE ARE EVALUATING THE OVERALL QUALITY OF THE PROGRAMS.
- 3 WE AREN'T JUST ASSESSING WHETHER THE WALLS ARE CLEAN OR THE
- 4 CARPETS CLEAN OR THOSE KINDS OF THINGS. BUT WE ARE LOOKING AT
- 5 THE LEARNING ENVIRONMENT, AS WELL. SO THIS IS CRITICAL
- 6 INFORMATION THAT PARENTS NEED TO HAVE IN CHOOSING TO WHERE
- 7 THEY PLACE THEIR KIDS. I KNOW WHAT AN A RATING IS IN A
- 8 RESTAURANT. IT CERTAINLY WOULD BE NICE TO KNOW WHAT AN A
- 9 RATING IS FOR CHILDCARE, AND PARTICULARLY WHEN YOU LEAVE THAT
- 10 MOST VALUABLE ASSET, YOUR CHILD, WHEN YOU GO TO WORK OR
- 11 SCHOOL. WE'RE ALSO PROVIDING TO HELP THE PROVIDERS ENHANCE THE
- 12 PROGRAMS AND WORKING VERY HARD TO MAKE SURE THAT WE LET THE
- 13 PARENTS KNOW, GET INFORMATION OUT, DESCRIBE THE QUALITY OF
- 14 CHILDCARE. BUT I JUST WANT TO PUBLICLY THANK THE OFFICE OF
- 15 CHILDCARE FOR AN INCREDIBLE EFFORT THUS FAR AND ALSO THE STATE
- 16 OF CALIFORNIA AS WELL AS FIRST FIVE L. A. SO WE LOOK FORWARD
- 17 TO HAVING MORE PROVIDERS SIGN UP AND WOULD ASK YOU TO COMMENT
- 18 ON THAT, WHAT OUR OUTREACH IS LIKE AND WHAT THE OUTLOOK FOR
- 19 THE FUTURE IS.

- 21 SPEAKER: THANK YOU, SUPERVISOR. WE APPRECIATE YOUR COMMENTS.
- 22 PLEASE UNDERSTAND THE REST OF THE SUPERVISORS, THAT WE'VE ONLY
- 23 BEEN SIX MONTHS INTO OUR RECRUITMENT EFFORTS. SO WE HAVE A
- 24 WAYS TO GO. AND WE RECOGNIZE THAT WE HAVE MORE WORK AHEAD OF
- 25 US. BUT WE ARE PROUD OF THE 150 PROVIDERS WHO HAVE COMMITTED



- 1 TO PARTICIPATE IN THE PROGRAM, OPEN THEIR DOORS TO AN
- 2 OBSERVATION AND A RATING ON THEIR SERVICES AS WELL AS
- 3 PARTICIPATE IN TRAINING TO ENHANCE THE PROGRAM THAT THEY OFFER
- 4 YOUNG CHILDREN. I THINK WE ALL SHARE A COMMITMENT THAT WE WANT
- 5 TO USE THOSE EARLY YEARS TO REALLY PREPARE CHILDREN FOR
- 6 SUCCESS IN SCHOOL, BUT ALSO TO BUILD THEIR SOCIAL SKILLS SO
- 7 THAT THEIR SUCCESS GOES BEYOND SCHOOL AND INTO LIFE.

8

- 9 SUP. KNABE: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. WE LOOK FORWARD TO MORE
- 10 OUTREACH. IT'S BEEN VERY SUCCESSFUL. HEARD A LOT OF POSITIVE
- 11 COMMENTS ABOUT IT IN THE COMMUNITY. SO WE'RE GETTING THERE. AS
- 12 YOU SAY, WE HAVE A LOT OF WORK TO DO. IT'S A BIG COUNTY BUT WE
- 13 HAVE SOME GREAT STATES. I WANT TO SAY THANK YOU TO YOU AND
- 14 YOUR TEAM AND EVERYONE FOR MAKING IT A REALITY.

15

- 16 SPEAKER: COULD I JUST-- I JUST WANT TO INTRODUCE HELEN CHAVEZ
- 17 ON OUR TEAM WHO IS ACTUALLY THE PERSON IN THE COMMUNITY DOING
- 18 THE WORK. AND WE APPRECIATE HER WORK.

19

20 SUP. KNABE: GREAT JOB. THANK YOU.

- 22 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MOVED BY KNABE; SECONDED BY YAROSLAVSKY;
- 23 WITHOUT OBJECTION. MOVED BY KNABE, SECONDED BY YAROSLAVSKY;
- 24 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. THE HOUR OF 2:00-- OH,
- 25 SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH, YOUR ADJOURNMENTS.



- 2 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THIS PAST WEEK WE LOST A NUMBER OF GOOD
- 3 FRIENDS, A GOOD FRIEND, JUDGE ERNIE WILLIAMS PASSED AWAY THIS
- 4 PAST WEEK, WHO HAD SERVED THE COURT FOR A NUMBER OF YEARS ON
- 5 THE SUPERIOR COURT. HE WAS APPOINTED BY GOVERNOR GEORGE
- 6 DEUKMAJIAN, QUITE ACTIVE IN THE LEBANESE-AMERICAN COMMUNITY
- 7 ST. NICHOLAS, ACTIVE IN THE COMMUNITY OF GLENDALE AND THE
- 8 VALLEY. HE LEAVES HIS WIFE JOAN AND CHILDREN. ALSO, AT THE
- 9 YOUNG AGE OF-- LET ME JUST SAY ANOTHER JUDGE, FEDERAL JUDGE,
- 10 JOSEPH SNEED WHO SERVED FOR NEARLY 35 YEARS ON THE U.S. NINTH
- 11 CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS, PASSED AWAY ON FEBRUARY 9TH AT 87
- 12 YEARS OF AGE. HE WAS WORKING ALL THE WAY UP TO THE END ON AN
- 13 ASSIGNMENT. A YOUNG MAN WHO WAS QUITE ACTIVE IN OUR COMMUNITY,
- 14 WHO WAS THE DIRECTOR OF THE BOB HOPE AIRPORT, BURBANK,
- 15 GLENDALE AIRPORT, PASADENA AIRPORT, PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE OF
- 16 53 WITH A BRAIN TUMOR. HE WAS QUITE ACTIVE IN THE COMMUNITY.
- 17 HE SERVED ON THE AIRPORT'S ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF BACK IN 1980.
- 18 HE HAD VERY GOOD RELATIONSHIPS WITH THE COMMUNITY, THE
- 19 SECURITY ENHANCEMENTS AFTER 9/11 WAS A COMPLEX PROJECT THAT HE
- 20 WORKED ON AS AN EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR AS WELL AS THE AIRPORT'S
- 21 FINANCES. AND HE WAS A GRADUATE OF CITY UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK
- 22 AND HIS MASTER'S WAS FROM COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY, PASSED AWAY AT
- 23 THE AGE OF 53. GRACE GRAHAM PICKUS WHO WAS THE HEAD OF THE LOS
- 24 ANGELES COUNTY REGISTRAR'S OFFICE AS DEPUTY REGISTRAR FROM
- 25 1959 TO 1976. WAS ALSO INSTRUMENTAL IN THE PLANNING OF THE



- 1 STATE POPPY RESERVE. AND HER CENTER WAS HONORED WITH THE STATE
- 2 PARKS SYSTEM LIFETIME AWARD BACK IN 1995. SHE WAS NAMED THE
- 3 SENIOR OF THE YEAR THE 1999 ANTELOPE VALLEY FAIRGROUNDS
- 4 SENIORS EXPO. SHE IS SURVIVED BY HIS DAUGHTER GRACE ANN, AND
- 5 HER SONS, JOHN AND DAVID, AND NINE GRANDCHILDREN. RETIRED LOS
- 6 ANGELES POLICE OFFICER DANIEL MORAN. HE SPENT 41 YEARS SERVING
- 7 AS A LOS ANGELES POLICE OFFICER AND RESIDENT OF SANTA CLARITA.
- 8 IRA TUCKER, WHO WAS THE BELOVED HUSBAND OF TERE TUCKER, WAS
- 9 QUITE ACTIVE IN CASTAIC. VERY ACTIVE IN THE COMMUNITY, WITH
- 10 LION'S CLUB, THE CASTAIC AREA TOWN COUNCILMEMBER, AS WELL AS
- 11 THE 2002-- IRA'S THE 2002 MAN OF THE YEAR. TOGETHER THEY WERE
- 12 MEMBERS OF THE CASTAIC CHAMBER OF COMMERCE, P.T.A. CASTAIC
- 13 MIDDLE SCHOOL, AND ASSISTANT IN THE CASTAIC MASTER PLAN, AND
- 14 CREATED THE VERY WONDERFUL PHONE BANK FOR THE CASTAIC SPORTS
- 15 COMPLEX. DANIEL MARCOTTE, LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S
- 16 OFFICER, WHO RETIRED. HE HAD BEEN AN OFFICER FOR 13 YEARS, WHO
- 17 WAS LATER ASSIGNED TO THE TRANSPORTATION BUREAU. JAMES FRASER,
- 18 WHO PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE OF 83, WHO WAS RETIRED SERGEANT
- 19 WITH THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT. HE WAS WITH
- 20 THE SCIENTIFIC SERVICES BUREAU. TOMMY BRESLIN, WHO WAS A
- 21 VETERAN PUBLIC DEFENDER. HE BEGAN HIS WORK IN OUR COUNTY IN
- 22 1977, FIRST SERVING AS A DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY AND A
- 23 PRIVATE CRIMINAL ATTORNEY, THEN BECOMING A PUBLIC DEFENDER. HE
- 24 WROTE THE BOOK "DEATH IN CALIFORNIA" WHICH BECAME HIS CASE
- 25 THAT HE WAS INVOLVED WITH, THAT "DEATH IN CALIFORNIA" BECAME A



- 1 BOOK AND TELEVISION MINISERIES. AND HE WAS ALSO INVOLVED WITH
- 2 WOOD MAKING AS A JEWELER AND CARPENTER. AND CHESTER "CHET"
- 3 MAGNESS, ALSO SERVED AS ASSISTANT CHIEF DEPUTY ENGINEER FOR
- 4 THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT, RETIRED IN
- 5 1978. THOSE ARE MY ADJOURNMENTS.

6

7 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: SO ORDERED.

8

9 SUP. ANTONOVICH: I DON'T HAVE ANY MOTIONS.

10

- 11 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: OUR 2:30 HAS ARRIVED. I'D LIKE TO ASK THE
- 12 DEPARTMENT TO COME FORWARD. DR. CHERNOF? AND THE C.E.O.,
- 13 REALLY.

- 15 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: GOOD MORNING. I WANT TO START WITH A FEW
- 16 COMMENTS AND THEN I WILL TURN IT OVER TO OUR HEALTH DIRECTOR,
- 17 DR. CHERNOF. WE SENT A DRAFT PROPOSAL TO YOU. I UNDERSTAND
- 18 IT'S CAUSED GREAT CONCERNS AMONGST THIS BOARD. WHAT I WANTED
- 19 TO HIGHLIGHT IS SEVERAL THINGS. FIRST AND FOREMOST IS THAT
- 20 WHAT WE'RE TRYING TO DO IS NOT CUT SERVICES. WE'RE ACTUALLY
- 21 TRYING TO DEVELOP A DIFFERENT CARE MODEL THAT WILL ACTUALLY
- 22 ENHANCE ACCESSIBILITY TO PRIMARY CARE SERVICES. WE USED THE
- 23 FOLLOWING PRINCIPLES WHEN WE DEVELOPED THIS PROPOSAL. ONE, THE
- 24 NEED TO GO FORWARD WITH A CURTAILMENT PACKAGE NOW TO RIGHT
- 25 SIZE THE DEPARTMENT'S FINANCIAL PICTURE. THE DEPARTMENT, AS WE



- 1 ALL KNOW, HAS HAD BUDGET PROBLEMS FOR MANY YEARS STARTING WITH
- 2 THE FIRST EFFORT TO GET THE FIRST 1115 WAIVER. WE ALSO LOOKED
- 3 AT HOW WE HAD ACHIEVED THIS WITHIN THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
- 4 SERVICES. AND IN FACT, RESTRICTING IT TO WITHIN THE DEPARTMENT
- 5 OF HEALTH SERVICES, SO WE DON'T IMPACT OTHER CRITICAL COUNTY
- 6 SERVICES. IN LOOKING AT HOW WE WOULD DEVELOP THIS PROPOSAL, WE
- 7 LOOKED AT PRESERVING THE FOLLOWING SERVICES IN THIS PRIORITY
- 8 ORDER. FIRST TRAUMA AND EMERGENCY SERVICES. SECOND, ACUTE
- 9 INPATIENT SERVICES. THIRD WOULD BE TERTIARY CARE AND THEN
- 10 SPECIALTY SERVICES AT THE OUTPATIENT LEVEL. WHAT WE ARE
- 11 PROPOSING NOW IS TO PRIVATIZE A NUMBER OF HEALTH CENTERS.
- 12 FIRST AND FOREMOST-- AND THERE ARE SOME OTHER CUTS OR
- 13 CURTAILMENTS THAT DR. CHERNOF WILL GO THROUGH, BUT ONE THING
- 14 THAT'S VERY IMPORTANT IS THAT BEFORE WE PRIVATIZE ANY SINGLE
- 15 HEALTH CENTER AND REPLACING IT WITH A P.P.P., WE WILL ENSURE --
- 16 AND IN FACT TURN THAT OVER OR SHUT THAT HEALTH CENTER DOWN, WE
- 17 WILL MAKE SURE THAT WE HAVE A VIABLE HEALTHCARE ALTERNATIVE IN
- 18 THE FORM OF A P.P.P. WHAT WE'RE SUGGESTING RIGHT NOW IS THAT
- 19 WE TRANSITION OUR HEALTH CENTERS FROM A CURRENT COUNTY MODEL
- 20 TO A P.P.P. OVER THE NEXT ABOUT 15 MONTHS. AND THAT WE ARE NOT
- 21 ACTUALLY MAKE THESE CHANGES UNTIL JULY 1ST, 2009, WHICH WOULD
- 22 GIVE US TIME TO DO SO IN AN ORDERLY MANNER. EVERY SINGLE
- 23 PROPOSAL THAT WE DEVELOP, IF WE IDENTIFY A HEALTH CENTER THAT
- 24 WILL MAKE THIS TRANSITION, BEFORE WE IMPLEMENT, WE'LL BE
- 25 BRINGING EACH PROPOSAL BACK TO THIS BOARD FOR APPROVAL TO SHOW



- 1 YOU THE STRUCTURE THAT WE'RE RECOMMENDING, TO SHOW YOU PROOF
- 2 THAT IT PRESENTS A VIABLE ALTERNATIVE FOR THIS COMMUNITY AND
- 3 ALSO TO SHOW THAT THE NEW ENTITY HAS THE FINANCIAL STRENGTH TO
- 4 CONTINUE TO PROVIDE THIS CRITICAL PRIMARY CARE SERVICE. WE ARE
- 5 NOT CUTTING SERVICES. WHAT WE'RE DOING IS CHANGING THE
- 6 DELIVERY MODEL. IF WE DON'T MAKE THESE CHANGES, WE KNOW THAT
- 7 THIS DEFICIT, AS BAD AS IT IS TODAY, IS ONLY GOING TO GET
- 8 WORSE. IT'S CRITICAL THAT WE START MAKING THESE CHANGES,
- 9 ALBEIT IN AN INCREMENTAL STEP, WE START MAKING THESE CHANGES.
- 10 SO NOW I'LL TURN IT OVER TO DR. CHERNOF.

- 12 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: THANK YOU, MR. FUJIOKA. DEAR SUPERVISORS,
- 13 AS MR. FUJIOKA JUST DESCRIBED, WE ARE HERE TODAY TO OUTLINE A
- 14 PLAN THAT DEALS WITH THE DEPARTMENT'S BUDGET DEFICIT. GIVEN
- 15 THE PUBLIC DISCOURSE OVER THE PAST WEEK, I WANT TO CLARIFY THE
- 16 KEY ELEMENTS OF THE DEPARTMENT'S RECOMMENDED PLAN. SIMPLY
- 17 STATED, THIS PROPOSAL REQUIRES THE HOSPITALS AND THEIR
- 18 NETWORKS TO SAVE TENS OF MILLIONS OF DOLLARS WITHOUT REDUCING
- 19 INPATIENT BEDS OR EMERGENCY ROOMS. IT KEEPS THE MULTI SERVICE
- 20 AMBULATORY CARE CENTERS OPEN AND OPERATING AT THEIR CURRENT
- 21 LEVELS. IT KEEPS ALL THE COMPREHENSIVE HEALTH CENTERS OPEN.
- 22 HOWEVER, IT RE-MISSIONS THEM TO PROVIDE EXCLUSIVELY COMMUNITY
- 23 BASED SPECIALTY CARE AND URGENT CARE, INCLUDING A PROJECTED
- 24 SMALL INCREASE IN THE NUMBER OF SPECIALTY CARE VISITS. IT
- 25 SEEKS TO PRIVATIZE 11 OF THE 12 HEALTH CENTERS BY CONTRACTING



- 1 WITH THE PUBLIC/PRIVATE PARTNER CLINICS TO OPERATE THESE SITES
- 2 WHEREVER POSSIBLE AND WITH THE SPECIFIC GOAL OF PROVIDING THE
- 3 SAME OR SLIGHTLY MORE PRIMARY AND PREVENTIVE CARE VISITS THAN
- 4 CURRENTLY PROVIDED. IT REDUCES ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS BY \$19
- 5 BILLION WITHIN THE DEPARTMENT ALONE. AND THE GOAL HERE IS A
- 6 BALANCED SYSTEM THAT CONTINUES THE SAME NUMBER OF VISITS
- 7 OVERALL, IT IS IMPORTANT TO NOTE THAT THE DEPARTMENT HAS
- 8 ESTIMATED THAT WE HAVE A FUNDING DEFICIT OF ROUGHLY \$290.9
- 9 MILLION IN THE '08 -'09 YEAR. AND THE D.H.S .WAS ASKED TO COME
- 10 FORWARD WITH A BALANCED BUDGET PROPOSAL FOR THAT YEAR THAT
- 11 WHICH SOLVES THE PROBLEM WITHIN THE CURRENT RESOURCES. THIS
- 12 PROPOSAL LOOKS TO SOLVE THE FIRST OF WHAT IS POTENTIALLY A
- 13 MULTI YEAR PROBLEM. BUT THIS IS A MAJOR STEP FORWARD AND DOWN
- 14 PAYMENT ON THAT ROAD. THIS IS BASED ON SOME VERY IMPORTANT
- 15 ASSUMPTIONS THAT MUST BE REALIZED IN ORDER TO ACHIEVE THESE
- 16 GOALS, AND THESE ASSUMPTIONS COULD BE IMPACTED BY THE STATE
- 17 BUDGET DEFICIT. IT IS ALSO IMPORTANT TO NOTE THAT THIS
- 18 PROPOSAL DOES NOT PROPOSE TO CLOSE CLINICS OUTRIGHT AS
- 19 OCCURRED IN 1995 WITH THE BREAK IN CONTINUITY OF CARE THAT
- 20 ENSUED. IT'S ALSO IMPORTANT TO RECOGNIZE THAT IT'S MORE IN
- 21 LINE WITH THE BEGINNINGS OF THE P.P.P. PROGRAM IN 1996 AS PART
- 22 OF THE FIRST WAIVER AND THE GOAL OF A MORE INTEGRATED SYSTEM
- 23 OF CARE. THIS PROPOSAL BUILDS ON THE PREVIOUS BOARD POLICY OF
- 24 BUILDING CONNECTIONS WITH PRIVATE COMMUNITY CLINICS AS A WAY
- 25 OF STRENGTHENING THE OVERALL DELIVERY SYSTEM. LET ME TOUCH ON



- 1 A FEW OF THE ELEMENTS IN SPECIFIC DETAIL SO WE HAVE THE FACTS
- 2 CORRECT. WITH RESPECT TO PRIMARY CARE, THE COMP CENTERS, THE
- 3 HEALTH CENTERS AND THE P.P.P.S, MOST PRIMARY CARE WOULD BE
- 4 PROVIDED THROUGH THE P.P.P. PROGRAM. D.H.S. WOULD NO LONGER
- 5 DIRECTLY PROVIDE PRIMARY CARE IN MOST HEALTH CENTERS AND IN
- 6 THE COMPREHENSIVE EXCEPT AT SOUTH ANTELOPE VALLEY. THAT IS
- 7 HELD OUT SPECIFICALLY BECAUSE IN THE PAST, THAT CLINIC, IN
- 8 PREVIOUS P.P.P. CONTRACTING ATTEMPTS, WAS NEVER ABLE TO FIND
- 9 AN APPROPRIATE PARTNER. AND I THINK IT HOLDS OUT THE PRINCIPLE
- 10 THE BILL LAID OUT, WHICH IS, IF THERE ISN'T AN APPROPRIATE
- 11 PARTNER TO RUN A CLINIC, THE DEPARTMENT WOULD BE WILLING TO
- 12 STEP UP AND OPERATE THAT CLINIC, AND WE WOULD HAVE TO FIND
- 13 SAVINGS ELSEWHERE. WE BELIEVE THAT THIS WOULD RESULT IN A NET
- 14 REDUCTION OF 232 D.H.S. DIRECTLY-PROVIDED INDIGENT CARE
- 15 VISITS. BUT BASED UPON THE CURRENT FUNDING SCENARIOS, IT WOULD
- 16 RESULT IN A TOTAL OF 266, 000 VISITS ANNUALLY FOR INDIGENT
- 17 PATIENTS THROUGH THE P.P.P. PROGRAM, THUS A SLIGHT INCREASE.
- 18 THE TOTAL NUMBER OF P.P.P. PRIMARY CARE VISITS WOULD JUMP FROM
- 19 584,000, WHICH IS WHAT THEY'RE DELIVERING NOW, TO ALMOST
- 20 850,000. SO A BIG JUMP. IN THE MOST RECENT REQUEST FOR
- 21 PROPOSALS FOR THE P.P.P. PROGRAM, WE NOTED THAT THERE WAS A
- 22 TOTAL OF 337,000 ADDITIONAL PRIMARY CARE VISITS OFFERED FOR
- 23 INDIGENT PATIENTS AS PART OF THAT R.F.P., WHICH IS ROUGHLY
- 24 70,000 MORE THAN D.H.S. IS RECOMMENDING IN THIS TRANSITION.
- 25 IT'S ALSO IMPORTANT TO NOTE THAT THE P.P.P./ R.F.P. PROCESS



- 1 DID NOT CONSIDER THE AVAILABILITY OF ANY D.H.S. CLINICS AS
- 2 PART OF ANY P.P.P. TAKEOVER OR EXPANSION. NOW, CLEARLY, THE
- 3 TIMING AND DETAILS ARE INCREDIBLY IMPORTANT HERE. D.H.S.
- 4 BELIEVES THAT WORKING WITH THE P.P.P. PROVIDERS TO FULLY
- 5 EXPLORE ALL THE OPTIONS, TO EXPAND THE NUMBER OF PRIMARY CARE
- 6 VISITS THROUGH THE P.P.P. PROGRAM IS THE NEXT CRITICAL STEP. I
- 7 GUESS I JUST WANT TO END THIS SECTION BY RE-EMPHASIZING THE
- 8 POINT THAT IN THIS PHASE ONE, D.H.S. WOULD NOT STOP DIRECTLY
- 9 PROVIDING PRIMARY CARE IF A P.P.P. PROVIDER COULD NOT BE
- 10 IDENTIFIED TO PROVIDE THAT CARE IN THE GEOGRAPHIC AREA. WITH
- 11 RESPECT TO SPECIALTY AND URGENT CARE CLINICS AT THE C.H.C.S,
- 12 THE COMPREHENSIVE HEALTH CENTERS WOULD REMAIN OPEN-- WOULD
- 13 REMAIN OPEN-- BUT RE-MISSION TO FOCUS ON URGENT CARE AND
- 14 SPECIALTY CARE WITH THE GOAL OF EXPANDING THE NUMBER OF
- 15 SPECIALTY CARE VISITS. THE NUMBER OF SPECIALTY CARE VISITS
- 16 PROVIDED WOULD INCREASE BY ABOUT 37,000 FROM 180 TO ROUGHLY
- 17 217,500 PER YEAR. AND THE URGENT CARE TOTALS WOULD REMAIN THE
- 18 SAME, ROUGHLY 161,000 VISITS ANNUALLY. WITH RESPECT TO THE
- 19 HOSPITALS IN THE MACK, SERVICE CURTAILMENTS AT THE D.H.S.
- 20 MACKS AND THE HOSPITALS AND THEIR NETWORKS ARE NOT PROPOSED IN
- 21 THIS PROPOSAL. THE REASON IS THAT INPATIENT EMERGENCY TRAUMA
- 22 AND SPECIALTY SERVICES PROVIDED AT THE HOSPITALS WOULD BE THE
- 23 MOST DIFFICULT TO REPLACE IN THE PRIVATE SECTOR. IN ADDITION,
- 24 REDUCTION IN BEDS AT D.H.S. HOSPITALS WILL MAKE IT MORE
- 25 DIFFICULT TO MOVE PATIENTS FROM EMERGENCY ROOMS TO HOSPITAL



- 1 BEDS. AND THAT CONGESTS ALL E.R.S EVEN MORE, BOTH PUBLIC AND
- 2 PRIVATE. FINALLY, THE CURRENT STATE WAIVERS REIMBURSEMENT
- 3 STRUCTURE PAYS FOR A MUCH HIGHER PERCENTAGE OF COSTS ON THE
- 4 HOSPITAL SIDE. SO TO ACHIEVE THE SAME COUNTY COST SAVINGS
- 5 WOULD REQUIRE MUCH MORE SIGNIFICANT OVERALL REDUCTIONS IF WE
- 6 WERE TO TAKE A DIFFERENT PATH. THE HOSPITALS AND THEIR
- 7 NETWORKS ARE EXPECTED TO YIELD AN APPROXIMATELY \$61 MILLION
- 8 COST SAVINGS AS PART OF THE DEPARTMENT'S OVERALL FINANCIAL
- 9 STABILIZATION PLAN OVER THE NEXT TWO YEARS STARTING NOW,
- 10 CURRENTLY. AND THEY WILL BE REQUIRED TO PROVIDE SERVICES AT
- 11 THE SAME LEVEL. WITHIN ADMINISTRATION, EVERYBODY IS REQUIRED
- 12 TO HELP CONTRIBUTE TO THIS DEFICIT MANAGEMENT PLAN, AND THE
- 13 ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS ARE EXPECTED TO DECREASE BY \$19 MILLION
- 14 WITHIN THE DEPARTMENT OVER THE NEXT TWO YEARS, LET ALONE ANY
- 15 SAVINGS OUTSIDE THE DEPARTMENT. WHAT ARE THE NEXT STEPS? FIRST
- 16 OF ALL, WE NEED TO ACTIVELY PURSUE REVENUE OPTIONS TO MITIGATE
- 17 THE FUNDING SHORTFALL AND IDENTIFY ADDITIONAL COST SAVINGS IN
- 18 THE FACILITIES TO AUGMENT THAT TOTAL OF \$81 MILLION ALREADY
- 19 COMMITTED TO THE HOSPITAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE SAVINGS. WE NEED
- 20 TO WORK WITH STATE AND FEDERAL ELECTED OFFICIALS TO RESOLVE
- 21 THE CRITICAL OUTSTANDING ISSUES. WE NEED THE BEGIN THE
- 22 NECESSARY PLANNING STEPS TO IMPLEMENT PHASE ONE OF THE
- 23 HEALTHCARE DELIVERY SYSTEM RECONFIGURATION, WHICH IS REACHING
- 24 OUT TO THE P.P.P.S AND STARTING TO TALK WITH THEM ABOUT WHAT
- 25 THOSE NEXT STEPS WOULD LOOK LIKE. WE NEED TO WORK WITH THE



- 1 C.E.O. TO DEVELOP A PROPOSED SCHEDULE FOR ANY REQUIRED
- 2 BEILENSON HEARINGS OR NEXT STEPS, BUT AS MR. FUJIOKA
- 3 SUGGESTED, HE ENVISIONS A LONG TIMEFRAME TO GET THAT WORK
- 4 DONE. SO THAT DATE WOULD BE SOMEWHERE OUT IN THE FUTURE.

5

6 **SUP. MOLINA:** [INAUDIBLE]

7

- 8 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: THAT IS THE TIME FRAME, SUPERVISOR, THAT
- 9 THE DEPARTMENT CREATED BASED UPON THE BOARD'S INSTRUCTION AND
- 10 THE C.E.O.'S INSTRUCTION TO BE PREPARED TO IMPLEMENT IN JULY
- 11 IF WE NEEDED TO. SO WE DO HAVE THE-- WE HAVE A FOOTPRINT THAT
- 12 WOULD ALLOW US TO IMPLEMENT IN JULY, BUT WE DO BELIEVE THAT
- 13 TAKING SOME ADDITIONAL TIME WOULD BE VALUABLE.

14

15 SUP. MOLINA: WHAT DOES ADDITIONAL TIME LOOK LIKE TO YOU?

16

- 17 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: WE'RE SUGGESTING JULY 1ST, 2009. WHAT WE SAID
- 18 AND WHAT WE STAND BY--

19

- 20 SUP. MOLINA: JULY 1ST, 2009 WOULD BE STEP ONE? WHAT STEP WOULD
- 21 THAT BE HERE?

22

- 23 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: SUPERVISOR MOLINA, I KNOW, BUT JUST A
- 24 SECOND.



1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: LET HER GO AHEAD. IT'S A GOOD QUESTION.

2

- 3 SUP. MOLINA: I'M SAYING THIS IS WHAT I GOT. I HEARD SOMETHING
- 4 DIFFERENT FROM MR. FUJIOKA AND I'M CONFUSED. IT'S A PUBLIC
- 5 HEARING, I JUST WANT TO KNOW. WHICH TIMEFRAME ARE WE ON?

6

- 7 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: SUPERVISOR, THAT WAS THE PLAN THE PUBLIC
- 8 CREATED IN RESPONSE TO THE C.E.O.'S EXPECTATION THAT WE BE
- 9 ABLE TO BALANCE THE BUDGET BY JULY 1ST OF THIS YEAR. IN REVIEW
- 10 WITH THE C.E.O., HE AND HIS STAFF AND CONCURRENCE WITH THE
- 11 DEPARTMENT, BELIEVE THAT TAKING THE ADDITIONAL TIME TO WORK
- 12 WITH THE P.P.S TO MAKE SURE THAT WE HAVE AN APPROPRIATE
- 13 PARTNER WOULD REQUIRE ADDITIONAL TIME. SO THE TRANSITION IS
- 14 SMOOTHER.

15

- 16 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: THE FIRST INSTRUCTION GIVEN TO THE DEPARTMENT
- 17 WOULD BE THAT THESE BUDGET CUTS WOULD BE ACHIEVED BY JULY 1ST
- 18 OF THIS YEAR. THAT WAS AN INSTRUCTION THAT WAS GIVEN TO THEM.
- 19 WE FEEL THAT GIVEN THE IMPACT, WE NEED TO MAKE THIS A LONGER
- 20 TRANSITION UNTIL JULY 1ST OF 2009. ANOTHER CRITICAL STEP--

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHEN DID THAT DECISION GET CHANGED? WHEN DID
- 23 THAT RECOMMENDATION GET CHANGED? BECAUSE I'M LOOKING AT A
- 24 SCHEDULE HERE OF JULY OF '08 AND NOW IT'S JULY OF '09. WHEN
- 25 DID THAT CHANGE?



- 2 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: WE'VE BEEN TALKING AMONGST OURSELVES. WE
- 3 LOOKED AT IT. WE'VE HEARD COMMENTS FROM THE VARIOUS BOARD
- 4 OFFICES REGARDING THEIR CONCERNS RELATED TO THE IMPACT THIS
- 5 WOULD CAUSE. WE'RE COMING TO YOU TODAY SUGGESTING THAT WE
- 6 CHANGE THAT DATE FROM JULY 1ST, 2008 AND MOVE TO 2009. WHERE
- 7 WE CAN MAKE SOME CHANGES IMMEDIATELY, ESPECIALLY THOSE ON THE
- 8 ADMINISTRATIVE SIDE, WE WOULD GO FORWARD. THIS PLAN IS NOT AS
- 9 IF WE'RE GOING TO WAIT TO DO EVERYTHING ON JULY 1ST, 2009.
- 10 WHERE WE CAN ACHIEVE THE TRANSITION OR IDENTIFY CUTS, WE WILL
- 11 DO SO IMMEDIATELY. ANOTHER CRITICAL STEP THAT I NEED TO STATE
- 12 IS BECAUSE THE IMPACT TO OUR EMPLOYEES, WE HAVE A COMMITMENT
- 13 TO WORK WITH OUR LABOR PARTNERS TO LOOK AT HOW THIS PLAN WILL
- 14 BE IMPLEMENTED. AND WE ALSO UNDERSTAND-- I'VE SEEN SEVERAL
- 15 MOTIONS THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED, WE'VE BEEN ASKED TO LOOK AT
- 16 ALTERNATIVES. GIVEN, ESPECIALLY REQUESTS TO LOOK AT
- 17 ALTERNATIVES, THE JULY 1ST, 2008 DATE IS ABSOLUTELY NOT
- 18 VIABLE. WE NEED TO STRETCH IT OUT TO 2009. THAT WILL REOUIRE
- 19 US TO USE SOME OF OUR SURPLUS FUNDS TO MAKE THAT TRANSITION.
- 20 BUT AGAIN IF WE BRING-- IF WE IDENTIFY SOMETHING THAT THIS
- 21 BOARD FEELS IS APPROPRIATE AND REASONABLE, WE WILL MOVE
- 22 FORWARD MUCH QUICKER. AND THAT COULD BE SOME CUTS THAT HAVE
- 23 MINIMAL IMPACT ON OUR STAFF, BUT EVEN MORE IMPORTANT, A VERY
- 24 POSITIVE IMPACT ON OUR DELIVERY MODEL. BUT WE'LL BE COMING
- 25 BACK WITH THOSE VERY SPECIFIC PROPOSALS TO THIS BOARD.



1

- 2 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: SUPERVISOR KNABE? OH, SUPERVISOR
- 3 YAROSLAVSKY AND THEN SUPERVISOR KNABE AND THEN I HAVE SOME
- 4 OUESTIONS. I KNOW A LOT OF PEOPLE WANT TO BE HEARD.

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THANK YOU, MADAME CHAIR. THERE IS A LOT OF
- 7 CONFUSION IN MY SHOP. AND I'M NOT SURPRISED THAT THERE MIGHT
- 8 BE SOME CONFUSION IN OTHER SHOPS. AND I'LL GRANT YOU THAT
- 9 MAYBE THE REACTION THAT A NUMBER OF US HAVE HAD HAS CAUSED YOU
- 10 TO EITHER PRIVATELY, WHEN WE SHARED OUR REACTIONS, THOSE WHO
- 11 DID, AND PUBLICLY MAY HAVE CAUSED YOU TO RETHINK SOME OF THESE
- 12 AND THE TIMING OF ALL THIS.

13

14 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: TRUE.

- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHICH IS NOT BAD. IT'S A GOOD THING. BUT I
- 17 WANT TO GET TO THE ISSUE AT HAND, WHICH IS WHETHER IT'S JULY
- 18 OF '08 OR JULY OF '09 OR ANY TIME BETWEEN NOW AND THEN, WHAT
- 19 IS IT YOU ARE REALLY SAYING YOU'RE GOING TO DO? THE FIRST AND
- 20 FOREMOST THING, I WANT TO BE REAL CLEAR, WHEN I FIRST CAME TO
- 21 THIS BOARD SOME 13 YEARS AGO, THE FIRST THING THAT HAPPENED TO
- 22 ALL OF US WAS WE WERE FACED WITH A MELTDOWN OF OUR COUNTY
- 23 BUDGET, LARGELY FUELED BY THE MELTDOWN IN THE COUNTY HEALTH
- 24 DEPARTMENT'S BUDGET. AND WE DON'T HAVE TO GO THROUGH THE WHOLE
- 25 HISTORY, BUT THE END RESULT OF ALL THAT WAS A COMMITMENT THAT



- 1 WE MADE, AS PART OF A MACRO DEAL WITH THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT,
- 2 THAT WE WOULD MASSIVELY EXPAND, WITH THE HELP OF THE FEDERAL
- 3 GOVERNMENT, AND OUR OWN HELP, EXPAND THE AMBULATORY CARE, THE
- 4 CLINICAL CARE AND EXPAND THE REACH, WHICH WE DID. WE WENT FROM
- 5 SOME 39 CLINICS THAT WE HAD, INCLUDING THE COMP CLINICS IF MY
- 6 MEMORY SERVES ME CORRECTLY, TO AT ONE POINT WE HAD OVER 100
- 7 VENUES. WE PARTNERED WITH A LOT OF THE NONPROFIT CLINICS
- 8 AROUND TOWN. WE CUT SOME OF THOSE CLINICS A FEW YEARS AGO,
- 9 WHICH LEFT US-- I DON'T KNOW HOW MANY DO WE HAVE NOW, 90
- 10 ROUGHLY?

11

12 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: IT'S JUST UNDER 100, SUPERVISOR.

- 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: JUST UNDER 100. AND FOR THE SAKE OF PEOPLE
- 15 WHO ARE HEARING THIS FOR THE FIRST TIME, THESE ARE IMPORTANT
- 16 INVESTMENTS WE'RE MAKING. THE TOTAL SAVINGS THAT YOU ESTIMATE
- 17 FOR THESE CLINIC CLOSURES WAS \$29 MILLION. WHICH IN A \$4
- 18 BILLION BUDGET, WHICH IS CLOSE TO WHAT YOU HAVE, YOU'RE CLOSE
- 19 TO 4 BILLION NOW, IS LUDICROUS BECAUSE THE VALUE OF THESE--
- 20 PLEASE-- THE VALUE OF THESE CLINICS NOT ONLY TO THE HEALTH OF
- 21 OUR COUNTY AND THE HEALTH OUTCOMES IN THE COMMUNITIES THAT ARE
- 22 SERVED BY OUR CLINICS IN OUR COUNTY, BUT ALSO TO SOME RELIEF,
- 23 WHATEVER RELIEF THERE MIGHT BE TO THE EMERGENCY ROOMS THAT WE
- 24 RUN AND THAT THE PRIVATE SECTOR RUNS, IT'S SELF-EVIDENT, THAT
- 25 WE DON'T WANT TO SHRINK. FOR \$29 MILLION, WE DON'T WANT TO



- 1 SHRINK THAT WHOLE AMBULATORY INFRASTRUCTURE. IF YOU TOLD ME
- 2 YOU WERE GOING TO SAVE \$290 MILLION BY CLOSING 12 CLINICS, I'D
- 3 HAVE TO THINK TWICE. BUT WHEN YOU TELL ME OUT OF A \$4 BILLION
- 4 BUDGET, YOU WILL SAVE 29 MILLION THIS WAY, THEN I HAVE TO
- 5 WEIGH THAT AGAINST WHAT THE COST BENEFIT RATIO IS. AND I THINK
- 6 IT'S FOOLISH. AND I'VE SAID THAT BEFORE. I'VE SAID IT TO YOU
- 7 PRIVATELY. I'VE SAID IT TO YOUR PEOPLE PRIVATELY. I'M GOING TO
- 8 SAY IT PUBLICLY. I JUST DON'T WANT TO SEE US USE THIS AS THE
- 9 VARIABLE IN THE BUDGET BALANCING EQUATION. EVERYTHING ELSE IS
- 10 RELATIVELY CONSTANT. WHILE YOU'VE DISCUSSED THIS WITH THE
- 11 C.E.O. THIS MORNING, YOU POINTED OUT THAT YOU HAVE \$47 MILLION
- 12 IN VARIOUS ADMINISTRATIVE CUTS IN THE NONCLINICAL SIDE OF IT,
- 13 MOSTLY IN THE NONCLINICAL SIDE OF IT. THE FACT IS THAT THE
- 14 HOSPITAL SYSTEM REMAINS LARGELY UNTOUCHED. IT REMAINS A SACRED
- 15 COW, FOR A VARIETY OF REASONS. SOME OF THEM YOU INDICATED, THE
- 16 ECONOMIC FUNDING STREAMS AND ALL THAT. AND PART OF IT I JUST
- 17 THINK THERE'S A BIAS IN YOUR DEPARTMENT FOR THE HOSPITAL
- 18 SYSTEM. AND YOU'RE NOT AS FAVORABLE TO THE CLINICAL SYSTEM.
- 19 YOU'VE HAD THREE DIRECTORS OF AMBULATORY CARE IN THE LAST
- 20 YEAR, YEAR AND A HALF. YOU STILL HAVE AN INTERIM. YOU HAVEN'T
- 21 BEEN ABLE TO HIRE A PERMANENT. YOU TOOK THE AMBULATORY CARE
- 22 OFFICE OUT OF YOUR HEADQUARTERS BUILDING FIGUEROA AN AND YOU
- 23 SHUNTED IT DOWN TO COMMERCE, OUT OF SIGHT AND OUT OF MIND. THE
- 24 BODY LANGUAGE, THE BUREAUCRATIC BODY LANGUAGE THAT I GET, AND
- 25 I CAN ONLY SPEAK FOR MYSELF, IS THAT THIS IS A PROGRAM THAT'S



- 1 IN TROUBLE IN TERMS OF ITS RECOGNITION BY THE COUNTY FAMILY.
- 2 SO I'M TAKING THIS OPPORTUNITY TO DO TWO THINGS. ONE IS, I
- 3 WANT TO DISSECT WHAT YOU'RE ACTUALLY TALKING ABOUT DOING, AND
- 4 SECONDLY YOU'RE ASKING ALL OF US, ASSUMING THAT YOUR PLAN IS
- 5 SOUND AND ONCE YOU FIGURE IT OUT AND YOU GET THE TIMING DOWN,
- 6 THAT IT'S A SOUND PLAN AND THERE WILL BE NO CUT IN SERVICE AND
- 7 ALL. WHAT YOU'RE ASKING US TO DO IS TO TRUST THAT THE
- 8 DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES WILL IMPLEMENT THIS CONVERSION
- 9 TO A NEW PARTNERSHIP WITH THE NONPROFIT PARTNERS THAT WE HAVE
- 10 FOR AMBULATORY OUTPATIENT CARE. YOU'RE ASKING US TO TRUST THAT
- IN THE FACE OF A THREE INTERIM DIRECTORS IN THE LAST YEAR,
- 12 YEAR AND A HALF AND WHAT APPEARS TO ME TO BE SOMEWHAT OF
- 13 INSTABILITY IN THAT END OF YOUR DEPARTMENT. THAT MAY BE AN
- 14 OVERSTATEMENT ON MY PART, BUT FOR THE SAKE OF ILLUSTRATING THE
- 15 PROBLEM, I WANT TO MAKE THAT CASE. I WANT YOU TO HEAR ME LOUD
- 16 AND CLEAR, BECAUSE MY DISTRICT -- AND IT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH
- 17 ME, OR VERY LITTLE TO DO WITH ME, I JUST PROVIDE, ALONG WITH
- 18 MY FOUR COLLEAGUES, THE MONEY; BUT I AM BLESSED IN MY PART OF
- 19 THE COUNTY TO HAVE A TREMENDOUS NONPROFIT CHARITABLE
- 20 INFRASTRUCTURE, WHICH OTHER COMMUNITIES ARE DEVELOPING AND ARE
- 21 CATCHING UP.

23 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: DON'T HAVE AND WILL CATCH UP.

24



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MY PART OF THE COUNTY IS BLESSED WITH
- 2 ORGANIZATIONS LIKE L.A. FREE CLINIC AND VENICE FAMILY CLINIC,
- 3 AND EL PROJECTO DEL BARRIO, AND NORTHEAST VALLEY HEALTH
- 4 CORPORATION. I COULD GO ON AND ON. THEY ARE ALL OUR PARTNERS.
- 5 THEY TOOK OVER THREE OF OUR COUNTY CLINICS, OR THE BULK OF
- 6 THEM. IN CANOGA PARK, IN HOLLYWOOD, WILSHIRE AND SANTA MONICA.
- 7 THOSE ARE GOOD MODELS. BUT WE DIDN'T SHUT THOSE CLINICS DOWN.
- 8 WE DIDN'T SHUT THOSE CLINICS DOWN AND SAY "NOW IF YOU LIVE IN
- 9 SANTA MONICA, YOU'VE GOT TO GO OVER TO BEVERLY FAIRFAX TO THE
- 10 L.A. FREE. OR YOU'VE GOT TO GO TO VENICE TO THE VENICE FAMILY
- 11 CLINIC. THEY ARE TWO OR THREE MILES LONGER THAN YOU DID
- 12 BEFORE." OR IF WE LIVED IN CANOGA PARK, WE DIDN'T SHUT IT DOWN
- 13 AND SAY "NOW YOU HAVE TO GO TO VAN NUYS, THE 10-MILE TREK TO
- 14 OUR VAN NUYS, TO THE COMP CLINIC, WHICH IS THE NEAREST CLINIC
- 15 TO CANOGA PARK." SO YOU'VE GOT A NECKLACE OF CLINICS ALL OVER
- 16 TOWN. AND IT MAY BE IN MY PART OF THE COUNTY ONE OR TWO MORE
- 17 CLINICS. YOU KNOW, BRUCE, BECAUSE YOU HELPED US WITH THIS. YOU
- 18 KNOW HOW HARD IT WAS TO NEGOTIATE THE DEAL WITH L.A. FREE AND
- 19 HOLLYWOOD WILSHIRE. THEY'VE GOT A FIDUCIARY RESPONSIBILITY.
- 20 EVERY ONE OF THESE NONPROFITS, WHETHER IT'S IN LA PUENTE OR
- 21 WHETHER IT'S IN SOUTH L.A. OR WHETHER IT'S IN THE WEST SIDE,
- 22 ARE GOING TO NICKEL AND DIME US, BECAUSE THEY HAVE A FIDUCIARY
- 23 RESPONSIBILITY TO MAKE SURE THEY DON'T GET LEFT HOLDING THE
- 24 BAG. IT WAS A LONG NEGOTIATION. SO THE NUMBER ONE ISSUE IS:
- 25 ARE YOU GOING TO CLOSE DOWN CLINICS? LET ME ASK YOU A VERY



- 1 SPECIFIC QUESTION. IN YOUR SHEET, AND I'LL JUST PICK THE FIRST
- 2 ONE-- IT'S NOT THE FIRST ONE. LA PUENTE. LA PUENTE, YOU
- 3 INDICATE A \$2.3 MILLION SAVINGS BY WHATEVER YOU WERE PROPOSING
- 4 TO DO WITH THE LA PUENTE CLINIC, CORRECT?

5

6 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: CORRECT.

7

- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT DOES IT COST TO OPERATE THE LA PUENTE
- 9 CLINIC THIS YEAR?

10

DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: ROUGHLY 10 MILLION, SUPERVISOR.

12

13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO WHAT YOU WERE GOING TO DO AT LA PUENTE?

14

- 15 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: THIS CLINIC, LIKE THE OTHERS, SUPERVISOR,
- 16 WOULD BE PROPOSED FOR PRIVATIZATION.

17

- 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO YOU WERE PROPOSING TO TURN IT OVER TO A
- 19 NONPROFIT?

20

21 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: CORRECT.

22

23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AT THAT VENUE?

24

25 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: CORRECT.



1

- 2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN 10 MILLION AND
- 3 6.7 MILLION, I GUESS, IS WHAT YOU THINK IT WOULD COST A
- 4 NONPROFIT TO RUN THAT CLINIC BECAUSE THERE IS A SAVINGS OF 2.3
- 5 MILLION.

6

7 SUP. MOLINA: WE WOULD PAY THEM TO DO IT.

8

9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I UNDERSTAND.

10

- 11 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: WE HAVE THE COST MODEL WHAT IT COSTS US TO
- 12 PROVIDE A PRIMARY CARE VISIT, FIRST TO WHAT IT COSTS A P.P.P.
- 13 WHAT'S INTERESTING IN THIS I NEED TO EMPHASIZE THAT WE WILL
- 14 NOT BACK AWAY FROM ANYONE. I HAD MENTIONED EARLIER THAT WE
- 15 WILL NOT CLOSE A HEALTH CENTER OR REDUCE A SERVICE AT THAT
- 16 HEALTH CENTER UNTIL WE FIND A VIABLE ALTERNATIVE. AND I DON'T
- 17 MEAN TWO MILES AWAY. WE ALREADY HAVE A SITUATION, AND I WON'T
- 18 NAME THE HEALTH CENTER, BUT WE HAVE ONE OF THESE ON THE LIST
- 19 WHO WE WERE CONTACTED VIA EMAIL BY A HIGHLY QUALIFIED P.P.P.
- 20 WHO SAID THEY'RE VERY, VERY INTERESTED IN WORKING WITH US AND
- 21 TAKING OVER THE SERVICES, OR AT LEAST PROVIDING SERVICES AT
- 22 THAT HEALTH CENTER.

- 24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I UNDERSTAND, BILL. I UNDERSTAND THAT.
- 25 THAT'S ALL FINE AND GOOD. AND I'M SURE THERE'S MORE THAN ONE



- 1 P.P.P. THAT WANTS TO HELP US OUT. THE QUESTION IS, WHEN I'VE
- 2 GOT THE REPORT, I KNOW WHAT WE'RE ALL SAYING NOW. BUT WHEN I
- 3 GOT THIS REPORT AND THE PRELIMINARY REPORT AND THE DRAFT
- 4 DOCUMENTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE REPORT, THERE WAS NO TALK ABOUT
- 5 THAT. AT LEAST NOT TO ME. AND THE WAY IT LOOKED TO ME WAS YOU
- 6 WERE GOING TO CLOSE-- AND IN FACT I BELIEVE SOME OF THE
- 7 DOCUMENTS EVEN SAID THAT, THEY WERE GOING TO CLOSE THESE 12
- 8 EXCEPT FOR THE ONE IN THE SOUTH ANTELOPE VALLEY. YOU WERE
- 9 GOING TO CLOSE THESE CLINICS, TAKE THE SAVINGS FROM THOSE
- 10 CLOSURES, GIVE THEM TO PRIVATE NOT FOR PROFIT PARTNERS THAT WE
- 11 HAVE, SO THEY COULD PICK UP THE SLACK. THEY DIDN'T SAY THEY
- 12 WOULD PICK UP THE SLACK AT, FOR EXAMPLE, LA PUENTE. MY
- 13 INTERPRETATION OF THIS, WHETHER IT WAS LA PUENTE OR LAKE LOS
- 14 ANGELES OR LITTLE ROCK OR SAN FERNANDO, YOU EVEN WERE GOING TO
- 15 SAVE A WHOPPING \$100,000 BY CLOSING THE VAUGHN STREET HEALTH
- 16 CENTER, THE VAUGHN STREET ELEMENTARY SCHOOL FOR \$100,000. WHAT
- 17 WERE YOU GUYS THINKING? I MEAN THAT IS A MODEL, A NATIONAL
- 18 PROGRAM THAT HAS BEEN CITED. PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES,
- 19 THE FIRST LADY OF THE UNITED STATES CAME OUT THERE. THIS FIRST
- 20 LADY CAME OUT TO VAUGHN STREET TO GIVE THEM A CERTIFICATE OF
- 21 ACHIEVEMENT, FOR \$100,000. AND WHAT WERE YOU GOING TO DO
- 22 THERE? TURN THE VAUGHN STREET INTO A P.P.P.? I DON'T THINK SO.
- 23 WE DON'T EVEN RUN THAT PLACE, DO WE? SO I'M JUST TRYING TO GET
- 24 SOME CONSISTENCY AS TO WHAT YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT BECAUSE WHEN
- 25 I GOT IT, IT WAS SHUT DOWN THE CLINICS. USE THE SAVINGS TO PUT



- 1 IT INTO OTHER CLINICS. TO ME, THAT'S TROUBLE, BECAUSE I DON'T
- 2 KNOW WHETHER THOSE CLINICS ARE A HALF A MILE AWAY OR 14 MILES
- 3 AWAY. AND IT COULD BE EITHER ONE. IF YOU'RE TELLING ME THAT
- 4 YOU ARE COMMITTED NOT TO CLOSE ANY CLINIC, OR YOU'RE COMMITTED
- 5 NOT TO RECOMMEND TO US, BECAUSE WE WILL BE THE ONES THAT HAVE
- 6 TO MAKE THAT DECISION.

8 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: TRUE.

9

- 10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YOU RECOMMEND TO US THAT YOU ARE NOT GOING
- 11 TO-- SORRY. YOU COMMIT TO US THAT YOU'RE NOT GOING TO
- 12 RECOMMEND CLOSING ANY CLINIC UNLESS THAT CLINIC HAS A BONA
- 13 FIDE PRIVATE PARTNER, NOT FOR PROFIT PRIVATE PARTNER WHO IS
- 14 PREPARED TO TAKE OVER THAT VENUE AND PROVIDE AT LEAST THAT
- 15 LEVEL OF SERVICE AS WE'VE DONE IN THE THREE THAT I'VE
- 16 MENTIONED AND I'M SURE IT'S BEEN DONE IN OTHER PARTS OF THE
- 17 COUNTY, THEN THAT'S ANOTHER STORY. BUT THAT'S NOT WHAT WE
- 18 HEARD UNTIL ALL THIS THING BLEW UP. MAYBE YOU HAD AN IDEA, ALL
- 19 OF YOU GUYS HAD AN IDEA ABOUT WHERE YOU WERE GOING WITH THIS,
- 20 BUT THAT DIDN'T GET COMMUNICATED TO ME. AND CONTRARY TO
- 21 POPULAR BELIEF, IT DIDN'T GET COMMUNICATED TO MY STAFF,
- 22 EITHER. SO ON ALL OF THIS STUFF, THOSE ARE MY CRITERIA. SO YOU
- 23 KNOW WHERE I'M COMING FROM. AND I'M JUST ONE LITTLE COUNTRY
- 24 BOY FROM BEVERLY FAIRFAX. BUT I'VE GOT TO VOTE. AND I'M GOING
- 25 TO BE HEARD ON THIS BECAUSE THIS IS-- TO ME, I UNDERSTAND ALL



- 1 OF THE ARCANE NATURE OF THE HEALTHCARE FINANCING BUSINESS. I
- 2 UNDERSTAND ALL THE DIFFICULTIES WE HAVE WITH OUR BUDGET. I
- 3 ALSO UNDERSTAND THE LEVEL OF OUR BUDGET DEFICIT IS DEPENDING
- 4 UPON THE ASSUMPTIONS WE MAKE. AND THE ASSUMPTIONS ARE GETTING
- 5 HARDER NOT TO MAKE, THE NEGATIVE ASSUMPTIONS ARE GETTING
- 6 HARDER AND HARDER NOT TO MAKE. BUT NEVERTHELESS, FOR \$29
- 7 MILLION, TO WHAT I PERCEIVED WAS TO IMPLODE THOSE CLINICS THAT
- 8 WE RUN, I THOUGHT WAS A MISTAKE. AND THEN THERE'S THE ISSUE
- 9 THAT MRS. BURKE RAISED IN THE NEWSPAPER THE OTHER DAY, WHICH
- 10 IS THE SAME QUESTION THAT WE HAD: IS THERE A NOT FOR PROFIT
- 11 INFRASTRUCTURE OR CAPACITY, NOT INFRASTRUCTURE, BUT CAPACITY
- 12 TO PICK UP THE SLACK ON ALL OF THIS? THAT'S A BIG OUESTION.
- 13 I'M NOT SURE THERE IS IN MY PART OF THE COUNTY, LET ALONE IN
- 14 OTHER PARTS OF THE COUNTY. AND WE'VE GOT A LOT OF NONPROFITS
- 15 WHO ARE IN THIS BUSINESS. SO THE ISSUE THERE IS-- AND I KNOW
- 16 THAT THE P.P.P.S ARE CHOMPING AT THE BIT BECAUSE THEY SEE THIS
- 17 AS AN OPPORTUNITY TO EXPAND THEIR MISSION. BUT DO THEY HAVE
- 18 THE CAPACITY TO PICK UP THE SLACK FOR ALL OF THESE? AND THEN
- 19 IF YOU GET INTO A WORSER-- IF THAT'S THE RIGHT ENGLISH WORD,
- 20 CASE SCENARIO, THE WORST CASE SCENARIO, THE OTHER OPTION THAT
- 21 YOU HAD WHICH WAS NOT PUBLICLY CIRCULATED BUT WHICH I THINK IS
- 22 WELL KNOWN TO EVERYBODY WATCHING THIS ,IS THAT THE WORST CASE
- 23 SCENARIO NOT ONLY SEES THESE CLINICS CLOSE AND NOT USE THE
- 24 SAVINGS TO PLOW BACK INTO THE NOT FOR PROFIT CLINICAL CARE BUT
- 25 IT ALSO PROPOSES TO CLOSE THE COMPREHENSIVE HEALTHCARE



- 1 CLINICS. THAT'S IN A 300 SOME ODD MILLION DOLLAR DEFICIT AS
- 2 OPPOSED TO THE \$190 MILLION DEFICIT THAT THIS ATTEMPTS TO
- 3 CLOSE. SO, BRUCE, I THINK THE AMBULATORY CARE PIECE IS SO
- 4 CRITICAL TO US, IT'S NOT AS SEXY AS HOSPITALS. IT'S NOT AS
- 5 SEXY AS TRAUMA CENTERS. BUT IT'S A VITAL PART OF OUR
- 6 HEALTHCARE DELIVERY SYSTEM. AND ALL I SEE WHEN I LOOK AT THIS
- 7 IS I SEE A LONG LIST OF CLINICS. AND I DON'T SEE MUCH OF
- 8 ANYTHING ELSE. WAS THERE ONE CAR THAT WAS GIVEN UP? WAS THERE
- 9 ONE EXECUTIVE POSITION THAT WAS GIVEN UP? WAS THERE ONE P.I.O.
- 10 THAT WAS GIVEN UP? I MEAN WHERE ARE THE ADMINISTRATIVE
- 11 SACRIFICES THAT WE WOULD EXPECT? AND BILL, I KNOW IN THE CITY
- 12 WHEN YOU WERE THERE RUNNING THAT PLACE, THAT'S THE WAY WE USED
- 13 TO DO IT. YOU'D SPREAD THE BURDEN. EVERYBODY BEARS SOME SHARE
- 14 OF THE BURDEN. NOBODY BEARS A DISPROPORTIONATE SHARE OF THE
- 15 BURDEN. AND THAT MEANS EVERYBODY.

16

- 17 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: WE INTEND TO PROVIDE THAT INFORMATION. ONE
- 18 THING THAT I JUST HAVE TO INTERJECT, WHICH IS ALL YOUR POINTS,
- 19 ABSOLUTELY, I TOTALLY AGREE WITH. WHAT WE'RE TRYING TO DO IS
- 20 CHANGE THE HEALTHCARE DELIVERY MODEL FOR L.A. COUNTY. THIS
- 21 CURTAILMENT IS ONE OF THE DRIVING FACTORS. FOR ANYTHING OF
- 22 THIS SIZE, YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT 190 MILLION OR EVEN 300 PLUS
- 23 MILLION DOLLARS, THE SOLUTION IS NOT ONE SINGLE ACT. IT CAN BE
- 24 THE SUM OF MANY, MANY DIFFERENT ACTS.



1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT'S RIGHT.

- 3 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: THAT'S THE KEY. WHAT I BELIEVE IN IS THAT WE
- 4 HAVE THE BEGINNINGS OF AN OUTSTANDING AMBULATORY CARE MODEL IN
- 5 L.A. COUNTY THROUGH THE P.P.P.S AND WITH US WORKING WITHIN THE
- 6 COMP CENTERS. THE P.P.P.S HAVE SEEN OUR PARTIAL COMMITMENT.
- 7 THROUGH THIS WE'RE TRYING TO COMMUNICATE IS THAT WE'RE
- 8 ABSOLUTELY COMMITTED TO EXPANDING OUR P.P.P. MODEL. I BELIEVE
- 9 ONCE WE DEMONSTRATE THAT COMMITMENT, AND FOR EVERY SINGLE
- 10 ACTION WE TAKE, WE WILL BRING IT BACK TO THIS BOARD. WE'RE NOT
- 11 GOING TO DO ANYTHING UNTIL THE BOARD SEES-- AND I MEAN
- 12 SOMETHING AS SPECIFIC, WHETHER IT'S SMALL OR VERY, VERY LARGE
- 13 ACTION, WE WILL BRING THE DETAILS TO YOU. BUT ONCE THE P.P.P.S
- 14 SEE OUR ABSOLUTE COMMITMENT TO EXPANDING OUR-- THE
- 15 ACCESSIBILITY TO AMBULATORY CARE IN THE COUNTY, NOT
- 16 NECESSARILY THROUGH A D.H.S. CLINIC. BECAUSE WHEN I RAN D.H.S.
- 17 CLINICS IN THE EARLY '90S, WE WERE IT. WE DIDN'T REALLY HAVE
- 18 ANY P.P.P.S. AND THEN SLOWLY OUT OF OUR COMMITMENT TO THE
- 19 FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, AS PART OF THE 1115 WAIVER, WE STARTED
- 20 EXPANDING IT. YOUR DISTRICT HAS THE MODEL. WE HAVE OTHER
- 21 SUCCESS STORIES IN OTHER DISTRICTS. BUT ONCE WE SEE IT, I'M
- 22 FULLY CONVINCED STARTING NOW WHAT THE P.P.P.S ARE SAYING, "IF
- 23 THEY'RE REAL, IF THEY'RE SERIOUS, WE WILL EXPAND, WE WILL MOVE
- 24 INTO ANOTHER SERVICE." AND THAT'S EVIDENCED BY THE EMAIL THAT
- 25 I GOT TODAY BY SOMEONE. AND THIS ISN'T A FLY BY NIGHT FIRM.



- 1 THEY'RE SAYING, "WE WILL WALK INTO ONE OF THE HEALTH CLINICS
- 2 ON THIS LIST AND WE WILL OPERATE OUT OF THAT CLINIC. LET'S
- 3 START TALKING." THAT'S IT.

- 5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THE OTHER PIECE AND I'LL SHUT UP IS: IF
- 6 YOU'RE GOING TO TAKE MORE TIME, THIS DOESN'T ABSOLVE ALL OF US
- 7 COLLECTIVELY, AT THE STAFF AS WELL AS THE BOARD LEVEL, OF
- 8 DEALING WITH THE BUDGET DEFICIT ISSUE ITSELF. IF YOU'RE GOING
- 9 TO-- I MEAN THE WAY I GOT THIS, THIS WAS PART OF A MOSAIC OF
- 10 CUTS IN ADDITIONAL REVENUES THAT WAS GOING TO BALANCE \$194.5
- 11 MILLION HOLE. NOW IF YOU WILL WAIT A YEAR AND A HALF TO
- 12 IMPLEMENT THAT, OR SOME OF IT, A GOOD PART OF IT, THEN I THINK
- 13 MR. KNABE'S MOTION, WHICH IS ON THE GREEN SHEET, WHICH I
- 14 SUPPORT, ASKS FOR ALTERNATIVES. I'M SURE THAT THOSE
- 15 ALTERNATIVES INCLUDE THOSE THAT MAY NOT EVEN BE ON YOUR LIST
- 16 RIGHT NOW. NO SACRED COWS. THAT YOU REALLY TAKE A SCRUB BRUSH
- 17 AND START SCRUBBING EVERYTHING IN THAT DEPARTMENT, THE
- 18 HOSPITAL AND THE EXECUTIVE OFFICE. I MEAN YOU STILL GOT 850
- 19 PEOPLE OR WHATEVER IT IS EMPLOYED AT THE MACK AT M.L.K. WHEN
- 20 OUR CONSULTANT SAYS IT OUGHT TO BE 650 OR LESS, OR 650. AND
- 21 THAT'S RUNNING MILLIONS OF DOLLARS IN ADDITIONAL COSTS.
- 22 THERE'S NOT THE KIND OF URGENCY ABOUT SOME OF THESE COSTS THAT
- 23 WOULD COST NOTHING IN TERMS OF SERVICES AND YET WE HAVE A
- 24 PROPOSAL THAT APPEARED TO US, TO MANY OF US, THAT IT WAS GOING
- 25 TO CUT SERVICES. SO IF THE KNABE MOTION PASSES LATER TODAY AND



- 1 YOU'RE GIVING, I THINK WHAT HAVE YOU ASKED FOR, APRIL? AND YOU
- 2 CAN COME BACK AT APRIL OR SOONER, FOR THAT MATTER, COME BACK
- 3 WITH A BROADER RANGE OF ALTERNATIVES, JUST ASIDE FROM THESE
- 4 KINDS OF MOTHERHOOD AND APPLE PIE THINGS, WHICH IN MY EYES,
- 5 ARE PENNY WISE AND POUND FOOLISH. THANK YOU.

6

7 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: SUPERVISOR KNABE?

- 9 SUP. KNABE: THANK YOU, MADAME CHAIR. I GUESS THERE'S GOING TO
- 10 BE A LOT OF REDUNDANCY HERE IN SOME OF THE COMMENTS MADE
- 11 TODAY. BUT AGAIN GOING BACK, THIS LOOKS LIKE THE PLAN THAT WAS
- 12 TAKEN OFF THE SHELF IN 1994, 1993, 1995. AND MAKE A
- 13 SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE THEN AS IT IS NOW, THAT WAS A DISASTER.
- 14 BUT MORE IMPORTANTLY, WE HAD 13 TO 15 MORE E.R.S. PLUS WE HAD
- 15 M.L.K. THAT WAS OPEN AND OPERATING. AND SO WE HAVE SOME VERY
- 16 SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT ISSUES BACK IN THAT MOMENT OF TIME
- 17 EVEN WHEN WE MADE THAT DIFFICULT DECISION THAT TURNED OUT NOT
- 18 TO BE VERY GOOD. YOU KNOW, WE SHOULD BE OPENING MORE CLINICS
- 19 INSTEAD OF CLOSING CLINICS. [APPLAUSE.] (FEEDBACK). YOU KNOW,
- 20 INSTEAD OF ONCE AGAIN JUST USING THE SAME OLD TIRED NUMBERS
- 21 AND THE SAME OLD APPROACH, WE SHOULD BE LOOKING AT THIS AS AN
- 22 OPPORTUNITY. WHAT ARE WE SELLING? HOW CAN WE DELIVER IT
- 23 BETTER? WHAT CAN WE DO? CAN IT BE A CASH BASIS KIND OF A
- 24 SITUATION? I MEAN THERE'S ALL KINDS OF DIFFERENT
- 25 OPPORTUNITIES. THAT WAS THE PURPOSE OF MY MOTION WAS TO REALLY



- 1 LOOK AT EVERYTHING. ZEV MADE THE COMMENT ABOUT OTHER PORTIONS
- 2 OF THE BUDGET. I MEAN THERE IS AN ACCOUNTABILITY FACTOR FOR
- 3 THE C.E.O. AND FOR YOU BECAUSE IN THERE IS ALSO \$80 MILLION
- 4 WORTH OF CUTS ON THE OTHER SIDE, NOT INCLUDING THE CLINICS.
- 5 THERE IS SOMETHING THERE THAT WE HAVE TO WATCH AND MONITOR
- 6 THAT INCLUDES ALL THE OTHER PORTIONS OF THE DEPARTMENT. BUT IN
- 7 THE PAST THERE HAS NOT BEEN REAL ACCOUNTABILITY AS TO THOSE
- 8 PARTICULAR CUTS. WE'VE WORKED VERY HARD AND I KNOW IN MY
- 9 DISTRICT WE JUST REOPENED IN THE LAST COUPLE OF YEARS THE
- 10 NORWALK CLINIC, A JOINT VENTURE. AND AGAIN THE P.P.P. IDEA MAY
- 11 WORK. AND IT'S A GREAT IDEA. IF IT'S CLOSE. IF IT'S CLOSE.
- 12 EITHER EXISTING FACILITY OR ACROSS THE STREET OR IN THE
- 13 NEIGHBORHOOD. BUT IF IT'S TWO MILES AWAY, SIX MILES AWAY, ALL
- 14 YOU'RE GOING TO DO IS TO OVERBURDEN AND OVERBURDEN EMERGENCY
- 15 ROOM SYSTEM. WHEN I FIRST GOT THIS, AND I HAVE TO AGREE WITH
- 16 MY COLLEAGUES, IT SAID CLOSURE. IT DIDN'T SAY JULY OF '09. IT
- 17 SAID CLOSURE. AND IT'S ABOUT THE SAME TIME I THINK THE SAME
- 18 DAY WE DEALT WITH THE ISSUE OF IMMEDIATE JEOPARDY AT HARBOR
- 19 U.C.L.A. HOW IN THE WORLD CAN WE THINK ABOUT CLOSING CLINICS
- 20 AND PUTTING MORE PRESSURE ON OUR E.R.S WHEN WE'RE DEALING WITH
- 21 THIS? IT'S A CAPACITY ISSUE. IT'S A HUGE CAPACITY ISSUE. AND
- 22 AGAIN WHEN WE NEED TO LOOK AT EVERYTHING. MAYBE THIS IS AN
- 23 OPTION BUT IT WAS NOT PRESENTED AS AN OPTION. IT WAS PRESENTED
- 24 AS CLOSURE. AGAIN, I THINK THERE'S ALL KINDS OF OPPORTUNITIES
- 25 TO LOOK AT HOW WE DELIVER THE SERVICE, AND WE NEED TO PARTNER



- 1 IT. BUT WE CAN'T RUSH INTO CRISES. WE NEED A VERY THOUGHTFUL
- 2 PROCESS. AND THAT WAS THE INTENT OF MY MOTION. LET'S LOOK AT
- 3 IT. LET'S TO TRY TO DO THE RIGHT THING. BECAUSE AT THE END OF
- 4 THE DAY, WE ARE THAT ULTIMATE SAFETY NET. BUT THAT DOESN'T
- 5 MEAN THAT WE HAVE TO CONTINUE TO DELIVER SERVICE THE SAME OLD
- 6 WAY. THAT THERE'S ALL KINDS OF OPPORTUNITIES OUT THERE. NOT
- 7 ONLY PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS BUT THE WAY WE DELIVER IT.
- 8 AND THE OPPORTUNITIES THAT WE MAY HAVE TO GENERATE ADDITIONAL
- 9 REVENUES. BECAUSE A LOT OF THESE FOLKS ARE SITTING OUT THERE
- 10 AND THEY'RE NOT WILLING TO SPEND 5, \$600 A MONTH FOR
- 11 INSURANCE, BUT THEY MIGHT SPEND \$100 FOR A BROKEN ARM FOR \$50
- 12 FOR A CHECKUP. THERE ARE PEOPLE OUT THERE WILLING TO SPEND
- 13 SOME MONEY FOR HEALTHCARE. AND WE NEED TO DEAL WITH THAT. WE
- 14 NEED TO CONTINUE TO PUT THE PRESSURE ON THE FEDS. WE'VE GOT
- 15 THIS WHOLE ARRAY OF THINGS WHICH IS SAME OLD, SAME OLD. BUT AT
- 16 THE END OF THE DAY, THE WAY WE DELIVER THIS GREAT
- 17 RESPONSIBILITY THAT WE HAVE, CLOSING CLINICS IS NOT ONE OF
- 18 THOSE AS FAR AS I'M CONCERNED AT THIS PARTICULAR POINT,
- 19 PARTICULARLY BASED ON OUR PREVIOUS ACTIONS AND WE KNOW WHAT
- 20 THE FAILURE THERE WAS.

21

22 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: COULD I RESPOND, SUPERVISOR? I THINK YOUR

- 23 POINTS ARE WELL TAKEN. YOUR COMMENTS TO SUPERVISOR
- 24 YAROSLAVSKY. FIRST OF ALL, LET ME SAY THAT PROBABLY THE USE OF
- 25 CLOSURE WAS NOT THE BEST WORD TO DESCRIBE THE ACTION.



1

2 SUP. KNABE: I'D SAY THAT'S A GREAT OBSERVATION..

- 4 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: I THINK THAT THE KEY THING TO UNDERSTAND
- 5 HERE IS THAT THE GOAL HAS BEEN, SINCE THE INCEPTION OF THE
- 6 PLAN, TO TRY TO PRIVATIZE THOSE CLINICS AND TO PRESERVE THE
- 7 TOTAL NUMBER OF SERVICES. THE FIDUCIARY RESPONSIBILITY OF THIS
- 8 DEPARTMENT AND OF MY TEAM IS TO DO EVERYTHING POSSIBLE TO
- 9 PRESERVE THE CURRENT LEVEL OF SERVICES WE'RE PROVIDING. AND IF
- 10 IT'S POSSIBLE TO EXPAND THEM, THAT WOULD BE A GREAT THING. WE
- 11 ARE TOTALLY FOR LOOKING FOR WAYS TO EXPAND SERVICES BUT WE ARE
- 12 AT THE BEGINNING OF A MULTI YEAR PROBLEM FINANCIALLY. THIS IS
- 13 ABOUT GETTING US OVER THE NEXT FEW YEARS, NOT TO THE KINDS OF
- 14 CLIFFS THAT YOU ALL HAVE LIVED THROUGH OVER MANY DIRECTORS,
- 15 BUT TO TRY TO TAKE INCREMENTAL STEPS TO BUILD A MORE STABLE
- 16 DELIVERY SYSTEM, TO BUILD ON THE STRENGTHS WE HAVE, TO BUILD
- 17 ON THE PARTNERS WE HAVE AND TO LOOK THE STATE BEDS AND OTHERS
- 18 IN THE EYE ABOUT OUR OPPORTUNITIES GOING FORWARD. SUPERVISOR
- 19 KNABE, YOU SAID SOMETHING THAT WAS VERY IMPORTANT TO ME, WHICH
- 20 IS: IF WE CAN DO THINGS BETTER IN-HOUSE, IF WE CAN DRAW IN
- 21 MORE PATIENTS, WE SHOULD ABSOLUTELY BE DOING THOSE THINGS. SO
- 22 THIS IS THE BEGINNING OF A JOURNEY AS WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO
- 23 FACE THIS BUDGET DEFICIT OVER THE NEXT FEW YEARS. WITH
- 24 RESPECT, SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, TO WHAT YOU WERE SAYING,
- 25 LOOK, I GREW UP IN THIS SYSTEM. AND IN 1995, YOU ALL THANKED



- 1 ME FOR MY COUNTY SERVICE. AND I WAS AROUND DURING THOSE
- 2 CURTAILMENTS. AND I CAME BACK AND I WOULD SHARE WITH YOU THAT
- 3 THE ORIGINAL P.P.P.S WHEN THEY GOT OFF THE GROUND IN THE SAN
- 4 FERNANDO VALLEY WERE SOME OF THE BEST ORGANIZED AND BEST RUN.
- 5 AND VALLEY CARE IS THE BY-PRODUCT OF THAT WORK. AND THAT WAS
- 6 THE WORK I DID WHEN I WAS IN THE DEPARTMENT. SO NOBODY VALUES
- 7 AND APPRECIATES THAT MORE CONCEPTUALLY. THERE ARE PLACES WHERE
- 8 MY DEPARTMENT CERTAINLY CAN DO A BETTER JOB. AND I THINK
- 9 GETTING THIS AMBULATORY CARE POSITION FILLED IS FRANKLY ONE OF
- 10 THEM. WE'VE WORKED HARD WITH THE C.E.O. AND D.H.R. STAFF TO
- 11 GET THE RIGHT LEVEL ITEM, TO BE ABLE TO POST THAT ITEM. WE ARE
- 12 VERY CLOSE. AND I WOULD SAY THE DEPARTMENT AS A WHOLE HAS
- 13 BUILT ON THOSE VALLEY CARE SUCCESSES. SO THERE'S FINE EXAMPLES
- 14 UP AROUND L.A.C./U.S.C. WITH RESPECT TO THE COMMUNA DE SALUD
- 15 PROGRAM. AND THERE ARE OTHER GOOD EXAMPLES ELSEWHERE IN THE
- 16 SYSTEM. SO WE HAVE BUILD ON THOSE SUCCESSES, BUT WE NEED TO
- 17 CONTINUE TO GROW THAT KIND OF SUCCESS GOING FORWARD. IT IS
- 18 EXTREMELY IMPORTANT THAT WE CONTINUE TO PROVIDE A BALANCED
- 19 SYSTEM. FOR THE EMERGENCY ROOMS THAT ARE CROWDED, IT IS BOTH A
- 20 FRONT END AND A BACK END ISSUE. IF WE ARE NOT THERE TO PROVIDE
- 21 INPATIENT SERVICES, IF WE ARE NOT THERE TO PROVIDE EMERGENCY
- 22 ROOM SERVICES, THOSE PATIENTS GO ELSEWHERE. IF WE ARE NOT
- 23 THERE TO PROVIDE COMMUNITY CLINIC VISITS, THOSE THAT WE
- 24 OPERATE, THOSE THAT OTHERS OPERATE, THOSE PEOPLE GO ELSEWHERE.
- 25 SO THIS IS A PROPOSAL THAT LOOKS TO KEEP SERVICES IN PLACE



- 1 WHEREVER POSSIBLE. SUPERVISOR KNABE, TO YOUR POINT, I ACCEPT
- 2 THAT CLOSURE WAS TOO TOUGH A WORD BECAUSE THAT WAS NOT THE
- 3 INTENTIONED GOAL OF THE PROGRAM.

- 5 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: DR. CHERNOF, I HAVE TO SAY THAT WHEN I
- 6 HEARD THIS, THERE'S NO QUESTION I WENT JUST BERSERK. AND FOR A
- 7 NUMBER OF REASONS. SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY HAS EXCELLENT
- 8 P.P.P.S. AND I WANT TO SAY THAT I'VE BEEN A SUPPORTER OF OUR
- 9 PUBLIC- PRIVATE PARTNERS BECAUSE THERE ARE SOME EXCELLENT
- 10 ONES. BUT THERE ARE ALSO SOME REAL DIFFERENCES BASED UPON AREA
- 11 AND SOME OF THOSE P.P.P.S IN YOUR AREA , MR. YAROSLAVSKY,
- 12 SERVE PEOPLE IN THE ADJACENT AREA, OVER IN MY DISTRICT. BUT
- 13 I'M TALKING ABOUT THE SOUTH LOS ANGELES AREA. TO ME, IT WAS
- 14 VERY, VERY DISTURBING BECAUSE I HAD JUST SPENT A TREMENDOUS
- 15 AMOUNT OF TIME TRYING TO FIGURE OUT HOW TO SAVE A P.P.P.,
- 16 BECAUSE THEY DID NOT GET THE KIND OF REIMBURSEMENT THAT MADE
- 17 IT POSSIBLE TO PAY FOR THE SERVICES THAT THEY WERE PROVIDING.
- 18 AND WHAT DO WE DO WITH THEM? IF YOU HAVE A PHILANTHROPIC
- 19 COMMUNITY WHERE THEY WILL SUPPLEMENT MONEY, IF THEY'RE WILLING
- 20 TO GIVE EVENTS WHERE THEY CAN GIVE MONEY TO THESE NONPROFITS,
- 21 THEY WORK. BUT DEPENDING UPON THE REIMBURSEMENT LEVEL THAT WE
- 22 HAVE PROVIDED TO THEM, ONE AFTER ANOTHER, I SPENT A TREMENDOUS
- 23 AMOUNT OF TIME FIGURING OUT HOW WE'RE GOING TO SAVE THEM
- 24 BECAUSE THEY DON'T HAVE, NUMBER ONE, OUTSIDE FUNDS. THEY'RE
- 25 OPERATING IN VERY LIMITED CIRCUMSTANCE. NOW, I ALSO HAVE TO

17

19

## The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- 1 TELL YOU, THIS IS A VERY SENSITIVE ISSUE WITH ME. WHEN YOU
- 2 TALK ABOUT DOLLAR HIDE, IT WAS ABOUT 1995 THAT THERE WERE TWO
- 3 CLINICS IN CLOSE PROXIMITY, ONE AT ROSS GRANTS AND ONE AT
- 4 DOLLAR HIDE. THEY SAID "OKAY, YOU'VE GOT TO CLOSE ONE." THEY
- 5 CLOSED ONE OF THE CLINICS. DOLLAR HIDE WAS THE ONLY-- WAS THE
- 6 REMAINING CLINIC. SMALLER, BUT DOLLAR HIDE HAS CONTINUED TO
- 7 HAVE ISSUES, PROBLEMS. OUR CLINICS NEED REPAIRS. THEY HAVE NOT
- 8 BEEN UPGRADED. THEY DON'T HAVE THE SYSTEMS THAT THEY NEED. AND
- 9 INSTEAD OF US UPGRADING THEM, WE'RE TALKING ABOUT-- AND YOU
- 10 SAID YOU WEREN'T TALKING ABOUT CLOSING THEM. I UNDERSTOOD WE
- 11 WERE TALKING ABOUT CLOSING THEM. THAT WAS MY UNDERSTANDING.
- 12 AND IMMEDIATELY I SAID "WHERE ARE YOU GOING TO FIND THE
- 13 PARTNERS TO COME IN TO TAKE THE PLACE OF THOSE CLINICS?" NOW,
- 14 AS I UNDERSTAND IT, WHAT YOU'RE SAYING NOW OR PROPOSING,
- 15 YOU'RE GOING TO FIND NONPROFITS TO COME INTO THE EXISTING
- 16 FACILITY TO OPERATE THEM, IS THAT WHAT YOU'RE PROPOSING?

18 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: THAT'S THE GOAL, SUPERVISOR.

- 20 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: NOW, WE WEREN'T ABLE TO DO THAT WITH OUR
- 21 HOSPITALS. YOU CAN'T FIND THE DOCTORS. AND HOW ARE YOU GOING
- 22 TO OPERATE THAT IN SUCH A WAY THAT THE REIMBURSEMENT RATE
- 23 MAKES UP FOR ALL THE COSTS OF PROVIDING SERVICES TO A LARGELY
- 24 UNINSURED POPULATION. THAT'S THE KIND OF ISSUE THAT I'M REALLY
- 25 CONCERNED ABOUT. NOW I HEARD MR. FUJIOKA SAY, "WELL WE'VE HAD



- 1 SOME P.P.S WHO SAID THEY'LL GO INTO SOME OF THESE AREAS AND
- 2 OPERATE THEM." WELL, YOU KNOW HOW THAT GOES. WE'VE GONE
- 3 THROUGH THIS. CLOSE RANCHO, CLOSE HARBOR. WE'VE GONE THROUGH
- 4 THIS AND IT'S ALWAYS THE SAME HYSTERIA. AND IT ALWAYS IS
- 5 SOMEONE'S GOING TO COME IN AND DO IT. THEY DON'T. WE'RE STILL
- 6 WAITING FOR SOMEONE TO COME INTO RANCHO. THEY HAVEN'T
- 7 APPEARED. WELL THEY APPEAR, BUT WHEN THEY LOOK AT IT, THEY
- 8 LEAVE AS FAST AS THEY COME IN. THEY COME. YEAH. THEY COME.
- 9 THEY TAKE A LOOK. LET ME TELL YOU WHEN THEY GO OUT TO DOLLAR
- 10 HIDE, DO YOU KNOW WHAT THEY'RE GOING TO SAY? "THIS IS NOT
- 11 COMPARABLE TO THE KIND OF FACILITIES WE'RE ACCUSTOMED TO
- 12 OPERATING" BECAUSE WE'VE ALLOWED THEM TO DETERIORATE. WHEN I
- 13 TRY TO GET MONIES TO GET THEM UPGRADED, YOU KNOW, NO ONE --
- 14 EVERYBODY LOOKS THE OTHER WAY AND THINKS I'M A SPENDTHRIFT OR
- 15 A LIBERAL, AS THEY CALL IT. THE IDEA IS ONE THING. TRANSLATING
- 16 IT INTO OPERATION IS ANOTHER. AND I AM VERY, VERY CONCERNED
- 17 ABOUT ANY PLAN THAT'S GOING TO SAY "WE'RE GOING TO FIND
- 18 NONPROFITS TO OPERATE FACILITIES IN SOUTH LOS ANGELES WHERE WE
- 19 HAVE FACILITIES THAT ARE NOT UP TO PAR" NOW I DON'T WANT TO
- 20 SAY WE DON'T HAVE EXCELLENT PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERS. WE HAVE
- 21 SOME EXCELLENT ONES. BUT WE HAVE A LOT WHO ARE IN TROUBLE. AND
- 22 I HAVE BEEN TALKING TO YOU. I HAVE BEEN TALKING TO MR.
- 23 FUJIOKA. HOW ARE WE GOING TO SAVE ONE OF OUR CLINICS? OUR
- 24 PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERS? WHO OWES US \$500,000 THAT HAS NOT
- 25 BEEN ABLE TO OPERATE, WHO IS IN A BUILDING THAT THE STAIRS ARE



- 1 FALLING DOWN. OTHERS THAT ARE HAVING DIFFICULTY IN TERMS OF
- 2 GETTING THEIR REIMBURSEMENT. SO HOW DO YOU DO THIS? IT'S A
- 3 GREAT-- YOU KNOW, I KNOW WE HAVE TO GRASP FOR STRAWS. THIS IS
- 4 NOT THE RIGHT STRAW. WE HAVE TO LOOK AT SOME OTHER METHODS OF
- 5 A DEFICIT THAT WE ALL KNOW IS THERE. BUT GETTING BACK TO THE
- 6 CASH THAT MR. KNABE SAID. AT ONE TIME, I ASKED IF WE COULD
- 7 JUST HAVE PEOPLE WHO ARE WILLING TO PAY \$30 AT HUMPHREY COME
- 8 IN LATE AND PAY FOR THE KIND OF THINGS THAT THEY PAY IF THEY
- 9 GO TO SOME OF THE OTHER PRIVATES. NOT OUR PARTNERS BUT SOME OF
- 10 THE OTHER PRIVATE CLINICS THAT EXIST IN MANY PARTS OF SOUTH
- 11 AND EAST LOS ANGELES. DO YOU KNOW WHAT HAPPENED? THEY SAID,
- 12 "WELL WE CAN'T GET PEOPLE TO STAY THERE LATE IN ORDER TO DO
- 13 THE COLLECTIONS. IT'S TOO MUCH TROUBLE TO COLLECT THE MONEY."
- 14 THERE'S A LOT-- THERE ARE A LOT OF ALTERNATIVES THAT WE HAVE
- 15 REALLY NOT PURSUED. LOOK AT THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN WHAT
- 16 HAPPENS AT ST. FRANCIS IN TERMS OF MOTHERS WHO COME IN THERE
- 17 WHO ARE UNDOCUMENTED AND THEY HAVE THE SOCIAL WORKERS TO
- 18 OUALIFY THEM. BUT AT KING WE NEVER HAD THEM. SO IT'S THE
- 19 APPLICATION. AND IT'S THE ABILITY TO DO SOMETHING THAT I THINK
- 20 WE'VE GOT TO LOOK AT. THERE ARE PLACES WE HAVE TO LOOK AT
- 21 ALTERNATIVES. HOW MUCH IS DOLLAR HIDE? I THINK IT'S A MILLION.
- 22 IT'S WHAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT CLOSING DOLLAR HIDE FOR. AND
- 23 AGAIN IT'S JUST PENNY WISE, POUND FOOLISH. I MEAN IT MAKES NO
- 24 SENSE. AND LET ME TELL YOU THIS. IF I BELIEVED THAT WE WERE
- 25 GOING TO HAVE BETTER SERVICE AND WE WERE GOING TO HAVE THE



- 1 SAME KIND OF SERVICE THAT THEY HAVE IN SOME OF THE EXCELLENT
- 2 PUBLIC- PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS, I'D BE THE FIRST ONE ON BOARD.
- 3 BUT AT THIS POINT, I AM NOT CONVINCED. AND I AM REALLY, REALLY
- 4 AFRAID I'M GOING TO END UP WITH DOLLAR HIDE CLOSED AND THERE
- 5 WILL BE NO SERVICE IN THE AREA. SO THAT'S REALLY MY ISSUE.
- 6 SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH?

- 8 SUP. ANTONOVICH: BACK IN 1995 WHEN WE WORKED WITH THE
- 9 ADMINISTRATION AT THAT TIME AND THE PRESIDENT AND THE H.H.S.,
- 10 I REMEMBER EACH SUPERVISOR TALKING, IN FACT, WHEN WE HAD THE
- 11 PRESIDENT OUT HERE ON THE TARMAC, THAT THIS AGREEMENT WAS TO
- 12 ENSURE THAT WE WOULD HAVE PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS TO HELP
- 13 MEET THE NEEDS, MEET THE COSTS AND EXPAND THE SERVICE. WELL,
- 14 13 YEARS LATER, WE'RE NOW GETTING AROUND TO CARRYING OUT A
- 15 COMMITMENT THAT WE MADE TO THE CLINTON ADMINISTRATION AND WE
- 16 MADE TO THE BUSH ADMINISTRATION. AND THAT WAS A COMMITMENT
- 17 THAT EACH OF US MADE. IF YOU GO BACK, THE PRESS WILL GO BACK
- 18 AND HEAR OUR STATEMENTS AT SANTA MONICA AIRPORT WITH PRESIDENT
- 19 CLINTON, IN THE CONVERSATIONS WE HAD, AND THE PRESS
- 20 CONFERENCES, BOTH IN WASHINGTON AND HERE, IT WAS THAT WERE
- 21 GOING TO MOVE FORWARD IN PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS TO REDUCE
- 22 THE COSTS AND EXPAND THE SERVICE. IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING THAT
- 23 WHAT WE HAVE BEFORE US TODAY IS NOT ONE WHERE WE'RE GOING TO
- 24 CLOSE SERVICE, BUT IT'S ONE WHERE WE ARE GOING TO REPLACE AND
- 25 EXPAND SERVICE. AND UNTIL THAT CAN BE PROVEN THAT WE ARE NOT



- 1 EXPANDING AND REDUCING THE COSTS, BUT ENSURING THAT THOSE
- 2 NEEDS THAT ARE BEING MET TODAY WILL BE MET TOMORROW, AND THEN
- 3 YOU'RE KIND OF SHOOTING THE MESSENGER BEFORE US TODAY, AND
- 4 WHAT WE HAVE IS A COMMITMENT THAT HAS BEEN MADE TO TWO
- 5 ADMINISTRATIONS, TWO ADMINISTRATIONS, WE HAVE MADE THOSE. AND
- 6 WE NEED TO MOVE FORWARD TO ENSURE THAT WE DO HAVE A SAFETY NET
- 7 IN PLACE, AND IF WE HAVE PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS AS A
- 8 PARTNER IN ACHIEVING THIS GOAL, THEN WE WILL HAVE SERVED THE
- 9 NEEDS OF OUR CLIENTS AND THE PEOPLE WE REPRESENT AND THE
- 10 COMMITMENTS WE'VE MADE IN WASHINGTON AND IN LOS ANGELES. SO,
- 11 YOU KNOW, AGAIN, WE'RE NOT RUSHING IN. I WOULD FAULT THE
- 12 DEPARTMENT FOR NOT MOVING AGGRESSIVELY IN THE PAST IN
- 13 ACHIEVING THOSE COMMITMENTS THAT HAVE BEEN MADE. THOSE ARE
- 14 BASED UPON FISCAL APPROPRIATIONS THAT THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT
- 15 WAS MAKING FOR US TO ENSURE THE INTEGRITY AND THE VIABILITY OF
- 16 THE HEALTH SYSTEM, THE HEALTHCARE SYSTEM IN THE COUNTY OF LOS
- 17 ANGELES, AND I BELIEVE WE HAVE TO WORK TOGETHER TO ENSURE HOW
- 18 WE MEET THESE NEEDS BUT IT'S GOING TO HAVE TO BE WHERE OUR
- 19 COMMITMENTS ARE MADE AND NOT RESCINDED AS SOON AS WE GET THE
- 20 CHECK AND THEN GO BACK TO WASHINGTON THIS YEAR AND SAY, WELL,
- 21 GIVE US ANOTHER 10 YEARS AND A WAIVER AND THEN WE WILL, AT
- 22 THAT TIME, PUT IN THESE TYPES OF REFORMS THAT ARE SO
- 23 NECESSARY. OTHERWISE, THEY'RE JUST GOING TO SAY, "YOU
- 24 PROMISED, YOU DIDN'T FOLLOW THROUGH, SO WHY SHOULD WE WORK
- 25 WITH YOU TO HELP YOU MEET A CRISIS THAT YOU HAVE BECAUSE



- 1 YOU'RE A PART OF THE PROBLEM IN NOT MEETING THAT
- 2 RESPONSIBILITY?"

- 4 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH, I WAS ON THAT
- 5 TARMAC. WHAT WE COMMITTED WAS TO ESTABLISH A SYSTEM THAT WAS A
- 6 NONPROFIT SYSTEM TO INCREASE, NOT TO SUBSTITUTE FOR, A CLINIC
- 7 SYSTEM THAT WE HAVE, AND WE DID ESTABLISH IT. WE ESTABLISHED
- 8 IT AND I CAN RECALL THAT THERE WERE MANY INSTANCES OF WHERE WE
- 9 LOOKED AT SOME OF OUR FACILITIES AND THERE WAS A BIG ISSUE. IF
- 10 THE COUNTY ACTUALLY OPERATES IT ITSELF, IS IT ABLE TO BE
- 11 REIMBURSED FOR SOME OF THOSE FUNDS THAT WERE COMMITTED? AND
- 12 THE ANSWER WAS, IN MOST INSTANCES, NO, BECAUSE THAT WAS FOR A
- 13 TOTALLY NEW SYSTEM. WE MET OUR COMMITMENT, WE ESTABLISHED THAT
- 14 SYSTEM, WE RECEIVED THE FUNDS, WE PASSED THEM ON, AND ONE OF
- 15 THE CRITERIA WAS THAT IT BE NON-COUNTY OPERATED, BUT IT DID
- 16 NOT INCLUDE THAT THERE WOULD NOT BE A COUNTY-OPERATED SYSTEM.
- 17 SO WHAT WE DID-- AND MUCH OF THE CONCERN WAS TO MEET FEDERAL
- 18 REOUIREMENTS FOR THOSE PEOPLE WHO COME INTO OUR SYSTEM. AND WE
- 19 KNEW THAT IF IT WAS NONPROFIT AND IT WAS NOT OPERATED BY A
- 20 GOVERNMENTAL ENTITY, MANY OF THE PEOPLE WE SERVE WOULD BE ABLE
- 21 TO GO INTO THAT ALTERNATIVE SYSTEM THAT HAS BEEN ESTABLISHED
- 22 AND IS DOING VERY WELL, BUT AT NO TIME WAS A REPRESENTATION
- 23 MADE THAT WE WERE GOING TO ELIMINATE THE PUBLIC SYSTEM. IT WAS
- 24 SUPPLEMENTAL AND WE CARRIED IT OUT AND I'M SURE IF YOU GO BACK
- 25 AND LOOK AT EXACTLY WHAT WAS SAID, NOT ONLY BY US, BUT BY THE



- 1 PRESIDENT, ALSO BY ALL OF THOSE PEOPLE WE NEGOTIATED WITH,
- 2 BECAUSE I WORKED ON THAT. I REMEMBER WORKING WITH GRANTLAND
- 3 JOHNSON AND TALKING TO HIM AS THE REGIONAL DIRECTOR. AT NO
- 4 TIME DID WE TALK ABOUT ELIMINATING THE PUBLIC.

5

- 6 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WE'RE ONLY LOOKING AT ELIMINATING IN THOSE
- 7 AREAS WHERE WE HAVE A PROVIDER AND THE COSTS ARE LESS IN ORDER
- 8 TO MEET THE NEEDS OF OPERATING THE SYSTEM, THOSE HEALTH
- 9 CENTERS THAT WE CURRENTLY HAVE THAT WE ARE NOT GOING TO BE
- 10 INVOLVED IN A P.P.P..

11

- 12 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WELL, MY UNDERSTANDING WAS THAT WE WERE ON
- 13 A ROAD TO FINDING PEOPLE FOR EVERY ONE OF THOSE CENTERS TO
- 14 OPERATE THEM, AND-- IS THAT MY UNDERSTANDING? AM I INCORRECT?

- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WELL, AND THE OTHER THING IS, MADAM CHAIR,
- 17 IS THAT DR. CHERNOF HAS ADMITTED THAT THE CHOICE OF THE WORD
- 18 "CLOSURE" MIGHT NOT HAVE BEEN THE BEST CHOICE OF WORDS. IT
- 19 ONLY MEANS ONE THING TO US, AND MAYBE YOU FATHOMED THAT
- 20 "CLOSURE" DIDN'T MEAN CLOSURE BUT IT MEANT IT TO THE REST OF
- 21 US, AND I DON'T THINK THERE'S A DISAGREEMENT, PHILOSOPHICAL
- 22 DISAGREEMENT, WITH THAT KIND OF AN OPERATIONAL PARTNERSHIP, IF
- 23 THAT'S THE ONLY WAY TO GET THE JOB DONE. AND IN SOME CASES, IT
- 24 IS, BUT THERE IS A PROBLEM WITH CLOSURE, AND THAT'S WHAT-- WE
- 25 WERE GOING BACKWARDS, THE WAY THIS REPORT CAME, MIKE. WE WERE



- 1 GOING-- WE WERE REVERSING COURSE FROM WHERE WE WERE ON THE
- 2 TARMAC, NOT STOPPING WHAT WE WERE DOING, WE WERE GOING
- 3 BACKWARDS, AND THAT'S--

4

- 5 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: AND YOU KNOW, SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, IT'S
- 6 TRUE, "CLOSURE" WAS AN UNFORTUNATE USE OF THE WORD, BUT EVEN
- 7 WHEN YOU START SUBSTITUTING AND MOVING AWAY FROM THE PRESENT
- 8 MODEL TO A NONPROFIT OPERATOR OF OUR SYSTEM, I HAVE SOME BASIC
- 9 ISSUES THAT NEED TO BE RESOLVED IN MY MIND AND THEY'RE THE
- 10 SAME ONES THAT WE'VE SEEN AT OUR HOSPITALS: WHERE DO YOU FIND
- 11 THAT OPERATOR WHO IS WILLING TO ABSORB THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN
- 12 WHAT WE'RE GOING TO PAY AND WHAT IT ACTUALLY COSTS TO PROVIDE
- 13 THE SERVICE? AND I KNOW THAT WE'RE REPRESENTING TO ALL OF
- 14 THESE FINE PEOPLE OUT HERE, WE'RE GOING TO MAKE THEM WHOLE,
- 15 BUT WHEN THE TIME COMES TO GET THAT CONTRACT AND GET
- 16 REIMBURSED, I QUESTION WHETHER WE WILL REIMBURSE THEM AT THEIR
- 17 TOTAL COST. OUR EXPECTATION IS THEY'RE NONPROFITS, THEY'RE
- 18 GOING TO HAVE ACCESS TO OUTSIDE FUNDS. SOME OF THEM MAY BE
- 19 FEDERALLY QUALIFIED HEALTH PLANS AND THEY GET FEDERAL FUNDS.
- 20 OTHERS WILL NOT, BUT OUR PRESUMPTION WILL ALWAYS BE, SINCE
- 21 THEY'RE NONPROFIT, THEY'RE GOING TO HAVE A FLOW OF FUNDS FROM
- 22 THE PRIVATE SECTOR, IN MANY INSTANCES, THE PHILANTHROPIC
- 23 COMMUNITY, MAYBE FOUNDATIONS, MAYBE INDIVIDUAL CONTRIBUTORS.
- 24 THAT WILL BE THE BOTTOM LINE IN ORDER TO OPERATE.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: BUT THEY'RE NOT DOING THAT IN AREAS WHERE
- 2 THEY DON'T HAVE A P.P.P., WHERE THEY HAVE PUBLIC-PRIVATE
- 3 PARTNERSHIPS, THEY WILL EXPLORE THAT. WHERE THEY DON'T,
- 4 THEY'RE CONTINUING TO HAVE THE COUNTY-OPERATED SYSTEM IN
- 5 PLACE, BUT ONE OF THE PROBLEMS THAT IS RAISED, AND WE HAVE TO
- 6 FISCALLY BE RESPONSIVE, IT COSTS ABOUT \$194, \$197 TO TREAT A
- 7 PERSON AT THE HEALTH CENTER VERSUS ABOUT A \$94 FEE FOR-- AT A
- 8 PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP.

9

11

17

- 10 SUP. KNABE: VERSUS ABOUT 800 AT AN E.R.
- 12 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: RIGHT, AND BUT ALSO, WE HAVE TO SAY, WHAT
- 13 IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THAT AMOUNT THAT WE PAY AND, IN MANY
- 14 INSTANCES, IT'S AN AMOUNT, AS IT IS IN OUR HOSPITAL, THE
- 15 AMOUNT THAT IT COSTS TO OPERATE OUR HOSPITALS IS TOO HIGH. WE
- 16 NEED TO LOOK AT THAT.
- 18 SUP. ANTONOVICH: YOU'RE RIGHT.
- 20 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WAIT A MINUTE. THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN WHAT
- 21 WE PAY AND OUR-- AND THE COST IN GOING INTO ONE OF OUR
- 22 CLINICS, AND THE COST FOR A NONPROFIT IS OFTEN THAT THEY MAKE
- 23 UP THAT DIFFERENCE, AND THE EXPECTATION IS THAT THEY WILL MAKE
- 24 UP THAT DIFFERENCE IN TERMS OF COSTS BY OUTSIDE CONTRIBUTIONS
- 25 OR RAISING THE FUNDS, AND THAT'S-- THIS IS THE PROBLEM I'M



- 1 FACING, AND THE REASON I SAY THAT IS I'M LOOKING AT CLINICS
- 2 THAT ARE HAVING DIFFICULTY OPERATING. I CAN THINK OF THREE IN
- 3 MY DISTRICT RIGHT NOW. THEY'RE PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERS,
- 4 THEY'RE TRYING TO PROVIDE THE SERVICE, BUT THEY DON'T HAVE
- 5 THAT GAP MONEY, AND AS A RESULT, THEY ARE GOING UNDER, AND
- 6 THAT'S WHAT, YOU KNOW, IF ALL OF THEM WERE DOING FLOURISHING
- 7 IN SOUTH LOS ANGELES, I WOULDN'T HAVE ANY QUESTIONS ABOUT IT,
- 8 BUT THEY AREN'T FLOURISHING. ALL RIGHT. THERE ARE A NUMBER OF
- 9 PEOPLE WHO WANTED TO SPEAK. SUPERVISOR MOLINA, I HAVE NOT
- 10 CALLED ON YOU.

11

12 SUP. MOLINA: THAT'S ALL RIGHT.

13

14 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: BUT YOU SPOKE FIRST.

- 16 SUP. MOLINA: I ASKED A QUESTION BECAUSE I WAS-- IT WAS UNCLEAR
- 17 TO ME, AND IT CONTINUES TO BE, EVEN AS THIS GETS EXPLAINED, IT
- 18 BECOMES MORE AND-- I'M NOT SURE WHAT WE'RE DOING. I UNDERSTAND
- 19 WHAT SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH IS, BECAUSE THAT WAS A GOAL WAY
- 20 BACK IN-- WAY BACK IN 1990 WHATEVER, BUT I DON'T UNDERSTAND
- 21 WHAT THE GOAL IS HERE, AND I GUESS, IT'S VERY CONFUSING. MY
- 22 STAFF CAME IN A COUPLE OF WEEKS AGO AND TOLD ME THAT THEY HAD
- 23 BEEN BRIEFED ON THIS CLOSURE PLAN, AND I COULDN'T BELIEVE THAT
- 24 THAT'S WHAT THEY GOT BRIEFED ON. IN FACT, EVEN NOW WHEN THE
- 25 C.E.O. MENTIONED THAT THIS WOULD NOT START UNTIL 2009, I



- 1 TURNED AROUND AND SAID, "HAVE YOU GOT WAX IN YOUR EARS, OR
- 2 WHAT HAPPENED?" I DON'T KNOW WHERE Y'ALL ARE GOING. YOU CREATE
- 3 A BRIEFING THAT CLEARLY SAYS THAT YOU'RE CLOSING. YOU TELL US
- 4 THAT. NOW IT'S SORT OF DIFFERENT, YOU'RE THINKING ABOUT IT,
- 5 YOU'RE GOING TO LOOK AT IT, BUT I'M VERY CONCERNED BECAUSE THE
- 6 NEXT STEP IS WHERE YOU'RE GOING AND IT LOOKS LIKE YOU'RE NOT
- 7 COMING TO US FOR THIS APPROVAL, AND I WANT TO UNDERSTAND THAT.
- 8 ACCORDING TO YOU, "IT SAYS THE DEPARTMENT HAS ALREADY BEGUN
- 9 THE NECESSARY PLANNING STEPS TO IMPLEMENT PHASE ONE,
- 10 HEALTHCARE DELIVERY SYSTEM CONFIGURATION CONSISTING OF
- 11 PRIVATIZING PRIMARY CARE HEALTH AND EXPANDING PRIMARY VISITS
- 12 P.P. " SO YOU'RE GOING TO DO THIS WITHOUT OUR APPROVAL. IS THAT
- 13 WHAT YOU'RE SAYING?

14

15 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: NO, SUPERVISOR.

16

- 17 SUP. MOLINA: WHAT DOES THAT SAY? I READ IT. THAT'S WHAT IT
- 18 SAYS TO ME. I WANT TO UNDERSTAND WHAT IT SAYS.

19

- 20 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: SUPERVISOR, WE'VE DONE THE INTERNAL WORK SO
- 21 THAT WE COULD DESCRIBE TO YOUR BOARD HOW, SHOULD YOUR BOARD
- 22 CHOOSE TO GO FORWARD, WE WOULD IMPLEMENT THIS. THE INSTRUCTION
- 23 FROM MY DEPARTMENT WAS TO BE PREPARED TO TAKE WHATEVER ACTIONS
- 24 WERE NECESSARY IN JULY OF THIS YEAR.



SUP. MOLINA: WHEN YOU SAY NEXT STEPS--

2

- 3 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: IN CONCERT WITH THE C.E.O.'S STAFF, WE WERE
- 4 ASKED TO RECONSIDER THAT TIMEFRAME.

5

- 6 SUP. MOLINA: SO THE NEXT STEPS ARE NOT THE NEXT STEPS. I MEAN,
- 7 I'M JUST READING WHAT YOU SENT ME.

8

9 SUP. KNABE: THAT DOESN'T APPLY ANY MORE TO THAT.

10

- 11 SUP. MOLINA: WELL, I DON'T KNOW. THE NEXT STEPS SAYS-- IT SAYS
- 12 THEY'RE TAKING STEPS TO START THE IMPLEMENTATION OF PHASE ONE.
- 13 I'M CONFUSED, BECAUSE A COUPLE OF WEEKS AGO, THEY GOT ONE SET
- 14 OF BRIEFINGS WITH A TIMEFRAME, AND THEN TODAY WE'RE NOW
- 15 CREATING SOME FUZZINESS. THE PUBLIC CERTAINLY HEARD THAT.
- 16 THAT'S WHY THEY'RE ALL HERE. WE'RE TRYING TO UNDERSTAND. I
- 17 MEAN, I HAVE TRIED NOT TO COMMENT BECAUSE I COULDN'T BELIEVE
- 18 THAT WE WOULD MOVE FORWARD WITH SUCH A FOOLISH PLAN, BUT I'M
- 19 NOT SURE I UNDERSTAND NOW WHERE WE'RE GOING, BECAUSE I'M
- 20 LOOKING AT THE NEXT STEPS THAT ARE WRITTEN. IT'S THE ONLY
- 21 DOCUMENT I HAVE, AND IT SAYS THAT YOU'RE GOING TO IMPLEMENT
- 22 IT. IT DOESN'T SAY YOU'RE COMING TO US FOR APPROVAL, AND SO--
- 23 [CHEERS]



- 1 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: ANYTHING OF THIS MAGNITUDE WOULD REQUIRE THAT
- 2 WE BRING THIS TO YOUR BOARD FOR APPROVAL.

3

4 SUP. MOLINA: WHERE WILL YOU BRING IT TO US?

- 6 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: WHEN WE TALK ABOUT THE NEXT STEPS, OUR
- 7 OBLIGATION WAS TO BRING TO YOU A BUDGET CURTAILMENT PLAN THAT
- 8 ADDRESSED THE DEPARTMENT'S DEFICIT AND IMPLEMENT BY JULY 1ST.
- 9 THAT WAS A VERY EXPLICIT INSTRUCTION THAT ALL OF US RECEIVED.
- 10 GIVEN WHAT WE HAVE BEFORE US, THE MAGNITUDE, THE IMPACT, WE'RE
- 11 SUGGESTING THAT WE EXPAND THAT TIMELINE. NOW, ON THE BRIEFING,
- 12 AND I KNOW-- I KNOW WHAT THE FIRST DOCUMENT SAID, I KNOW
- 13 THERE'S ONE BOX THAT SAID "CLOSED," IMMEDIATELY UNDERNEATH
- 14 THAT BOX, IT SAID, "EXPAND SERVICES AT THE P.P.P." I WASN'T AT
- 15 THE BRIEFING. IT'S MORE THAN APPARENT THAT WE ERRED IN USING
- 16 THE WORD "CLOSED." THAT'S A GROSS UNDERSTATEMENT. WE CHANGED
- 17 IT TO PRIVATIZE. THE INTENT HAS ALWAYS BEEN, AND I KNOW I'VE
- 18 STATED THIS ON SEVERAL OCCASIONS, THAT WE WOULD CHANGE THE
- 19 DELIVERY MODEL, THAT WE WOULD NOT IN FACT ELIMINATE THE
- 20 SERVICE; THAT WE WOULD INSTEAD EXPAND ACCESSIBILITY TO
- 21 AMBULATORY CARE SERVICES. IT'S MORE THAN APPARENT THAT OUR
- 22 PRESENTATION TO YOUR STAFF WAS UNCLEAR. I NEED TO ADMIT THAT,
- 23 BECAUSE THERE WAS SOME CONCERNS WITH THAT, BUT THERE WAS, IN
- 24 THAT PRESENTATION, BECAUSE THE DOCUMENTS SPEAK FOR THEMSELVES,
- 25 WHERE IT SAYS, "EXPAND P.P.P. SERVICES." IF WE WERE JUST



- 1 CLOSING, AND WALKING AWAY FROM THAT LEVEL OF CARE, AMBULATORY
- 2 CARE SERVICES, THAT DOCUMENT WOULD NOT HAVE SPOKE TO EXPANDING
- 3 P.P.P. SERVICES AT THIS POINT IN TIME, BECAUSE WE SEE THE
- 4 KNABE MOTION. SUPERVISOR KNABE MOTIONS, I APOLOGIZE, THAT WE
- 5 KNOW WE NEED TO LOOK AT ALTERNATIVES. THE INTENT WAS-- AND
- 6 THAT'S WHY WE CHARACTERIZED IT AS A DRAFT, THAT WE WOULD SIT
- 7 DOWN WITH YOUR STAFF AS BOTH THE BUDGET DEPUTIES AND THE
- 8 HEALTH DEPUTIES, START PUTTING THIS PROPOSAL TOGETHER. WHAT'S
- 9 CRITICAL IS THAT I KNOW EVERYONE HAS CONCERNS, BUT THAT WE
- 10 TAKE THE NEXT STEPS, I BELIEVE, TO LARGE EXTENT, THEY'RE
- 11 ARTICULATED IN SUPERVISOR KNABE'S MOTION, THAT WE GET OUR
- 12 RESPECTIVE STAFF, PUT THEM IN A ROOM AND START WORKING OUT THE
- 13 DETAILS FOR THIS PLAN. CONCURRENTLY, IT'S MY INTENT TO SIT
- 14 DOWN WITH LABOR AND DISCUSS THEIR PROPOSALS, BECAUSE I'VE HAD
- 15 A DISCUSSION WITH LABOR ALREADY, AND THEY'VE COME UP WITH SOME
- 16 SOLID PROPOSALS. AND THEN ONCE WE HAVE A FINAL PLAN IN PLACE,
- 17 WE'D BRING IT BACK TO THE BOARD FOR APPROVAL BEFORE WE
- 18 IMPLEMENT. IF IT SAYS "IMPLEMENT," I APOLOGIZE FOR THAT,
- 19 THAT'S MY FAULT. WE KNOW THAT ANYTHING OF THIS MAGNITUDE MUST
- 20 FIRST BE APPROVED BY THE BOARD AND WE'LL COME BACK TO THE
- 21 BOARD FOR THAT APPROVAL.
- 23 SUP. MOLINA: AGAIN, I REALLY THINK IT'S VERY IMPORTANT THAT WE
- 24 CLEARLY UNDERSTAND WHERE WE'RE GOING, AND THAT'S WHAT I'M NOT
- 25 SURE.



1

2 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: I AGREE.

- 4 SUP. MOLINA: IF WE'RE TRYING TO RECONFIGURE OUR HEALTH
- 5 DELIVERY SYSTEM, I COULD APPRECIATE A PLAN TO LOOK AT THAT,
- 6 LOOK AT VARIOUS POLICY CHANGES THAT WE WOULD UNDERTAKE AND
- 7 RESPONSIBILITIES. BUT I'M NOT SURE WHAT THE GOAL IS. IF IT'S
- 8 SAVINGS, THEN LOOK AT THE DOLLARS. WHAT WOULD WE SAVE, AND
- 9 WHAT WOULD BE GAINING, AND WHERE WOULD WE BE LOSING? I'M NOT
- 10 SURE THAT THIS IS WELL DEFINED, AND THAT'S WHY I'M
- 11 UNDERSTANDING WHAT THE GOAL IS. SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH
- 12 UNDERSTANDS IT TO BE A DIFFERENT-- THIS IS NOT AN EXPANSION OF
- 13 SERVICE. THAT'S NUMBER ONE. I WISH IT WERE. IT IS A
- 14 PRIVATIZING, YES, AND-- BUT HOW ARE WE GETTING THERE AND WHAT
- 15 IS IT THAT WE'RE DOING? ANY OF US, AND MOST OF US HAVE BEEN
- 16 INVOLVED, IN BRINGING BACK TO LIFE SOME OF THE HEALTH FACILITY
- 17 CENTERS THAT WERE CLOSED, WHETHER THEY WERE IN AZUSA OR BELL
- 18 GARDENS, AND IT WAS TOUGH SLEDDING. IT WAS TOUGH TO DO,
- 19 BRINGING BACK UP TO ALL THE CODE VIOLATIONS THAT WERE IN THERE
- 20 BEFORE, IT TOOK YEARS. BRINGING UP AND TRYING TO GET THE
- 21 FINANCING FOR THEM, TRYING TO GET THE CONTRACT THROUGH THE
- 22 DEPARTMENT, TRYING TO CONTINUE THOSE CONTRACTS, ANYONE WHO
- 23 RUNS A P.P.P. OUT THERE KNOWS HOW DIFFICULT IT IS. YOU CAN'T
- 24 DO IT IN A YEAR. IF YOU COULD DO IT IN A YEAR, THAT WOULD BE
- 25 GREAT, BUT YOU CAN'T. IT TOOK US OVER FOUR YEARS TO DO AZUSA,



- 1 AND OVER, WHAT, FOUR AND A HALF YEARS TO DO BELL GARDENS, AND
- 2 THEY'RE WONDERFUL, BUT IT TAKES A LONG, LONG TIME. NOW, I
- 3 DON'T KNOW WHAT THE MAGIC IS HERE, AND I'M NOT SURE WHAT THE
- 4 GOAL IS. BILL, I THINK ONE OF THE BIGGEST CRISES WE HAVE IN
- 5 HEALTHCARE IS WE HAVE TO UNDERSTAND WHERE WE'RE GOING. THIS IS
- 6 WHY WE CREATED A BUDGET-- I MEAN A COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE, SO
- 7 WE WOULD GET THESE BUDGET, AND WE'RE NOT GETTING THESE BUDGET
- 8 INPUTS. THIS THING AND THIS TIMELINE, I DON'T KNOW WHAT IT IS,
- 9 A SCARE TACTIC OR WHAT WE'RE SUPPOSED TO DO IS RUN OUT THERE
- 10 AND COME UP WITH SOME MIDDLE GROUND ON THIS. IT'S NOT GOOD
- 11 PLANNING, DOESN'T MAKE GOOD SENSE. ANYONE WHO IS INVOLVED IN
- 12 THIS, IN THE DELIVERY OF HEALTHCARE AND SOME OF THOSE
- 13 COMMUNITIES, WE WOULD WELCOME PRIVATES. I MEAN, PATIENTS
- 14 REALLY DON'T CARE IF THEY GO TO THE COUNTY OR TO A PRIVATE
- 15 DOC, AND REALLY, I DON'T CARE EITHER. BUT AT THE END OF THE
- 16 DAY, WE ARE THERE FILLING THE VOID WHERE MANY OF THESE
- 17 PRIVATES ARE NOT THERE, NOT CREATING A MECHANISM BY WHICH THEY
- 18 CAN GET REIMBURSEMENT, AND SO WE ARE THERE. SO I REALLY THINK
- 19 WE NEED TO BE CAREFUL AS TO WHAT WE UNDERSTAND THE NEXT STEPS
- 20 TO BE AND THAT COMMUNICATION HAS TO BE CLEAR BECAUSE
- 21 CERTAINLY, WHAT WE WERE TOLD AND WHAT WE ARE DOING NOW IS EVEN
- 22 MORE CONFUSING. I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE PUBLIC OUT THERE GETS
- 23 FROM THIS DISCUSSION, BUT IT CERTAINLY LEAVES ME VERY
- 24 CONFUSED, AND I THINK THAT THE DEPARTMENT HAS A DUTY, AS WELL
- 25 AS YOUR OFFICE, TO CREATE A CLARIFICATION OF WHERE WE'RE



- 1 GOING. SUPERVISOR KNABE'S MOTION SAYS INSTEAD OF CLOSING THOSE
- 2 VITAL SERVICES, LOOK AT OTHER THINGS. THAT'S A GOOD THING FOR
- 3 THE BUDGET DEFICIT. WE SHOULD HAVE SAID THAT TO THEM IN
- 4 SEPTEMBER WHEN THEY HAD A BUDGET DEFICIT. THEY'VE HAD THIS
- 5 BUDGET DEFICIT FOR OVER 14 YEARS. SO WE NEED CLARIFICATION OF
- 6 WHERE WE'RE GOING AND WHAT THE GOALS ARE.
- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MADAM CHAIR, IF I CAN JUST--
- 10 SUP. KNABE: I MEAN, THE DRAFT REPORT CLEARLY SAYS CLOSURE, IT
- 11 CLEARLY SAYS REVENUE SOURCES RAISE TAXES FOR MEASURE B BY
- 12 ARCOLA, BUT AGAIN, WHATEVER COMES BACK, THERE'S NO LINE ITEM
- 13 IN THIS PARTICULAR REPORT AS IT RELATES TO THE \$80 MILLION IN
- 14 SAVINGS THAT THE DEPARTMENT HAS SEEN IS GOING TO BE ABLE TO
- 15 ASCERTAIN, AND WE NEED TO BE ABLE TO LOOK AT THAT AS WELL AS
- 16 YOU DO CLINIC BY CLINIC, YOU KNOW. WE NEED TO LOOK AT THAT
- 17 OTHER 80 MILLION INSTEAD OF JUST ONE BIG LUMP OF MONEY AS
- 18 WELL, TOO.

19

7

- 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND IF I COULD JUST ADD TO THE LAST THING
- 21 THAT SUPERVISOR MOLINA SAID, I THINK WE PASSED A MOTION, I
- 22 THINK THE CONVERSATION AROUND HERE LAST TIME THIS SUBJECT CAME
- 23 UP ON THE AMBULATORY CARE ISSUE, WHICH WAS SHORTLY AFTER YOU
- 24 STARTED, I THINK IT WAS MAYBE ONE OF YOUR FIRST MEETING OR
- 25 TWO, I THINK THE MESSAGE HAS BEEN VERY CLEAR THAT THE CLINICS



- 1 ARE IMPORTANT TO THIS BOARD AND THE WHOLE AMBULATORY CARE, AND
- 2 IT SHOULD HAVE BEEN CLEAR, WE HAVE A HUGE INVESTMENT, ALL
- 3 THAT, WE ALREADY TALKED ABOUT, SO I HOPE THAT'S CLEAR NOW.
- 4 IT'S NOT ROCKET SCIENCE TO TRY TO-- I MEAN, SOMETIMES IT'S
- 5 HARD TO FIGURE OUT WHAT THIS BOARD THINKS, BUT ON THIS ISSUE,
- 6 IT ISN'T ROCKET SCIENCE, IT'S-- I MEAN, WE WEAR IT ON OUR
- 7 SLEEVES. THIS HAS BEEN SOMETHING THAT WE HAVE FOUGHT HARD TO
- 8 DO AND I HOPE THAT MESSAGE HAS GOTTEN ACROSS. ONE OTHER THING
- 9 I WANTED TO SAY, BECAUSE DON SAID-- MENTIONED THE PROP "B"
- 10 MONEY, AND I KNOW THAT YOU'RE I'M GOING THE PROP "B" MONEY,
- 11 AND I THINK IT PAYS TO SAY RIGHT HERE AND NOW THAT WE PASSED
- 12 PROP "B," WE-- THE PEOPLE PASSED PROP "B" BACK IN 2002,
- 13 NOVEMBER 2002-- IS THAT RIGHT? OR WAS IT 4? 2? 2002. SO IT'S
- 14 BEEN ON THE BOOKS NOW FIVE YEARS? AND EVEN THOUGH WE HAVE
- 15 AUTHORITY TO RAISE THE FEE, THE PARCEL TAX, BY A MAJORITY OF
- 16 THE VOTE OF THIS BOARD, WE HAVE NEVER EXERCISED THAT AUTHORITY
- 17 IN THE FIVE YEARS THAT WE'VE HAD THAT TAX BECAUSE WE SAID TO
- 18 THE PEOPLE OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY THAT WE WOULD NOT RAISE THAT
- 19 TAX UNLESS IT WAS ABSOLUTELY-- I MEAN, I SAID IT-- IT WASN'T
- 20 IN THE MEASURE, BUT I THINK IT WAS IMPLICIT THAT WE WOULD
- 21 NEVER COME BACK AND RAISE IT UNLESS IT WAS ABSOLUTELY
- 22 NECESSARY. NOW, PUT YOURSELF IN THE POSITION OF SOMEBODY LIKE
- 23 MYSELF. NOT THAT I'M AN ELECTED OFFICIAL, BUT I HAVE THIS
- 24 COMPACT AND I FEEL THAT I HAVE WITH THE PEOPLE OF MY-- WHO
- 25 ELECTED ME, AND I MADE CERTAIN REPRESENTATIONS, HOW CAN I VOTE



- 1 TO INCREASE THE MEASURE "B" FUNDING, AS MODEST AS IT MAY BE,
- 2 AS MAY APPEAR TO YOU, AT THE SAME TIME WHEN WE MAY BE CLOSING
- 3 CLINICS? HOW DO I EXPLAIN THAT TO THE AVERAGE -- THE AVERAGE
- 4 GUY, THE AVERAGE MAN OR WOMAN, TAXPAYER IN MY DISTRICT, THAT
- 5 WE RAISED TAXES AND CLOSED CLINICS, AND IF YOU GET TO THE
- 6 WORST-CASE SCENARIO OF YOUR SECOND ALTERNATIVE PLAN, WE'D BE
- 7 RAISING TAXES TO SHUT DOWN EVERY COMMUNITY CLINIC THAT WE OWN
- 8 AND EVERY COMPREHENSIVE HEALTHCARE CLINIC THAT WE OWN. I'D
- 9 RATHER NOT RAISE THE TAXES. IF WE'RE GOING TO BE IN A
- 10 MELTDOWN, I'D RATHER BE IN A MELTDOWN WITHOUT RAISING PEOPLE
- 11 TAXES THAN WITH RAISING PEOPLE'S TAXES. THEY'RE GOING TO PAY
- 12 ENOUGH WITHOUT HAVING THEIR TAXES RAISED, THANKS TO THE
- 13 MELTDOWN. SO I THINK ALL OF THESE-- IT'S NOT YOUR JOB TO WEIGH
- 14 THESE-- THIS DIMENSION OF THE ISSUE, BUT WE HAVE TO WEIGH
- 15 THAT, AND I KNOW THAT EVERY MEMBER OF THE BOARD HAS TO COME TO
- 16 THEIR OWN DECISION ON WHETHER THEY'RE GOING TO RAISE IT, AND
- 17 IF SO, HOW MUCH. BUT THE ONE QUESTION THIS BOARD MEMBER IS
- 18 GOING TO ASK, IF I DO VOTE TO RAISE PART OR ALL OF WHAT WE'RE
- 19 ENTITLED TO RAISE, WHAT ARE WE GETTING IN RETURN FOR IT? AND
- 20 IF WHAT WE'RE GETTING IN RETURN FOR IT IS CLINIC CLOSURES, I'M
- 21 OFF THE RESERVATION, I'LL TELL YOU RIGHT NOW. SO ALL OF THESE
- 22 THINGS HAVE TO BE FACTORED IN. THIS IS A MULTI-DIMENSIONAL
- 23 CHESS GAME AND I JUST-- WORD TO THE WISE ON THAT. THANK YOU.



- 1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: OKAY. WE HAVE A NUMBER OF PEOPLE. KATHY
- 2 OCHOA, WHO WANTED TO LEAVE EARLY. SORRY. DORLAH LAWRENCE.

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I KNOW KATHY OCHOA. IT'S STILL EARLY FOR
- 5 HER.

6

7 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ABBE LAND.

8

- 9 KATHY OCHOA: GOOD AFTERNOON, SUPERVISORS. I'M HERE BEHALF
- 10 ANNELLE GREJEDA [PH?] THE PRESIDENT OF LOCAL 721, WHO HAD
- 11 INTENDED TO BE HERE TODAY.. I'M GOING TO GIVE SOME COMMENTS
- 12 THAT I THINK ARE GOING TO AUGMENT THE DISCUSSION THAT YOU'VE
- 13 HAD TO DATE AND PUT SOME NEW ISSUES ON THE TABLE FOR YOUR
- 14 CONSIDERATION, SO IF I HAPPEN TO GO OVER TIME, I HOPE THAT YOU
- 15 WOULD INDULGE ME.

16

- 17 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: SHOULD HECTOR FLORES BE WITH YOU AS PART OF
- 18 YOUR PRESENTATION?

- 20 KATHY OCHOA: NO. LOCAL 721, THEN LOCAL 660 , WAS ON THE TARMAC
- 21 WITH YOU IN 1995 AND WILL BE THERE IN 2009 WHEN WE SECURE A
- 22 FEDERAL SOLUTION WITH THE NEW ADMINISTRATION. WE REPRESENT
- 23 WORKERS IN BOTH COUNTY CLINICS AND IN THE P.P.P.S. I'M WELL
- 24 AWARE OF THE CHALLENGES ON BOTH SIDES, AND WE ARE PROUD OF THE
- 25 WORK THAT OUR MEMBERSHIP DOES AND WE BELIEVE THEY NEED TO BE



- 1 PART OF THE SOLUTION HERE. BUT I'M HERE BECAUSE OUR OVERRIDING
- 2 CONCERN MUST BE THAT THERE BE A HIGH QUALITY ACCESSIBLE AND
- 3 STABLE HEALTHCARE DELIVERY SYSTEM WITHIN L.A. COUNTY AND THAT
- 4 ANY POLICY AND/OR BUDGET REFORMS BE ORIENTED TO ACHIEVE THIS
- 5 GOAL. I AGREE WITH YOU, SUPERVISOR MOLINA, IT'S VERY UNCLEAR
- 6 IN TERMS OF, ARE WE DEFINING POLICY BY BUDGET OR IS BUDGET
- 7 DRIVING POLICY? I THINK WE NEED A REVISIONING AND NOT SIMPLY A
- 8 RE- MISSIONING. WE'RE WELL AWARE THAT UNDER ANY SCENARIO, THAT
- 9 THERE'S GOING TO BE SIGNIFICANT LIMITATIONS IN THE RESOURCES
- 10 AVAILABLE TO US. IN THAT VEIN, WE STAND READY TO WORK CAN YOU
- 11 TO WORK ON THE COUNTY IN HEALTHCARE REFORM, THE STATE, THE
- 12 PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATES, CLINICS AND HOSPITAL PARTNERS AND
- 13 OTHER INTERESTED PARTIES TO STABILIZE OUR SYSTEM. WE REMAIN
- 14 MINDFUL OF OUR SHARED OBLIGATION, AND THERE SHOULD HAVE BEEN A
- 15 DISCUSSION ON THIS TODAY, ABOUT WHERE WE CAN SECURE REVENUE TO
- 16 STABILIZE OUR SYSTEM. ONE, WE NEED TO SECURE FULL COST
- 17 REIMBURSEMENT. TWO, WE NEED TO SECURE A MORATORIUM ON THE
- 18 G.M.E. RULE. THREE, WE NEED TO STRENGTHEN OUR EFFORTS ON THE
- 19 TAR AUTHORIZATIONS. FOUR, WE NEED TO PROTECT CHILDREN'S
- 20 MEDICAL SERVICES. FIVE, WE NEED TO PRESERVE THE SAFETY NET
- 21 CARE POOL FUNDING AND, SIX, WE NEED TO PRESERVE THE SOUTH L.A.
- 22 PRESERVATION FUND. SO, CLEARLY, OUR CLINICS ARE ON THE FRONT
- 23 LINE OF DEFENSE HERE. I WANT TO POINT OUT TO YOU THAT WITHOUT
- 24 A FULLY FUNCTIONING AMBULATORY CARE SYSTEM, IT'S ALMOST
- 25 IMPOSSIBLE TO CONCEIVE OF A WORKABLE AND RATIONAL HEALTHCARE



- 1 DELIVERY SYSTEM. D.H.S. AND THIS WARD HAVE ACKNOWLEDGED THAT
- 2 MANAGING PATIENTS IN COMMUNITY SETTINGS AND HAVE DEMONSTRATED
- 3 COMMITMENT TO THAT PRINCIPLE THROUGH THE SUCCESSFUL PURSUIT OF
- 4 THE COVERAGE INITIATIVE, WHICH WE NOW CALL "HEALTHY WAY L.A,"
- 5 WORTH TO THIS COUNTY UP TO \$60 MILLION ANNUALLY. OUR WORK ON
- 6 "HEALTHY WAY L.A." SERVED AS A PROTOTYPE DELIVERY MODEL FOR
- 7 THE LOCAL COVERAGE OPTION THAT WE ALL WORKED TOWARD UNDER
- 8 HEALTHCARE REFORM. IT WAS THE KEY ELEMENT OF OUR PROGRAM. IN
- 9 FACT, JUST TWO WEEKS AGO, OR THREE, MAYBE, THIS BOARD APPROVED
- 10 A D.H.S. PROPOSAL TO IMPLEMENT "HEALTHY WAY L.A.," THE
- 11 COVERAGE INITIATIVE. THAT APPROVAL WAS PREDICATED ON THE FULL
- 12 PARTICIPATION OF 17 COUNTY OWNED AND OPERATED PUBLIC SAFETY
- 13 NET FACILITIES. HERE'S THE LIST. THIS WAS A PROPOSAL AS
- 14 CONCEIVED AND PRESENTED TO THE STATE. THIS THE PROPOSAL,
- 15 "HEALTHY WAY L.A.," THE COVERAGE INITIATIVE THAT THE UNION AND
- 16 EVERY SINGLE ADVOCATE IN THIS ROOM LOBBIED FOR. THIS IS THE
- 17 PROPOSAL THAT WE RECEIVED FUNDING FOR AND THIS IS THE PROPOSAL
- 18 THAT YOU VOTED TO IMPLEMENT JUST TWO WEEKS AGO. HOWEVER,
- 19 EMBEDDED IN THE BUDGET DOCUMENTS BEFORE YOU ARE AN ENTIRELY
- 20 DIFFERENT SET OF ASSUMPTIONS. SPECIFICALLY, ITEM 27, BUT NOTE
- 21 "H" IN ATTACHMENT "A." NAMELY THAT THE COVERAGE INITIATIVE
- 22 SHOULD BE MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE NEW SERVICE DELIVERY MODEL
- 23 OF PRIVATIZED CLINIC, A STARK AND STEALTHY POLICY
- 24 CONTRADICTION TO PREVIOUS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS ACTION. SO WHAT
- 25 ABOUT THE FIDUCIARY OBLIGATION TO THE COVERAGE INITIATIVE, TO



- 1 THE STATE, TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, AND MOST IMPORTANTLY TO
- 2 THE PUBLIC? S.E.I.U. IS VERY CONCERNED THAT BY CUTTING
- 3 SPENDING ON COUNTY CLINICS THE COUNTY IS PUTTING AT RISK THE
- 4 COVERAGE INITIATIVE DOLLARS FOR TWO REASONS. FIRST, SB-1448 IS
- 5 VERY CLEAR THAT, QUOTE, "FEDERAL FUNDS ALLOCATED TO SELECTED
- 6 APPLICANTS MUST SUPPLEMENT AND NOT SUPPLANT ANY COUNTY FUNDS
- 7 THAT WOULD OTHERWISE BE SPENT ON HEALTHCARE SERVICES. SECOND,
- 8 WITH THE KEY ROLE THAT THE CLINICS HAVE PLAYED IN THE COVERAGE
- 9 INITIATIVE, IT SEEMS POSSIBLE THAT THE COUNTY COULD ALSO LOSE
- 10 THE COVERAGE INITIATIVE FUNDING FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THE
- 11 PLAN AS APPROVED BY THE STATE. THE COUNTY BUDGET LETTER IS
- 12 CLEAR THAT THE NEW COVERAGE INITIATIVE FUNDING IS AT LEAST IN
- 13 PART WHAT WOULD MAKE IT FEASIBLE FOR THEM TO MAKE THESE
- 14 PROPOSED CHANGES. PREVIOUS BOARD ACTIONS THAT YOU HAVE ADOPTED
- 15 HAVE PUT US ON THAT PATH TOWARD IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COVERAGE
- 16 INITIATIVE. YOU ALLOCATED D.H.S. STAFF, YOU'VE INVESTED IN
- 17 TELEPHONE SYSTEM SO THAT WE CAN COME INTO FULL
- 18 COMPLIANCE HERE, AND THE ONLY WAY TO MAKE SURE THAT THE PLAN
- 19 AS PRESENTED BY D.H.S. DOES NOT JEOPARDIZE COVERAGE INITIATIVE
- 20 FUNDING IS FOR THE COUNTY TO OBTAIN A LETTER OF CLARIFICATION,
- 21 BOTH FROM THE STATE AND FROM THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT THAT ANY
- 22 MODIFICATION TO THE COVERAGE INITIATIVE AS FUNDED IS APPROVED.
- 23 FINALLY, EVEN IF THE STATE WERE TO SAY THE PLAN IS OKAY, THE
- 24 FEDS ARE THE ONES IN CHARGE OF INITIATIVE DOLLARS, AND I THINK
- 25 WE'VE SEEN THAT THE BUSH ADMINISTRATION WILL TAKE ANY EXCUSE



- 1 TO CUT MEDICAL FUNDING. SHORT OF GETTING A LETTER FROM C.M.S.
- 2 APPROVING THIS MANEUVER THAT THE D.H.S. HAS COME UP WITH, THIS
- 3 BOARD AND EVERY TAXPAYER RUNS THE RISK OF THE FEDERAL
- 4 GOVERNMENT SHOWING UP ONE DAY IN THE FUTURE ASKING FOR
- 5 REPAYMENT OF THE COVERAGE INITIATIVE MONEY, AND IT WOULDN'T BE
- 6 THE FIRST TIME THEY'VE SHOWED UP ON OUR DOOR. SO WHILE WE MAY
- 7 CONCEDE THAT D.H.S. HAS FOCUSED ATTENTION ON ITS FISCAL
- 8 CRISIS, LET'S STOP TALKING ABOUT PRIVATIZATION, NOT TO MENTION
- 9 THE PROP "A" REQUIREMENTS ASSOCIATED WITH IT, CLOSURES,
- 10 DISINVESTMENT IN "HEALTHY WAY L.A.," AND INSTEAD LET'S HAVE A
- 11 DIALOG ABOUT WHAT OUR NEEDS ARE IN THE CONTEXT NATIONAL HEALTH
- 12 REFORM AND DEVELOP A STRATEGY TO WIN. LET'S UNITE AND PRESSURE
- 13 THE STATE TO HOLD ALL COUNTY SYSTEMS HARMLESS IN THE STATE
- 14 BUDGET REDUCTIONS, GIVEN THEIR FAILURE TO ENACT HEALTHCARE
- 15 REFORM. WE LOOK FORWARD TO PERFORMING REVENUE STRATEGIES OVER
- 16 THE SHORT-TERM. AS TO FINDING THE MONEY TO PLUG THE HOLE
- 17 IMMEDIATELY AND DRAW DOWN SAVINGS THIS YEAR, THAT COULD TIDE
- 18 US INTO-- ROLL OVER INTO '08/'09. THE C.E.O. SHOULD ANALYZE
- 19 THE MASTER INVENTORY OF D.H.S. CONTRACTS AND FREEZE VENDOR
- 20 CONTRACTS. CURRENTLY ESTIMATED AT A BILLION DOLLARS, A 2 OR 3
- 21 PERCENT SAVINGS FROM FOR PROFIT CONTRACTS COULD YIELD ENOUGH
- 22 REVENUE NOT ONLY TO PRESERVE OUR CLINICS BUT TO AUGMENT CARE
- 23 IN AREAS OF HIGHEST NEED. ALSO ON THE TABLE SHOULD BE A FULL
- 24 ACCOUNTING OF ALL RESERVES, DESIGNATED FUNDS, SET ASIDE RAINY
- 25 DAY, PIN CUSHION FUND, ALL OF THAT OTHER KIND OF STUFF, LET'S



- 1 PUT ALL THAT REVENUE ON THE TABLE AND SEE WHERE WE REALLY ARE.
- 2 THAT INCLUDES TOBACCO SETTLEMENT AND TOBACCO TAX MONEY AND THE
- 3 INTEREST ASSOCIATED WITH THAT. WE URGE THAT THE BOARD REJECT
- 4 THE POLICY DIRECTION INHERENT IN THIS BUDGET LETTER, AND
- 5 INSTEAD DEMAND OF THE C.E.O. A FULL ACCOUNTING OF
- 6 IMPLEMENTATION OF "HEALTHY WAY L.A." WITH THE APPROPRIATE
- 7 ACCOUNTABILITIES IF FINDINGS ARE ADVERSE, AND A PLAN OF
- 8 CORRECTION AS NEEDED FOR FULL IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COVERAGE
- 9 INITIATIVE IN BOTH PUBLIC AND PRIVATE SETTINGS, NOT TO MENTION
- 10 TO HIRE AN AMBULATORY CARE DIRECTOR TO MAKE SURE THAT THAT
- 11 HAPPENS. S.E.I.U. WILL OPPOSE ANY MODIFICATIONS TO ELIMINATE
- 12 OUR PUBLIC SAFETY NET FACILITIES FROM PARTICIPATING IN THE
- 13 COVERAGE INITIATIVE. FINALLY, THE C.E.O. AND D.H.S. HAVE ASKED
- 14 FOR OUR SUGGESTIONS ON A RANGE OF ISSUES RELATED TO AMBULATORY
- 15 CARE AND WE WELCOME THE OPPORTUNITY TO DO SO. SOME OF THESE
- 16 INCLUDE FUTURE INVESTMENTS IN TWO COMP CENTERS, IN THE
- 17 SOUTHEAST AND IN THE EAST SAN GABRIEL VALLEY, GREEN COMP
- 18 CENTERS WITH BRICK AND MORTAR DOLLARS FROM THE STATE
- 19 INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT BANK, NOT FROM HEALTHCARE DOLLARS.
- 20 AND INSOFAR AS UPGRADES AT DOLLAR HIDE, SUPERVISOR, I'VE BEEN
- 21 TO DOLLAR HIDE AND I'VE BEEN TO THE 9TH FLOOR OF 313, I'M SURE
- 22 THEY HAVE SOME SPARE PAINT AND CARPET TILES TO REDO THAT PLACE
- 23 BY THE END OF THE WEEK. BY FOCUSING ON SOME OF THE VERY
- 24 SPECIFIC ISSUES BEFORE US, WE THINK IT'S IMPORTANT THAT
- 25 CRITICALLY IMPORTANT DECISIONS IN THIS AREA MUST BE MADE IN



- 1 THE CONTEXT OF A SHARED VISION OF RESOURCE AVAILABILITY, , OF
- 2 ADMINISTRATIVE FLEXIBILITY AND OF OUR COMMON DESIRED
- 3 HEALTHCARE DELIVERY OUTCOMES. WE ASK YOU TO REJECT THE
- 4 PROPOSAL BEFORE YOU. IT IS PENNY WISE, POUND FOOLISH,
- 5 DOWNRIGHT BONE HEADED AND PLACES THE COUNTY AT RISK. THANK
- 6 YOU. [APPLAUSE]
- 8 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME.
- 10 DORLAH LAWRENCE: DORLAH LAWRENCE.
- 12 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: GLORIA RODRIGUEZ, WOULD YOU PLEASE COME
- 13 FORWARD?

7

9

11

- 15 DORLAH LAWRENCE: D-O-R-L-A-H. I AM AN L.A. COUNTY REGISTERED
- 16 NURSE, WHERE I'VE WORKED EXCLUSIVELY IN AMBULATORY CARE FOR 14
- 17 YEARS. I HAVE PROUDLY TAKEN CARE OF DIVERSE GROUPS OF PATIENTS
- 18 AS ONE OF THE FIRST LINE CARETAKERS, ASSISTING THEM THROUGHOUT
- 19 THE CONTINUUM. THEY EXPLAINED THE DIFFERENCES IN THEIR CARE
- 20 WHEN USING A PRIVATE FACILITY VERSUS A PUBLIC FACILITY LIKE
- 21 HUBERT HUMPHREY COMPREHENSIVE HEALTH CENTER OR MID VALLEY
- 22 COMPREHENSIVE HEALTH CENTER. SOME OF THE COMMENTS I HEAR ARE,
- 23 "WE ARE TREATED BETTER, THE STAFF REALLY CARES. YOU HAVE
- 24 PROGRAMS THAT ASSIST WITH PAYMENT. YOU PROVIDE FREE SERVICES."
- 25 WE CANNOT EXPECT A GROUP OF PRIVATE SECTOR ENTITIES TO HANDLE



- 1 AN ALREADY OUT OF CONTROL HEALTHCARE SYSTEM. D.H.S. CAN ADAPT
- 2 MODELS THAT HAVE BEEN PROVEN. FOR INSTANCE, WHEN I WORK AT
- 3 HUBERT HUMPHREY COMPREHENSIVE HEALTH CENTER, WE HAD A LEAD
- 4 CLINIC WHERE THEY DID THE SCREENING OF CHILDREN, PEDIATRIC
- 5 CHILDREN, AND WAS VERY COST EFFECTIVE. PRESENTLY AT MID VALLEY
- 6 COMPREHENSIVE HEALTH CENTER, WE HAVE A DIABETES GROUP PROGRAM
- 7 WHERE THEY SEE A PROVIDER AND A NUMBER OF ALLIED HEALTH
- 8 PROFESSIONALS TO HELP THEM ALONG WITH THEIR DISEASES. I KNOW
- 9 THE PUBLIC-PRIVATE ENTITIES CAN HANDLE A HUNGRY FAMILY AND
- 10 REFER THEM FOR FOOD OR REFER A BATTERED WOMAN TO A SHELTER,
- 11 BUT I DON'T THINK THEY CAN HANDLE WHAT WE HANDLE HOURLY AND
- 12 DAILY ON A 365 TIMES A YEAR BASIS. WE SEE THOUSANDS OF
- 13 PATIENTS WEEKLY, HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS OF PATIENTS YEARLY. I
- 14 DON'T THINK THE PUBLIC-PRIVATE SECTOR PARTNERS CAN HANDLE THIS
- 15 TYPE OF-- THESE TYPES OF NUMBERS. IF WE DO NOT TAKE CARE OF
- 16 OUR PATIENTS IN A PRIMARY CARE SETTING, THEY WILL WAIT UNTIL
- 17 THEY'RE ACUTELY ILL OR TERMINALLY ILL TO GO TO AN EMERGENCY
- 18 ROOM, AND THAT CERTAINLY WILL INCREASE COSTS AND CAUSE MORE
- 19 LITIGATABLE OCCURRENCES AT OUR EMERGENCY ROOMS. WHAT I HAVE TO
- 20 SAY IS THAT THE PIECEWORK ATTITUDE TOWARDS OUR COMPREHENSIVE
- 21 HEALTH CENTERS HAVE FAILED. WHAT HAS HAPPENED IS, YOU MAY HAVE
- 22 AN URGENT CARE AT ONE FACILITY. FOR INSTANCE AT HUBERT
- 23 HUMPHREY, THERE'S AN URGENT CARE. AT MID VALLEY COMPREHENSIVE
- 24 HEALTH CENTER, THERE'S NO URGENT CARE. WE NEED URGENT CARES AT
- 25 ALL COMPREHENSIVE HEALTH CENTERS TO HANDLE THE NUMBERS OF



- 1 PATIENTS THAT WE NEED TO HANDLE. WHAT I HAVE IN FRONT OF ME
- 2 ARE PETITIONS FROM OUR COWORKERS. THE TITLE OF THE PETITION
- 3 IS, "OUR PATIENTS ARE PRIMARY. WE, THE UNDERSIGNED EMPLOYEES
- 4 STRONGLY URGE THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS TO PRESERVE PRIMARY
- 5 CARE. CLOSURE OF ANY CLINICS AND REDUCTION OF SERVICES THE
- 6 PATIENTS WOULD INUNDATE COUNTY E.R.S AND HOSPITALS THAT ARE
- 7 ALREADY SEVERELY OVERCROWDED. IT WOULD DENY PREVENTIVE CARE TO
- 8 L.A. COUNTY'S MOST VULNERABLE RESIDENTS AND WOULD ELIMINATE
- 9 THE COUNTY'S COMMITMENT TO PUBLIC PRIMARY AND PREVENTIVE CARE
- 10 THAT RESTRICTS AND RESTRICTS THE COUNTY'S OUT-PATIENT CARE TO
- 11 SPECIALTY CARE ONLY. THANK YOU."

12

13 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. [APPLAUSE]

14

- 15 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WE AREN'T GOING TO HAVE APPLAUSE. ABBE
- 16 LANE, AND THEN WE'D LIKE TO CALL UP HECTOR FLORES. AND ANNIE
- 17 PARK, WOULD YOU ALSO COME FORWARD? THAT'S ANOTHER SEAT THERE.

- 19 ABBE LAND: THANK YOU. MY NAME IS ABBE LAND, AND I'M CO-C.E.O.
- 20 OF THE LOS ANGELES FREE CLINIC. I WANT TO THANK THE
- 21 SUPERVISORS FOR THEIR ONGOING SUPPORT FOR THE ROLES OF CLINICS
- 22 IN THE PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP. AND WE REALLY DO VIEW IT AS
- 23 A PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP. IT TAKES ALL OF US WORKING
- 24 TOGETHER TO TRY TO MEET THE NEEDS OF THE FOLKS HERE IN L.A.
- 25 COUNTY. I HAVE TO SAY THAT MANY OF MY COMMENTS WERE ACTUALLY



- 1 RAISED BY THE SUPERVISORS, YOURSELVES AS YOU WERE ASKING THE
- 2 DEPARTMENT QUESTIONS. WE WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT AS THE
- 3 DEPARTMENT IS EXPLORING OPTIONS, THAT NOTHING IS DONE TO
- 4 DESTABILIZE A SYSTEM THAT IS BARELY STABLE AND THAT IT'S
- 5 REALLY IMPORTANT, AND I ALSO WANTED TO JUST BRING KIND OF ON
- 6 THE GROUND AS A CLINIC THAT IS A CO-LOCATION AND SUPERVISOR
- 7 YAROSLAVSKY AND SUPERVISOR MOLINA BROUGHT IT UP. IT WAS A LONG
- 8 PROCESS TO KIND OF COME TO THE TABLE AND NEGOTIATE A CONTRACT
- 9 AND BE ABLE TO GO INTO THE HOLLYWOOD WILSHIRE HEALTH CENTER TO
- 10 PROVIDE SERVICES. THAT CENTER ACTUALLY HAD BEEN CLOSED FOR
- 11 PRIMARY CARE AND THE DISRUPTION IN THE COMMUNITY, WHEN YOU
- 12 CLOSE A CLINIC, YOU CAN'T UNDERESTIMATE IT AT ALL, AND SO I'M
- 13 GLAD THAT YOU ASKED SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT, IS THIS CLOSURE, OR
- 14 ARE YOU TRYING TO HAVE PEOPLE COME IN? BECAUSE IT'S REALLY
- 15 CRITICAL THAT THE COMMUNITY SERVICES ARE NOT DISRUPTED. AND
- 16 THE OTHER THING, PUBLIC -- OUR CLINICS IN THIS PARTNERSHIP WERE
- 17 GREAT AND WE LEVERAGE AS MUCH AS WE CAN, BUT WE WOULD BE HARD-
- 18 PRESSED, QUITE HONESTLY, BY JULY 1ST, 2008, TO BEGIN TO EVEN
- 19 ATTEMPT TO HELP SOLVE THIS CRISIS. I THINK, THOUGH, WE WOULD
- 20 ALL LOVE TO BE PART OF A CONVERSATION. THE TRUTH IS, IT'S
- 21 GOING TO TAKE ALL OF US WORKING TOGETHER AND WORKING WITH THE
- 22 BOARD AND WORKING WITH D.H.S. TO SEE HOW IT IS THAT WE CAN BE
- 23 PARTNERS WITH YOU, HOW WE CAN WORK WITH D.H.S., WITH THE
- 24 EXISTING CENTERS. THAT'S A CONVERSATION THAT HAS TO TAKE PLACE
- 25 AND HASN'T TAKEN PLACE YET, AND I THINK IF WE COULD ALL FIGURE



- 1 OUT A WAY TO WORK TOGETHER, THERE MIGHT BE SOME INNOVATIVE
- 2 SOLUTIONS THAT HAVEN'T COME YET. THE ISSUES THAT WE WILL FACE
- 3 IS EXPANDING OUR INFRASTRUCTURE, FINDING PROVIDERS, AGAIN,
- 4 MAKING SURE THAT THE COMMUNITY UNDERSTANDS WHERE THEY GO FOR
- 5 SERVICES, AND I JUST CAN'T UNDERESTIMATE-- CAN'T EMPHASIZE
- 6 ENOUGH HOW MUCH YOU WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT YOU'RE NOT
- 7 DISRUPTING AND DISPLACING PEOPLE, BECAUSE IT REALLY DOESN'T
- 8 HELP HEALTH AND REALLY DOESN'T HELP CONTINUATION. SO I JUST
- 9 WANT TO SAY THANK YOU. THANK YOU FOR ASKING ALL THE GOOD
- 10 QUESTIONS AND WE LOOK FORWARD TO WORKING WITH YOU HOWEVER WE
- 11 CAN. ONE OTHER POINT. WE DO GET REIMBURSED FROM THE COUNTY AND
- 12 WE ARE CERTAINLY VERY GLAD ABOUT THAT. WE FOUGHT HARD AND
- 13 APPRECIATE OUR RECENT INCREASE, BUT IT ACTUALLY COSTS US MORE
- 14 AS SUPERVISOR BURKE BROUGHT UP. FOR THE \$94 THAT WE GET, WHICH
- 15 WE APPRECIATE OUR AVERAGE COSTS BETWEEN 120 AND 130, THAT WE
- 16 WERE ALL STRUGGLING TO FIND THOSE DOLLARS FOR AND I JUST AM
- 17 GLAD THAT YOU BROUGHT THAT UP BECAUSE THAT'S IMPORTANT. THANK
- 18 YOU VERY MUCH.

19

- 20 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WOULD YOU STATE YOUR NAME, AND DR.
- 21 CLAVREUL.

- 23 GLORIA RODRIGUEZ: GOOD AFTERNOON. MY NAME IS GLORIA RODRIGUEZ
- 24 AND I AM THE PRESIDENT AND C.E.O. OF THE COMMUNITY CLINIC
- 25 ASSOCIATION OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY. AS YOU KNOW, WE ARE THE



- 1 MEMBERSHIP ORGANIZATION THAT REPRESENTS THE COMMUNITY AND FREE
- 2 CLINICS. OUR CLINICS PROVIDE TWO MILLION VISITS TO
- 3 APPROXIMATELY ONE MILLION LOW INCOME PERSONS RESIDING IN THIS
- 4 COUNTY. SEVENTY-SIX PERCENT OF THOSE PATIENTS ARE UNINSURED
- 5 AND 20 PERCENT ARE ON MEDI-CAL. IN 1997, YOU ESTABLISHED THE
- 6 PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM AS PART OF A RESTRUCTURING
- 7 OF THE COUNTY'S HEALTH DELIVERY SYSTEM. OUR MEMBERS COMPRISE
- 8 THE MAJORITY OF PARTICIPATING CLINICS IN THIS PROGRAM.
- 9 RESTRUCTURING OF A COUNTY'S HEALTHCARE SYSTEM IS NO SMALL TASK
- 10 AND CANNOT BE TAKEN LIGHTLY. THIS IS A SYSTEMS ISSUE AND NEEDS
- 11 TO BE RESOLVED WITH THE SYSTEMS APPROACH. SERVICES CANNOT BE
- 12 SIMPLY CARVED UP AND RESPONSIBILITY TRANSFERRED OVERNIGHT. WE
- 13 ARE VERY HAPPY THAT YOU ALL HAVE RECOGNIZED THIS BECAUSE WE
- 14 SHARE YOUR SAME CONCERNS. THE RESTRUCTURING OF L.A. COUNTY'S
- 15 OUTPATIENT PRIMARY CARE SERVICES SHOULD BE DONE WITH THE GOAL
- 16 OF CREATING A HEALTHCARE SYSTEM THAT NOT ONLY ADDRESSES THE
- 17 COUNTY'S BUDGET ISSUES, BUT ALSO STRENGTHENS THE SYSTEM OF
- 18 CARE FOR L.A. COUNTY'S RESIDENTS. THE NONPROFIT COMMUNITY
- 19 CLINICS ARE READY TO PARTNER IN THIS PROCESS, WHICH MUST ALSO
- 20 INCLUDE THE PUBLIC AND PRIVATE HOSPITALS, LABOR, HEALTHCARE
- 21 ADVOCATES AND THE COMMUNITIES THAT NEED THESE SERVICES. WE
- 22 THANK ALL OF YOU FOR THE LEVEL OF CONCERN YOU'VE RAISED TODAY
- 23 REGARDING THIS PROPOSAL, AND YOUR STRONG SUPPORT FOR PRIMARY
- 24 CARE IN L.A. COUNTY AND THE P.P.P. PROGRAM. WE AGREE THAT
- 25 THERE NEEDS TO BE A THOROUGH ANALYSIS AND A CAREFUL PLAN



- 1 DEVELOPED. THERE ARE NO QUICK FIXES. WE LOOK FORWARD TO
- 2 ENGAGING IN THOSE DISCUSSIONS WITH THE DEPARTMENT, YOUR
- 3 OFFICES AND THE C.E.O.'S OFFICE TO FORMULATE A PLAN THAT WILL
- 4 CREATE A STRONGER SYSTEM OF CARE FOR L.A. COUNTY. THANK YOU.

5

- 6 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. MR. FLORES. AND WOULD YOLANDA
- 7 VERA COME FORWARD?

- 9 HECTOR FLORES: GOOD AFTERNOON, SUPERVISORS. MY NAME IS HECTOR
- 10 FLORES, I'M A FAMILY PHYSICIAN WHO HAS PRACTICED IN EAST LOS
- 11 ANGELES FOR OVER 20 YEARS. I ECHO THE CONCEPT OF USING THIS
- 12 OPPORTUNITY TO FIND A SYSTEM SOLUTION FOR THE CHALLENGES WE
- 13 ALL SHARE. TODAY, I'M SPEAKING IN FAVOR OF MR. KNABE'S MOTION,
- 14 I THINK IT'S THE RIGHT WAY TO PROCEED, AND IN MOVING FORWARD,
- 15 I HAVE A RECOMMENDATION FOR A MORE INCLUSIVE PARTNERSHIP WITH
- 16 THE PEOPLE OF L.A. COUNTY. IN 1992, THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
- 17 APPOINTED THE TASK FORCE FOR HEALTHCARE ACCESS IN LOS ANGELES
- 18 COUNTY, WHICH WAS COMPARED BY DR. BOB TRUNOUADA [PH?] AND CO-
- 19 CHAIRED BY CORINNE SANCHEZ AND DR. HARRY DOUGLAS. THIS BODY
- 20 QUICKLY EVOLVED INTO THE MANAGED CARE PLANNING COUNCIL FOR LOS
- 21 ANGELES COUNTY, CHAIRED BY THE D.H.S. DIRECTOR, MR. BOB GATES,
- 22 WHEN GOVERNOR PETE WILSON ANNOUNCED HIS PLAN FOR THE MEDI-CAL
- 23 MANAGED CARE INITIATIVE IN 1993. THESE TWO GROUPS ACHIEVED
- 24 NOTABLE ACCOMPLISHMENTS IN A RELATIVELY SHORT PERIOD OF TIME,
- 25 AND I THINK THEY SERVE AS A MODEL FOR SOMETHING THAT THE BOARD



- 1 COULD ADOPT IN MOVING FORWARD, ENGAGING THE ENTIRE COUNTY OF
- 2 LOS ANGELES. IT'S IMPORTANT TO REMEMBER THAT THE TASK FORCE
- 3 FOR HEALTHCARE ACCESS AND THE MANAGED CARE PLANNING COUNCIL
- 4 INCLUDED A CROSS-SECTION OF THE CLASSIC STAKEHOLDERS. NAMELY,
- 5 PATIENTS EMPOWERED TO PARTICIPATE, EMPOWERED WITH INFORMATION,
- 6 CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUPS WHO PROVIDED A THOUGHTFUL VOICE FOR
- 7 POLICY CHANGES TO SERVE THOSE PATIENTS, PROVIDE ORGANIZATIONS
- 8 WERE REPRESENTED, ESPECIALLY THE COMMUNITY CLINICS, AND THERE
- 9 WERE PURCHASER GROUPS, ORGANIZED LABOR, INSURANCE COMPANIES,
- 10 POLICY MAKERS AND FINANCE EXPERTS, TO NAME A FEW. AS A RESULT,
- 11 THEIR RECOMMENDATIONS WERE THOUGHTFUL AND THEY WERE PRACTICAL,
- 12 AND AT TIMES THEY WERE CONTROVERSIAL, TOO. MOST NOTABLE TO ME
- 13 WERE THREE ACHIEVEMENTS. ONE WAS A TASK FORCE COMPLETED THE
- 14 LAST AVAILABLE COMPREHENSIVE ASSESSMENT OF THE ENTIRE COUNTY
- 15 OF LOS ANGELES, INCLUDING HOW BEST TO ADDRESS THE NEEDS OF THE
- 16 UNINSURED, WHO WAS ALREADY DOING OUT THERE AND WHO NEEDED TO
- 17 BE BOLSTERED UP THERE TO ACCOMPLISH THAT JOB. SECONDLY, BOTH
- 18 THE TASK FORCE AND THE COUNCIL REACHED THE NATIONAL CONCLUSION
- 19 THAT L.A. COUNTY IS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE POOR, NOT JUST THE
- 20 PUBLIC SECTOR OF HOSPITALS AND CLINICS. IN SO DOING, THE THIRD
- 21 ACHIEVEMENT THAT WAS IN 1993, THE MANAGED CARE PLANNING
- 22 COUNCIL RECOMMENDED, AND THE BOARD ADOPTED, A PUBLIC-PRIVATE
- 23 PARTNERSHIP MODEL USING THE UNIFIED SYSTEM FOR A HEALTHY LOS
- 24 ANGELES. THE UNIFIED SYSTEM WOULD BE GUIDED BY A QUASI
- 25 GOVERNMENTAL HEALTH AUTHORITY AND PREDICATED ON MANAGED CARE



- 1 PRINCIPLES TO ACHIEVE POPULATION HEALTH AND A MORE PRUDENT
- 2 INVESTMENT OF LIMITED HEALTHCARE DOLLARS, AND BELIEVE IT OR
- 3 NOT, THERE WAS A CONSENSUS THAT EVERYONE NEEDED TO ANTE UP TO
- 4 THE PLATE, INCLUDING THE PRIVATE FOR-PROFIT SECTOR. ALSO,
- 5 THERE WAS MUCH HOPE THAT THE AMBITIOUS ENDEAVOR WOULD BE
- 6 SUPPORTED BY THE NATIONAL HEALTHCARE REFORM CHAMPION, BY THEN
- 7 PRESIDENT CLINTON. UNFORTUNATELY, OUR NATION'S PLAN FOR
- 8 UNIVERSAL COVERAGE DISINTEGRATED AND AS A COUNTY WE SIMPLY RAN
- 9 OUT OF TIME. HOWEVER, ALL WAS NOT LOST. THE PUBLIC-PRIVATE
- 10 PARTNERSHIP AND DELIVERY REDESIGN CAPTURED THE IMAGINATION OF
- 11 PRESIDENT CLINTON AND PRESIDENT BUSH AND GAVE US AN ADDITIONAL
- 12 TEN YEARS PLUS TWO YEARS ON A MEDI-CAL REDESIGN, AS WAIVERS
- 13 AND YET WE HAVE BEEN DISAPPOINTED AGAIN BECAUSE WE RAN OUT OF
- 14 TIME WITH HEALTHCARE REFORM. AND SO IT'S TIME TO TAKE
- 15 ACCOUNTABILITY OURSELVES. SO IN CONCLUSION, I URGE THAT YOUR
- 16 BOARD REVIEW THE PAST SUCCESS OF THOSE TWO BODIES AND APPOINT
- 17 A TASK FORCE ON THE UNIFIED SYSTEM FOR A HEALTHY LOS ANGELES
- 18 COUNTY TO CONTINUE OUR PATH TOWARDS A SOLUTION THAT IS
- 19 COMMONLY SHARED BY ALL SECTORS, INCLUDING THE PRIVATE FOR-
- 20 PROFIT SECTOR. I THINK THIS UNITY WILL ALSO PREVAIL ON OUR
- 21 STATE AND FEDERAL GOVERNMENT TO STEP IN AS WELL. THANK YOU
- 22 VERY MUCH.

23

24 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: STATE YOUR NAME.



- 1 ANNIE PARK: GOOD AFTERNOON, SUPERVISORS. MY NAME IS ANNIE PARK
- 2 AND I AM HERE REPRESENTING COMMUNITY HEALTH COUNCILS. I WOULD
- 3 LIKE TO SUBMIT A LETTER OF RESPONSE TO THE DEPARTMENT OF
- 4 HEALTH SERVICES PROPOSAL, AND IF I COULD JUST SPEAK TO SOME OF
- 5 THE MAIN POINTS THAT WE PUT IN THIS LETTER. FIRST OFF, WE
- 6 CANNOT STRESS ENOUGH THAT THE RESPONSIBILITY OF PROVIDING
- 7 HEALTHCARE TO THE UNINSURED LIES PRIMARILY WITH THE COUNTY. WE
- 8 UNDERSTAND THE NEED TO PARTNER WITH THE COMMUNITY AND WE URGE
- 9 YOU TO CONTINUE TO DO SO, PARTICULARLY WITH THE P.P.P. CLINICS
- 10 TO COME TO A RESOLUTION, BUT WE DO NOT TAKE THIS TO MEAN THAT
- 11 THE CLINIC HAS MET ITS RESPONSIBILITY. 400,000 PATIENTS A YEAR
- 12 ARE TREATED AT D.H.S. CLINICS AND SHIFTING THOSE PEOPLE TO THE
- 13 PRIVATE SECTOR UNDER THE BEST OF CIRCUMSTANCES REQUIRES
- 14 CAREFUL PLANNING, AMPLE RESOURCES AND A GENEROUS AMOUNT OF
- 15 TIME, AND WE ARE NOT OPERATING UNDER THE BEST OF
- 16 CIRCUMSTANCES. FINANCIALLY, THE PROPOSAL SEEMS TO ONLY
- 17 PARTIALLY ALLEVIATE THE DEFICIT, SO WE QUESTION HOW THE COUNTY
- 18 WILL CONTINUE TO BE ABLE TO FUND PRIMARY CARE SERVICES IN THE
- 19 LONG TERM. WE HAVE A SERIES OF QUESTIONS THAT WE HAVE ASKED IN
- 20 THE LETTER, INCLUDING WHAT THE TIMELINE IS, AND WE WOULD LIKE
- 21 TO SEE CONCRETE DATES AND GOALS GIVEN BY THE DEPARTMENT OF
- 22 HEALTH SERVICES. WE WOULD LIKE TO SEE AN ASSESSMENT THAT IS
- 23 DONE OF THE CAPACITY THAT IS AVAILABLE AND THE P.P.P.S AND WE
- 24 WANT THIS ASSESSMENT TO BE AS THOROUGH AS POSSIBLE, INCLUDING
- 25 WHAT TYPE OF FUNDING WOULD BE AVAILABLE FOR ALL ASPECTS OF



- 1 CARE, INCLUDING THE PHARMACY AND HOW THE STATE AND FEDERAL
- 2 BUDGET DEFICITS ARE GOING TO AFFECT THIS IN LIGHT OF THE
- 3 SPECIFICS SUCH AS THE 10 PERCENT PROVIDER CUT RATE THAT WAS
- 4 JUST RECENTLY APPROVED BY THE STATE BUDGET. IN CLOSING, I
- 5 WOULD JUST LIKE TO SAY THAT BEFORE THE PUBLIC OR ANY
- 6 STAKEHOLDERS WOULD APPROVE OF ANY PLAN SUCH AS THIS ONE, WE
- 7 WOULD HAVE TO SEE THAT THERE IS NO ADVERSE IMPACT TO THE
- 8 COMMUNITY AND THE PATIENTS WHO UTILIZE THESE SERVICES. THANK
- 9 YOU.

10

11 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. DR. CLAVREUL?

- 13 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: GOOD AFTERNOON. DR. GENEVIEVE
- 14 CLAVREUL. YOU KNOW, I'M KIND OF ASTONISHED, WE'RE TALKING
- 15 ABOUT WHAT WE ARE TALKING TODAY, SINCE WE NEVER HAVE A TRUE
- 16 BUDGET FROM D.H.S.. I MEAN, SINCE THE 7/17, JULY OF LAST YEAR,
- 17 THEN IT WAS POSTPONED TO 9/25, THEN TO THE 10/09, THEN TO
- 18 11/20, THEN TO THE 1/15, AND WE STILL DON'T HAVE A BUDGET. I
- 19 DON'T KNOW ABOUT YOU, BUT I HAVE NOT SEEN ONE, AND THAT YOU
- 20 EVEN WILL DISCUSS ANY KIND OF BEHAVIOR IN RELATIONSHIP TO A
- 21 NONEXISTING BUDGET IS ASTONISHING TO ME, BUT, YOU KNOW, I'M
- 22 JUST AN EXPERT IN HEALTH AND I LIKE TO MAKE A DECISION BASIS.,
- 23 I LIKE TO HAVE NUMBERS TO DIRECT MY BEHAVIOR. YEAH, I'M A
- 24 LITTLE COUNTRY GIRL FROM PARIS, YOU KNOW? US FRENCH LIKE TO
- 25 ASK QUESTIONS SOMETIMES, AND ME IN PARTICULAR. I'M SPEECHLESS.

22



- 1 I MEAN, YOU KNOW, FOR THE LAST TWO HOURS, YOU DISCUSSED THE
- 2 ISSUE THAT HAD ABSOLUTELY NO VALUE BECAUSE YOU DON'T HAVE NO
- 3 DOLLAR FIGURE WITH IT. AND TO EVEN TALKING ABOUT SOME
- 4 REDUCTION OF SERVICE WHEN THE NONPROFIT CLINIC ARE DOING A IS
- 5 SUPERB WITH NOT THE RIGHT REIMBURSEMENT TO PROVIDE THE CARE.
- 6 AND WHEN I SEE, YOU KNOW, MR. FUJIOKA BIG INCREASE IN SALARY,
- 7 I THINK A DOUBLE LAYER BETWEEN HIM AND OTHER PEOPLE. DR.
- 8 CHERNOF, I MEAN, IF YOU TAKE A NOTE OF ALL THE PEOPLE WHO HAVE
- 9 BEEN ADDED SINCE MR. FUNIKE LEFT, IS MILLIONS OF DOLLARS
- 10 THERE. AND YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT CUTTING \$100,000, OR 1
- 11 MILLION FROM TREATMENT TO PATIENTS IS ABSURD, AND I THINK
- 12 UNTIL THE PUBLIC AND YOU, SUPERVISORS, BECAUSE YOU ARE
- 13 SUPPOSED TO MAKE YOUR DECISION ON FACTS, HAVE A TRUE BUDGET,
- 14 WE SHOULDN'T EVEN BE BROUGHT TO THIS TABLE, YOU KNOW. AND I
- 15 HOPE PEOPLE WATCH AND MAKE, YOU KNOW, BRING YOU TO REASON, AND
- 16 ALSO FOR MS. OCHOA, I DON'T KNOW HOW MUCH MONEY YOU GET FROM
- 17 THE UNION, BUT SOME OF YOU MUST BE GETTING MONEY TO ALLOW HER
- 18 TO SPEAK MORE THAN ANY OTHER MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC. WE DO IN
- 19 OUR OWN TIME AND IN OUR OWN MONEY. THANK YOU. OH, AND FOR YOUR
- 20 EDIFICATION, I HAVE A VERY GOOD ARTICLE ON HEALTHCARE
- 21 COMPARING THE FRENCH SYSTEM TO THE AMERICAN SYSTEM. THANK YOU.
- 23 YOLANDA VERA: GOOD AFTERNOON. I'M YOLANDA VERA FROM L.A.
- 24 HEALTH ACTION AND I'M TESTIFYING ON BEHALF OF L.A. HEALTH
- 25 ACTION AND NOT THE L.A. COLLABORATIVE. JUST A FEW THOUGHTS,



- 1 MANY OF WHICH HAVE BEEN RAISED TODAY ALREADY. FIRST AND
- 2 FOREMOST, PLANNING FOR CUTS SHOULD BE THE VERY LAST OPTION,
- 3 AND WHETHER IT'S CUTS AT FACILITIES, STAFF OR SERVICES, AND AS
- 4 ITS TOP PRIORITY, L.A. COUNTY SHOULD LEAD A JOINT CAMPAIGN
- 5 WITH LABOR AND WE INVITE THEM TO PARTICIPATE WITH THE
- 6 COLLABORATIVE ON FINDING SOME SOLUTIONS FOR MORE PUBLIC AND
- 7 PRIVATE DOLLARS THAT WOULD HELP SUSTAIN THE SYSTEM. SECOND,
- 8 AND THIS HAS ALSO BEEN SAID, BUT I ALSO WANT TO REITERATE IT,
- 9 ANY EFFORT TO RESTRUCTURE PRIMARY CARE CAN BE, MUST BE LED BY
- 10 AN EMPOWERED DIRECTOR OF AMBULATORY CARE WHO HAS
- 11 RESPONSIBILITY OVER THE ENTIRE SYSTEM. WE NEED ESSENTIALLY AN
- 12 ELI MANNING OF PRIMARY CARE WHO IS GOING TO CALL THE SHOTS,
- 13 BECAUSE CURRENTLY AS IT'S STRUCTURED, WE DON'T KNOW WHAT'S
- 14 HAPPENING. A DIRECTOR OF AMBULATORY CARE CAN TELL US WHY THERE
- 15 ARE REDUCTIONS AND SERVICES, WHERE THE REDUCTIONS ARE
- 16 HAPPENING, AND WHAT WE SHOULD BE DOING IN RESPONSE. THIRD, ANY
- 17 CHANGE IN THE OUTPATIENT CARE DELIVERY SYSTEM NEEDS TO BE DONE
- 18 IN THE CONTEXT OF A VISION THAT'S WELL ARTICULATED, A LARGER
- 19 STRATEGIC PLAN THAT INTEGRATES AND COORDINATES ALL THE
- 20 DIFFERENT PROVIDERS ACROSS ALL THE DIFFERENT LEVELS OF CARE.
- 21 FOURTH, WHEN I LOOK AT THE P.P.P. CLINIC SERVICES, IT MAKES
- 22 SOME SENSE TO DO AND TAKE AN APPROACH THAT INCLUDES SOME
- 23 FURTHER EXPANSION OF THOSE SERVICES BECAUSE THEY HAVE PROVEN
- 24 THAT THEY CAN PROVIDE THOSE SERVICES WELL AND COST
- 25 EFFECTIVELY. STILL, DO WE DO THAT AT THE COST OF ELIMINATING



- 1 THE COUNTY'S PUBLIC HEALTH CENTERS? WE DON'T HAVE ENOUGH
- 2 INFORMATION, AND I THINK SUPERVISOR KNABE'S MOTION WOULD ASK A
- 3 LOT OF THE RELEVANT QUESTIONS, WHICH INCLUDE, ARE WE PROPOSING
- 4 A TIMELINE THAT MAKES SENSE, ARE WE ENSURING THAT WE WOULD PAY
- 5 ENOUGH MONEY TO THE CLINICS, ARE WE ENSURING THAT THEY COULD
- 6 RAMP UP TO PROVIDE THE ADDITIONAL 260,000 VISITS THAT WE'D BE
- 7 REQUESTING THEM, ARE WE GOING TO INVEST IN TECHNOLOGY SO THAT
- 8 WE CAN TRACK AND REPORT TRENDS AND RESPOND TO THEM, ARE WE
- 9 GOING TO DO THIS SLOWLY SO THAT WE WON'T LOSE PATIENTS IN THE
- 10 SYSTEM, WE WON'T LOSE MEDICAL RECORDS AND THAT PATIENTS WON'T
- 11 BE LOST WITHOUT ANY ACCESSIBLE GEOGRAPHICAL CARE, AND ARE WE
- 12 GOING TO DO THIS TRANSITION PURSUANT TO AN ARTICULATED,
- 13 BROADER VISION? IF WE ADOPTED THIS PROPOSAL WITHOUT ANY ONE OF
- 14 THESE ELEMENTS, WE DOOM IT FOR FAILURE AT THE HARM OF COUNTY
- 15 RESIDENTS BOTH INSURED AND UNINSURED AND WE FURTHER SHRED OUR
- 16 VERY FRAGILE FABRIC OF CARE. THANK YOU.

17

- 18 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. ON ITEM 48, SUPERVISOR KNABE
- 19 MOVES, SUPERVISOR MOLINA SECONDS. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO
- 20 ORDERED. ON S-3, IS THAT RECEIVE AND FILE? ALL RIGHT. WITHOUT
- 21 OBJECTION. LET'S SEE. SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH, YOU DID YOUR
- 22 ADJOURNMENTS. SUPERVISOR MOLINA--

23

24 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: PUBLIC COMMENT.



- 1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: PUBLIC COMMENT. MARTIN DAVIS. ARNOLD SACHS.
- 2 THERESE HUGHES. AND THEN WE'LL BE CALLING DOLORES RAMOS. MR.
- 3 DAVIS?

4

- 5 MARTIN DAVIS: THIS IS MARTIN DAVIS. WE SPOKE LAST WEEK ON THE
- 6 VETERANS, FOR MORE HOUSING VOUCHERS FOR VETERANS, AND WE WOULD
- 7 LIKE TO SPEAK ON IT AGAIN, AND WE ALSO WERE TALKED TO ABOUT--
- 8 WE SAID WE HAD HOUSING APPLICATIONS FROM ALL THESE DIFFERENT
- 9 HOUSING AUTHORITIES THAT AREN'T TAKING APPLICATIONS, AND THE
- 10 ONES YOU DON'T NEED A SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER FOR ARE TAKING
- 11 APPLICATIONS. WE BROUGHT A COPY OF THE HOUSING AUTHORITY THING
- 12 WHERE IT STATES RIGHT ON IT, YOU DON'T HAVE TO HAVE SOCIAL
- 13 SECURITY TO PARTICIPATE IN THE PROGRAMS, AND WE LEFT IT WITH
- 14 CARLA-- CARLIE. WE LEFT AN APPLICATION THERE, IF YOU CAN LET
- 15 KNABE OR THEM SEE THAT IT SAYS RIGHT ON IT, AND WE THANK YOU
- 16 FOR YOUR TIME AND WE JUST WISH THAT FOR HOMELESS VETERANS,
- 17 THAT THEY WOULD GET MORE HOUSING APPLICATIONS.

18

19 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.

20

21 MARTIN DAVIS: THANKS, HAVE A NICE DAY.

22

23 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THERESE HUGHES AND THEN MR. SACHS.



- 1 THERESE HUGHES: GOOD AFTERNOON, SUPERVISOR BURKE, MEMBERS OF
- 2 THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. I'M HERE SORT OF AFTER THE FACT FOR
- 3 THE VOTE BECAUSE ACTUALLY I'M HERE ON BEHALF OF THE VENICE
- 4 FAMILY CLINIC TO SPEAK ABOUT THE CLINIC CLOSURE AND THE
- 5 CHALLENGES THAT EVERYBODY FACES IN TERMS OF PROVIDING PRIMARY
- 6 CARE TO THE UNDERSERVED AND INDIGENT IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY. AS
- 7 YOU KNOW, IN 1995, THE VENICE FAMILY CLINIC STEPPED FORWARD TO
- 8 INCREASE COVERAGE AND TO PROVIDE COVERAGE FOR PEOPLE ON THE
- 9 WEST SIDE OF LOS ANGELES AT OUR PICO SITE, AND IT'S IN THAT
- 10 VEIN THAT I'D LIKE TO SAY THANK YOU, FIRST OF ALL, FOR ALL OF
- 11 YOUR COMMENTS. THEY SPEAK FROM WHERE LIZ FORD COMES FROM, WHOM
- 12 I'M REPRESENTING, AND THEY REPRESENT THE THOUGHTS OF THE
- 13 PEOPLE ON THE WEST SIDE AS WELL OF LOS ANGELES. THERE ARE JUST
- 14 A COUPLE OF THINGS THAT I THINK NEED TO BE MADE-- STATED AND
- 15 NEED TO HAVE EMPHASIS. THE FIRST IS THE FACT THAT THE
- 16 NEWSPAPER ARTICLE SAID THAT THEY WERE GOING TO PROVIDE -- THE
- 17 PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERS WOULD BE PAID ENOUGH TO TREAT ALL THE
- 18 PATIENTS WHO LACK INSURANCE OF ANY KIND. AS YOU KNOW, THIS IS
- 19 THE MOST EXPENSIVE TYPE OF CARE THAT THERE IS OUT THERE, AND
- 20 IT IS OF CONCERN TO US THAT THE DOLLAR AMOUNT, THE DIFFERENCE
- 21 BETWEEN THE \$94 THAT THE COUNTY PROVIDE, WHICH WE APPRECIATE
- 22 AND AT VENICE, IT'S \$134 TO PROVIDE CARE, THAT CLOSING THAT
- 23 GAP IS DIFFICULT. THE FOUNDATIONS HAVE ADDRESSED OTHER-- HAVE
- 24 TAKEN DIFFERENT STRATEGIES OVER THE LAST COUPLE OF YEARS AND
- 25 SOME OF THE PROGRAMS THAT WE HAVE WORKED WITH THEM IN



- 1 CONJUNCTION AND WILL CONTINUE TO WORK WITH THEM, NO LONGER
- 2 HAVE FUNDING AVAILABLE, OR THEY'VE SUNSETTED AS PART OF THE
- 3 CONTRACTS THAT WE'VE WORKED WITH. THE SECOND THING IS, IS THAT
- 4 PHILANTHROPY CARE OR DOLLARS GOING FROM PHILANTHROPY INTO
- 5 PRIMARY CARE IS NOT A SEXY THING AND THEY ARE JUST REALLY NOT
- 6 AVAILABLE. VENICE HAS A LARGE BUDGET DEFICIT THIS YEAR THAT WE
- 7 ARE WORKING TO REIN IN, AND SO WE'RE VERY CONCERNED ABOUT THE
- 8 USE OF THE FUNDING IN CURRENT YEAR AS WELL AS IN FUTURE YEARS,
- 9 SHOULD THE PLAN GO FORWARD IN ANY WAY AND WE HOPE THAT YOU
- 10 WILL WELCOME US TO THE TABLE SINCE WE HAVE ACTUAL EXPERIENCE
- 11 IN THIS ARENA. AND THE LAST THING THAT I WANT TO SAY IS THAT I
- 12 RECOGNIZE THAT FEDERAL AND STATE DOLLARS HAVE BEEN FLAT FOR
- 13 Y'ALL FOR A PERIOD OF TIME, AND THEY'VE BEEN FLAT FOR US AS
- 14 WELL. SO IN THAT PROVISION OF A DOLLAR AMOUNT, THERE NEEDS TO
- 15 BE SOMETHING ADDED TO THE POT OTHER THAN WHAT'S THERE BECAUSE
- 16 WE HAVE FLAT BUDGETS FROM THE STATE AND THE FEDERAL LEVEL AS
- 17 WELL. AND THEN THERE'S TWO OTHER THINGS. IN TAKING OVER A
- 18 CLINIC, CODE UPDATES ARE NECESSARY, SO IF PRIVATE -- THE
- 19 PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERS WERE TO TAKE OVER, CODE UPDATES ARE
- 20 EXPENSIVE, THERE NEEDS TO BE TRANSITORY-- THERE NEEDS TO BE
- 21 TRANSITIONING FUNDS THAT LOOKS AT FACILITIES AS WELL AS
- 22 PROVIDING THE COST OF PROVIDERS AND AS WELL AS, YOU KNOW,
- 23 OTHER HEALTHCARE. AND THEN THE LAST IS, WE HAVE LICENSE ISSUES
- 24 THAT THE COUNTY DOESN'T HAVE, AND THOSE NEED TO BE BROUGHT UP
- 25 AS WELL. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.



1

- 2 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: DOLORES RAMOS. CEDRIC WILSON. AND DOCTOR
- 3 DELANGE, WILL YOU PLEASE COME FORWARD.

- 5 ARNOLD SACHS: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. ARNOLD SACHS. I, TOO,
- 6 WANTED TO SPEAK ABOUT THE ITEM THAT YOU JUST VOTED ON.
- 7 BASICALLY, I REALLY HAD ONE QUESTION, AND THE PERSON WHO JUST
- 8 SPOKE BEFORE ME WAS THE SECOND PERSON WHO BROUGHT UP THE COST
- 9 FOR THE CLINICS. IN THIS ARTICLE FROM THE "L.A. TIMES" FROM
- 10 THE 15TH, I'M QUOTING SOMETHING THAT SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH
- 11 SAID, THAT "THE PRIMARY CARE COSTS FOR THE COUNTY ARE \$197 AT
- 12 ONE OF ITS OWN FACILITIES. UNDER THE CURRENT CONTRACTS, THE
- 13 COUNTY PAYS PRIVATE CLINICS \$94 FOR EACH VISIT: AND THE
- 14 SPEAKER JUST BEFORE ME SAID THE COSTS WERE \$134, AND SOMEBODY
- 15 ELSE HAD MENTIONED THE SAME AMOUNT, GIVE OR TAKE A COUPLE OF
- 16 DOLLARS. THEY GET THAT FUNDING FROM DONATIONS. MAYBE I
- 17 MISUNDERSTOOD THAT THE DIFFERENCE THAT THEY MAKE UP IS MADE UP
- 18 FROM DONATIONS THAT THEY GET. MY OUESTION IS, IS THAT THEY
- 19 HAVE AN ACTUAL-- MAYBE AN ACTUAL COST OF \$134 AND THE COUNTY
- 20 HAS A COST OF \$197. WHY IS THERE A DIFFERENCE OF \$60 AND WHY
- 21 IS IT TAKING SO LONG FOR THE COUNTY TO ACT ON THAT DIFFERENCE
- 22 PER PATIENT? THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR TIME. THANK YOU VERY
- 23 MUCH FOR YOUR COMMENTS. AND ONE OTHER THING, CONGRATULATIONS
- 24 TO THE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS FOR HAVING THE WHEREWITHAL
- 25 TO ENTER INTO A CONTRACT TO RENOVATE THE COLISEUM. HOPEFULLY



- 1 WE'LL HAVE A B.C.S. BOWL GAME HERE BEFORE THE 2000 CENTURY IS
- 2 OVER.

3

- 4 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: DOLORES RAMOS, CEDRIC WILSON AND DR.
- 5 DELANGE YES. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME.

- 7 DOLORES RAMOS: DOLORES RAMOS. I HAVE A QUESTION WITH REGARDS
- 8 TO DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES. FOR INSTANCE,
- 9 WHAT SUPPORTER ADVOCACY IS IN PLACE TO ENSURE THAT ALL CASES
- 10 ARE BEING TREATED AND/OR FOLLOWED ACCORDING TO POLICY? IS
- 11 THERE A COMMITTEE THAT MONITORS D.C.F.S?, WHERE DOES ONE
- 12 ADDRESS SERIOUS PROBLEMS SUCH AS ABUSE OF SOCIAL WORKERS AND
- 13 FALSIFYING DOCUMENTS? I HEARD A DISTURBING COMMENT MADE BY
- 14 CLERK'S OFFICE AT THE ELEMENTS CHILDREN'S COURT. SUPERVISOR
- 15 AND HER SUBORDINATES SAID WE CAN'T STOP A CLIENT FROM FILING.
- 16 SHE'LL NEVER GET HER CHILDREN BACK. SHE'S MENTALLY RETARDED.
- 17 THEY DIDN'T CARE THAT I WAS PRESENT. THERE SEEM TO BE A LOT OF
- 18 NON LICENSED DOCTORS HURLING ACCUSATIONS SUCH AS THOSE, NOT
- 19 ONLY AT ELEMENTS, BUT AT D.C.F.S. I ENCOUNTER TOO MANY PARENTS
- 20 LABELED AS MENTALLY RETARDED, YET THEY HAD ENOUGH FORTITUDE TO
- 21 TRY TO RECLAIM THEIR CHILDREN. ALSO, IT'S TOO EASY FOR PEOPLE
- 22 TO CALL IN AND LIE AND ALLEGE CHILD ABUSE WHEN THERE ISN'T
- 23 ANY. SHORT OF PHYSICAL SIGNS, THE COUNTY HAS AN OBLIGATION TO
- 24 MAINTAIN FAMILIES, NOT SEPARATE THEM. WE ARE ALL AWARE OF WHAT
- 25 HAPPENS WHEN CHILDREN GO THROUGH A SYSTEM. THERE NEEDS TO BE



- 1 MORE STRINGENT POLICIES. IF SOMEONE IS REPORTING, THERE SHOULD
- 2 BE NO SUCH ANIMAL AS ANONYMOUS. I WAS REPORTED OUT OF
- 3 RETALIATION. AT THAT POINT, ALTHOUGH MY CHILDREN WERE HAPPY
- 4 AND HEALTHY, WE LOVED EACH OTHER, THEY WERE TAKEN AWAY WITHOUT
- 5 REASON. IT WASN'T UNTIL SOME TWO WEEKS LATER I FOUND OUT THEY
- 6 WERE MOVED DUE TO THE MENTAL LABEL AGAIN. NOTHING TO GO ON
- 7 EXCEPT FALSE ALLEGATIONS. THAT, IN MY OPINION, IS A TRAVESTY.
- 8 THE COUNTY MENTIONED THEY WOULD ASSIST MY FAMILY WITH HOUSING,
- 9 COUNSELING ETC. TO DATE, NOTHING, NOT EVEN AN INTERVIEW SINCE
- 10 JANUARY 30TH OF 2008. PLEASE STOP PERMITTING FAMILIES TO BE
- 11 SEPARATED WITHOUT CONCRETE PROOF OF ENDANGERMENT. AND ALSO,
- 12 ALTHOUGH THE BOARD HAS NO CONTROL OVER LAW ENFORCEMENT WHO
- 13 CHOOSES TO ABUSE THEIR AUTHORITY, NOT ALL, BUT BY MAKING FALSE
- 14 ARRESTS. I WISH SOCIETY AS A WHOLE WOULD STOP RELYING ON THE
- 15 WORDS OF OFFICERS AS THEY ARE NOT IMMUNE FROM LYING. IF THEY
- 16 WERE SUBJECTED TO POLYGRAPHS, I KNOW A LOT OF INNOCENT PEOPLE
- 17 WOULD NOT BE CHARGED WITH BOGUS CRIMES OR SERVE TIME. IF AN
- 18 OFFICER'S TELLING THE TRUTH, SHE OR HE SHOULD HAVE NO PROBLEMS
- 19 WITH SUBMITTING TO A POLYGRAPH, WHICH INCLUDES COURT APPOINTED
- 20 LAWYERS, SOCIAL WORKERS, ALSO. I'VE BEEN SPOKEN TO BY D.C.F.S.
- 21 AS IF I'M SOMEONE'S HOUSE PET. NO ONE WILL LISTEN. EVERYONE
- 22 JUST TREATS YOU AS IF YOU ARE GUILTY AS CHARGED. AGAIN, THEY
- 23 HAVE NO CONCRETE PROOF AND THERE'S NO ONE TO GO TO BECAUSE
- 24 EVERYONE JUST TREATS YOU AS YOU'RE AN ABUSER, AND THAT'S THE
- 25 END OF THAT.



1

2 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. MR. WILSON?

- 4 CEDRIC WILSON: YES. GOOD AFTERNOON. MY NAME IS CEDRIC WILSON.
- 5 THE LADY THAT WAS SPEAKING, I SAW THE PERSON WASN'T EVEN
- 6 PAYING ATTENTION TO HER. I THOUGHT THAT WAS VERY
- 7 DISRESPECTFUL. NEVERTHELESS, I CAME HERE ON ONE CONCEPT, IN
- 8 TERMS OF THE ENORMOUS CHALLENGES THAT WE HAVE IN THIS COUNTY,
- 9 AND THEN WALKED IN ON ANOTHER. SEEMED LIKE OUR HEALTH AND
- 10 HUMAN SERVICES, IF I HEARD IT TODAY FROM C.E.O.S, PRESIDENTS
- 11 AND PHYSICIANS, INDICATE ABOUT THE PROBLEMS AS IN THIS COUNTY,
- 12 IT'S JUST SAD. FOR THIS TO BE THE SECOND LARGEST CITY IN THE
- 13 UNITED STATES, IT'S JUST REALLY SAD. I CAME IN HERE BASICALLY
- 14 ON BEHALF OF VETERANS. I'M A VETERAN, I'VE BEEN VOLUNTEERING
- 15 FOR VETERANS ALMOST SIX YEARS, YOU KNOW, AND LIKE I SAY, I
- 16 CAME IN HERE WITH ONE IDEA JUST TO TALK ABOUT WITH VETERANS
- 17 AND I'M LISTENING TO OTHER CHALLENGES, AND IT JUST BLOWS MY
- 18 MIND. I MEAN, LESS THAN 5,280 FEET AWAY, WHICH IS ONE MILE,
- 19 YOU HAVE VETERANS, MALE AND FEMALE, WHO ARE HOMELESS WHO, WHEN
- 20 YOU GO HOME, YOU SEE THESE PEOPLE EVERY DAY, AND SOME OF THEM
- 21 HAVE MENTALLY ILL PROBLEMS, CHALLENGES, WHATEVER, FINE. BUT
- 22 SOME OF THEM DON'T, AND THERE'S NO RESOURCES HERE,
- 23 SUPERVISORS. NONE. WHEN I SAY NONE, IT'S NOT EVEN WORTH
- 24 MENTIONING. IT'S BASICALLY I GUESS THE EXCUSE IN TERMS OF THE
- 25 POLITICAL REALM IS THAT DUE TO THE ENORMOUS VOLUME OF



- 1 VETERANS, IF WE WERE TO DO THIS HERE, IF WE COULD HELP THEM,
- 2 IT WOULD GO OUTSIDE THEIR BUDGET. THEN YOU HAVE TO GO BACK, I
- 3 GUESS RAISING TAXES IN TERMS OF TAXPAYERS. THE THING THAT I'M
- 4 REALLY CONCERNED ABOUT IS TRYING TO BUILD BRIDGES, BECAUSE I
- 5 CAN HAVE OVER 1,000 VETERANS RIGHT OUTSIDE THESE DOORS,
- 6 BASICALLY ANY GIVEN TIME TALKING ABOUT RESOURCES. THERE'S NO
- 7 RESOURCES FROM THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES THAT I'VE HEARD OF.
- 8 I'VE TALKED TO DOCTORS AND CASE MANAGERS AND CASE WORKERS
- 9 RIGHT DOWN THE STREET ON TEMPLE STREET, AND THAT'S HOW I KNEW
- 10 ABOUT THIS MEETING TODAY. I WAS TOLD THAT AFTER THE HOLIDAY,
- 11 THE FIRST TUESDAY, AT 1:00 OR 1:30 OR SOMETHING, SO I HAD TO
- 12 COME DOWN HERE, LIKE I SAY. WHEN I HEARD THE PHYSICIANS AND
- 13 EVERYTHING LIKE THIS, IT JUST BLEW MY MIND. SO IT SEEMED LIKE
- 14 OUR HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES IN THIS COUNTY HAVE BECOME A
- 15 BUSINESS. IT'S NOT LIKE THE OLD CHRISTIAN TYPE OF MINDSET, YOU
- 16 HELP YOUR FELLOW CITIZEN. IT'S BASICALLY SEGREGATED. I'M FROM
- 17 CHICAGO. I'VE NEVER SEEN A COMMUNITY LIKE THIS IN MY LIFE. IN
- 18 REGARDS TO EMPLOYMENT ISSUES FOR VETERANS, IN TERMS OF -- IT'S
- 19 JUST SO SEGREGATED. AND THEN WHAT I LIKE TO KNOW IS JUST
- 20 FINALIZING THE QUESTION, BECAUSE I HAD NUMEROUS ONES. BUT
- 21 THEN, AS I LOOK AT YOU GUYS' EYES, I JUST WANT TO ASK YOU GUYS
- 22 THIS. IN REGARDS TO ANY TYPE OF TASK FORCE THAT'S HIRING
- 23 ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS THAT'S COME TO THIS COUNTY, YOU GUYS HAVE A
- 24 TAX TEAM THAT THE TAXPAYERS CAN PAY FOR THAT WILL-- NOT
- 25 DISCRIMINATE, BUT WILL LET THE PUBLIC KNOW OF THE EMPLOYERS



- 1 WHO-- THESE BIG CORPORATIONS WHO HIRE THESE ILLEGALS. DO YOU
- 2 GUYS HAVE A TASK FORCE THAT COULD BE ABLE TO DO THIS, AND IF
- 3 NOT, WHY, AND IF YOU DO, HOW CAN WE FIND OUT WHERE THEY ARE
- 4 AND FIND OUT WHAT'S GOING ON? BECAUSE WE HAVE VETERANS THAT
- 5 ARE BLACK AND BROWN AND WHITE THAT NEED JOBS.

6

7 SUP. ANTONOVICH: LET ME JUST-- SIR, LET ME--

8

- 9 SUP. MOLINA: I WANT YOU TO TURN AROUND AND LOOK AT WHAT'S
- 10 GOING ON BACK THERE. TURN AROUND.

11

12 CEDRIC WILSON: WHAT DO YOU MEAN?

13

- 14 SUP. MOLINA: SHE'S GETTING HELP. WE'RE NOT IGNORING HER. SHE
- 15 CAME HERE, THERE ARE TWO PEOPLE SITTING WITH HER. SIR, OVER
- 16 HERE.

17

18 **CEDRIC WILSON:** OVER HERE?

19

20 SUP. MOLINA: YES. I WANT YOU TO KNOW THAT.

21

- 22 CEDRIC WILSON: WELL, THAT'S GREAT, I REALLY APPLAUD YOU FOR
- 23 THAT, MISS, BUT THE CHALLENGES THAT-- AND GOD BLESS HER.



- 1 SUP. MOLINA: I UNDERSTAND THAT, BUT I'M JUST TRYING TO TELL
- 2 YOU, WE DIDN'T IGNORE HER.

3

- 4 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: AND THERE'S SOMEONE WHO WILL BE TALKING TO-
- 5 -

6

- 7 SUP. ANTONOVICH: ALSO LET ME POINT OUT, COLONEL JOE SMITH, THE
- 8 HEAD OF THE VETERANS--

9

- 10 CEDRIC WILSON: AT PATRIOTIC HALL. I KNOW JOSEPH COLONEL SMITH
- 11 FOR A LONG TIME, SAY ABOUT NINE YEARS.

12

- 13 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND WE HAVE A PROGRAM FOR HOUSING FOR
- 14 VETERANS, WE HAVE-- BUT IF A VETERAN DOES NOT WANT TO GO TO
- 15 SHELTER BECAUSE OF A DRUG DEPENDENCY OR MENTAL HEALTH PROBLEM,
- 16 THEY DON'T HAVE-- THEY HAVE THE RIGHT TO SAY, "I DON'T WANT TO
- 17 GO TO THAT SHELTER, " AND THAT'S ONE OF THE PROBLEMS AND WHY WE
- 18 NEED TO HAVE MANDATORY TREATMENT, SUBSTANCE ABUSE TREATMENT,
- 19 MENTALLY ILL TREATMENT FOR THESE INDIVIDUALS SO THAT THEY WILL
- 20 GET THE SHELTER AND THE CARE AND GET BACK ON THEIR FEET.

21

- 22 CEDRIC WILSON: COULD YOU INDICATE TWO MORE OF THE PROBLEMS,
- 23 SIR, EXCEPT FOR THAT ONE? I UNDERSTAND THAT. COULD YOU NAME
- 24 TWO MORE PROBLEMS, PERHAPS?



- 1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THERE'S SOMEONE OVER HERE WHO WILL WORK
- 2 WITH YOU IN TERMS OF THE JOB ISSUE. SHE'S RIGHT THERE AND
- 3 SHE'LL WORK WITH YOU. YES.

- 5 DAVID DELANGE: I'M DAVID DELANGE, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR,
- 6 COALITION TO SAVE THE MARINA. AS I'VE MENTIONED TO YOU ONCE OR
- 7 TWICE BEFORE, THE COASTAL COMMISSION HAS ASKED US TO CONTINUE
- 8 TO COME BACK TO YOU SUPERVISORS WITH RESPECT TO THE MARINA DEL
- 9 REY L.C.P., SO I'M BACK AND WILL CONTINUE TO COME BACK. WE'RE
- 10 GOING TO BE DOING THIS FOR THE NEXT APPROXIMATELY YEAR AND A
- 11 HALF WHILE THE REVIEW PROCESS CONTINUES. WE WILL ALSO, ON A
- 12 MONTHLY BASIS, CONTINUE TO GO BACK TO THE COMMISSION ITSELF,
- 13 NOT JUST TO ITS STAFF, AND REPORT IN THE RESULTS THAT WE GET
- 14 IN OUR EFFORTS TO COME TO YOU AND TO OFFICIALS WHO WORK
- 15 UNDERNEATH YOUR SUPERVISION. SO, FOR EXAMPLE, OUR FIRST REPORT
- 16 WENT INTO THE COMMISSION A FEW WEEKS AGO, AND WE MENTIONED THE
- 17 HERONRY THAT WAS ATTACKED RIGHT OFF THE REVIEW IN MARINA DEL
- 18 REY, THE GREAT BLUE HERONRY. WE MENTIONED THE SLIP REDUCTION
- 19 THAT OCCURRED RIGHT AFTER THERE WAS A RECOMMENDATION OF NO
- 20 SLIPS ON A PARTICULAR PROJECT. OUR COMMUNITY STRATEGY, GOING
- 21 FORWARD, IS TO DO WHAT PROVED TO BE AMAZINGLY TO US, KIND OF,
- 22 A WINNING FORMULA. WE WORKED AHEAD OF THE CURVE AND OUTSIDE OF
- 23 THE BOX IN SEVERAL WAYS. WE TOOK OUR ISSUES MONTHS, IN FACT
- 24 YEARS, IN ADVANCE TO THE COMMISSIONERS GOING RIGHT OVER THE
- 25 HEADS OF THEIR STAFF WAY, WAY IN ADVANCE, AND THAT APPEARS TO



- 1 HAVE BEEN WHAT HELPED US GET THE 11 TO 0 VICTORY ON SOME VERY,
- 2 VERY IMPORTANT AMENDMENTS WHERE THEY OVERTURNED THEIR OWN
- 3 STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS. WE'RE GOING TO DO THE SAME THING ON
- 4 LEVEL ABOVE. THE LEVEL ABOVE IS THE LEGISLATURE. WE'RE RATHER
- 5 CONVINCED THAT THERE'S A VERY GOOD CHANCE THAT THIS MARINA DEL
- 6 REY L.C.P., TO THE EXTENT THAT IT WON'T BE FULLY ACCEPTED
- 7 RECOMMENDATION-WISE BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, TO JUST THAT
- 8 EXTENT, WILL WIND UP BY A MANDATE OF THE COASTAL ACT IN THE
- 9 LAPS OF THE LEGISLATURE, TO ACT UPON. IN FACT, THEY ARE
- 10 MANDATED TO ACT UPON IT. WE HAVE NOTICED THAT THE COASTAL
- 11 COMMISSION, BACKING UP A STEP TO THEM, HAS SHOWN A REMARKABLE
- 12 INDEPENDENCE. THEY ARE ACTING INDEPENDENT OF THEIR STAFF,
- 13 THEY'RE ACTING INDEPENDENT OF THE COUNTY LOBBYING EFFORT IN
- 14 THEIR VOTE, THEY ARE ACTING INDEPENDENT IN MANY WAYS OF THE
- 15 GOVERNOR. THE TOLL ROAD THAT WAS JUST UP, THE BIG ONE IN THE
- 16 ORANGE COUNTY SAN DIEGO AREA, WAS VOTED 8 TO 2. AND THREE OF
- 17 THE FOUR-- DOWN, AND THREE OF THE FOUR VOTES WERE
- 18 COMMISSIONERS WHO WERE APPOINTED BY THE GOVERNOR WHO WANTED
- 19 THAT TOLL ROAD TO GO THROUGH. AMAZING DEGREE OF INDEPENDENCE.
- 20 WHY ARE WE DOING AS WELL AS WE ARE? WE'RE NOT SURE. WE'VE
- 21 HEARD VARIOUS THEORIES. WE BELIEVE THAT WE'VE MANAGED TO
- 22 COMMUNICATE A SENSE OF PLACE. WE BELIEVE WE CAN DO THE SAME
- 23 THING WITH THE LEGISLATURE SHOULD WE NEED TO DO SO. WE'RE
- 24 GOING TO START WORKING ON THAT RIGHT NOW. WE HAVE LOTS OF
- 25 CONTACTS IN THE COMMUNITY WITH SACRAMENTO, WE KNOW IT'S A MORE

### The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



DIFFICULT JOB THAN GETTING THE ATTENTION OF THE COMMISSIONERS ON THE COASTAL COMMISSION, BUT WE DID THAT VERY SUCCESSFULLY AND WE WILL GO FORWARD. WE HOPE WE DON'T HAVE TO. WE HOPE THAT WE WILL HAVE, YOU KNOW, YOUR INVOLVEMENT AND COOPERATION IN FOLLOWING THE RECOMMENDATIONS LARGELY OF THE COMMISSION. THANK YOU. SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. CLERK SACHI HAMAI: IN ACCORDANCE WITH BROWN ACT REQUIREMENTS, NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS WILL CONVENE IN CLOSED SESSION TO DISCUSS ITEM NUMBER CS-1, CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL REGARDING EXISTING LITIGATION AS INDICATED ON THE POSTED AGENDA. THANK YOU. 



1 REPOR	T OF	ACTION	TAKEN	IN	CLOSED	SESSION	ON	FEBRUARY	19,	2008
---------	------	--------	-------	----	--------	---------	----	----------	-----	------

- 2 CS-1. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL EXISTING LITIGATION
- 3 (Subdivision (a) of Government Code Section 54956.9)
- 4 Janet Comey v. County of Los Angeles, Los Angeles Superior
- 5 Court Case No. BC 346146
- 6 This lawsuit arises out of an allegation of gender
- 7 discrimination by an employee of the Department of Health
- 8 Services. (08-0402)
- 9 ACTION TAKEN
- 10 The Board authorized settlement of the above lawsuit. The
- 11 substance of the settlement will be disclosed upon inquiry by
- 12 any person as soon as the settlement becomes final following
- 13 approval by all parties. The vote of the Board was unanimous
- 14 with all Supervisors being present.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



1	I, JENNIFER A. HINES, Certified Shorthand Reporter
2	Number 6029/RPR/CRR qualified in and for the State of
3	California, do hereby certify:
4	That the transcripts of proceedings recorded by the
5	Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors February 19, 2008
6	were thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my
7	direction and supervision;
8	That the transcript of recorded proceedings as
9	archived in the office of the reporter and which
10	have been provided to the Los Angeles County Board of
11	Supervisors as certified by me.
12	I further certify that I am neither counsel for, nor
13	related to any party to the said action; nor
14	in anywise interested in the outcome thereof.
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this
16	22nd day of February 2008 for the County records to be used
17	only for authentication purposes of duly certified transcripts
18	as on file of the office of the reporter.
19	
20	JENNIFER A. HINES
21	CSR No. 6029/RPR/CRR
22	
23	
24	
25	